Thursday, July 18, 2019		Hearing Room 3	
<u>8:30 AM</u> <b>6:19-14456</b>	Sharay Ray	Cha	pter 7
#1.00	Hrg re reaffirmation agreement filed 6-12-19 between Financial Group LLC in the amount of \$5775.78	Debtor and OneMair	٦
	RE: 2010 Toyota Yaris		

Docket 9

# **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information				
<u>Debtor(s):</u>				
Sharay Ray	Pro Se			
<u>Trustee(s):</u>				
Steven M Speier (TR)	Pro Se			

Thursday, July 18, 2019Hearing Room

<u>10:00 AM</u>

6:18-14684 Cesar Jesus DelaPaz Cruz, Jr.

**#2.00** Motion for relief from stay

TD AUTO FINANCE VS DEBTOR

Property: 2017 Jeep Wrangler [Personal Prop] Jennifer H. Wang, attorney/movant

Docket 53

### **Tentative Ruling:**

#### <u>07/18/2019</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

(1) Termination of the stay to allow movant (and any successors or assigns) to proceed under applicable non-bankruptcy law to enforce its remedies to obtain possession of the property, foreclose its lien upon the property and to sell the property.

304

### Thursday, July 18, 2019

Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

# 10:00 AMCONT...Cesar Jesus DelaPaz Cruz, Jr.

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

### **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

Cesar Jesus DelaPaz Cruz Jr.

Represented By Todd L Turoci

### Trustee(s):

Robert Whitmore (TR)

Thursday, July 18, 2019		Hearing Room	304
<u>10:00 AM</u> <b>6:18-16766</b>	Ronny Steven Krogstad and Janet Lewis Krogstad	Cha	pter 7
#3.00	Motion for relief from stay		
	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK VS DEBTORS		
	Property: 23698 Fieldcrest Lane, Murrieta, CA 92562-34 [Real Prop] Nancy Lee, attorney/movant	58	
	FROM: 4-11-19, 6-13-19		
	Docket 55		
<b>Tentative</b> - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -		
	Party Information		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>.</u>		
Ronn	y Steven Krogstad Represented By		

<u>Joint Debtor(s):</u>

Janet Lewis Krogstad

# Trustee(s):

Arturo Cisneros (TR)

Represented By Jonathan R Preston

Represented By Jonathan R Preston

Thursday, July 18, 2019

Hearing Room 304

Chapter 7

### <u>10:00 AM</u>

6:19-10341 Edgar Isac Angon

**#4.00** Motion for relief from stay

HONDA LEASE TRUST VS DEBTOR

Property: 2017 Honda Accord [Personal Prop] Vincent V. Frounjian, attorney/movant

Docket 37

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

#### <u>07/18/2019</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

(1) Termination of the stay to allow movant (and any successors or assigns) to proceed under applicable non-bankruptcy law to enforce its remedies to obtain possession of the property, foreclose its lien upon the property and to sell the property.

### Thursday, July 18, 2019

# Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

# 10:00 AMCONT...Edgar Isac Angon

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. *See* LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing . . . .").

### **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

Edgar Isac Angon

Represented By Miguel A Valente

# <u>Trustee(s):</u>

Todd A. Frealy (TR)

Represented By Carmela Pagay

Thursday, J	Hearing Room		
<u>10:00 AM</u> 6:19-12875	James F. Lesney, Jr. and Christine A. Lesney	Ch	apter 7
#5.00	Motion for relief from stay		
	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK VS DEBTORS		
	Property: 1187 Acadia Drive, Lake Arrowhead, CA 92352 [Real Prop] Alexander K. Lee, attorney/movant	2	

Docket 16

### **Tentative Ruling:**

### <u>07/18/2019</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

(1) Termination of the stay to allow movant (and any successors or assigns) to proceed under applicable non-bankruptcy law to enforce its remedies to obtain possession of the property, foreclose its lien upon the property and to sell the property.

Thursday, July 18, 2019	Hearing Room	304
10.00 AM		

<u>10:00 AM</u>		
CONT	James F. Lesney, Jr. and Christine A. Lesney	Chapter 7

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

(3) The creditor or counsel for the creditor may communicate with the debtors or counsel for the debtors regarding the property that is the subject of this motion and the debt owed to the creditor.

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

### **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

James F. Lesney Jr.

M. Wayne Tucker

Represented By

<u>Joint Debtor(s):</u>

Christine A. Lesney

Represented By M. Wayne Tucker

## Trustee(s):

Steven M Speier (TR)

Thursday, July 18, 2019

Hearing Room 304

### <u>10:00 AM</u>

6:19-13365 Sonia Luz Alcantara

Chapter 7

**#6.00** Motion for relief from stay

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON FKA THE BANK OF NEW YORK, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE BENEFIT OF CERTIFICATEHOLDERS OF CWHEQ INC., HOME EQUITY LOAN ASSET - BACKED CERTIFICATES, INST. No. 2006-0234557 VS DEBTOR

Property: 36295 Dunes Dr., Beaumont, CA 92223 [Real Prop] Alexander K. Lee, attorney/movant

Docket 16

### **Tentative Ruling:**

### <u>07/18/2019</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

(1) Termination of the stay to allow movant (and any successors or assigns) to

### Thursday, July 18, 2019

### Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

### <u>10:00 AM</u>

### CONT... Sonia Luz Alcantara

proceed under applicable non-bankruptcy law to enforce its remedies to obtain possession of the property, foreclose its lien upon the property and to sell the property.

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

(3) The creditor or counsel for the creditor may communicate with the debtor or counsel for the debtor regarding the property that is the subject of this motion and the debt owed to the creditor.

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

### **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

Sonia Luz Alcantara

Represented By Freddie V Vega

### Trustee(s):

Lynda T. Bui (TR)

Thursday, July 18, 2019

Hearing Room 304

### <u>10:00 AM</u>

6:19-13365 Sonia Luz Alcantara

Chapter 7

#7.00 Motion for relief from stay

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON FKA THE BANK OF NEW YOUR, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE CERTIFICATEHOLDERS OF CWALT, INC ALTERNATIVE LOAN TRUST 2006-HY11, MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-HY11 VS DEBTOR

Property: 36295 Dunes Drive, Beaumont, CA 92223 [Real Property] Nathan F. Smith, attorney/movant

Docket 15

### **Tentative Ruling:**

## <u>07/18/2019</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

(1) Termination of the stay to allow movant (and any successors or assigns) to

### Thursday, July 18, 2019

### Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

### <u>10:00 AM</u>

### CONT... Sonia Luz Alcantara

proceed under applicable non-bankruptcy law to enforce its remedies to obtain possession of the property, foreclose its lien upon the property and to sell the property.

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

(3) The creditor or counsel for the creditor may communicate with the debtor or counsel for the debtor regarding the property that is the subject of this motion and the debt owed to the creditor.

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

### **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

Sonia Luz Alcantara

Represented By Freddie V Vega

### Trustee(s):

Lynda T. Bui (TR)

Chapter 7

- **6:19-13776** Susan Elisabeth Portener
  - #8.00 Motion for relief from stay

PENNYMAC LOAN SERVICES VS DEBTOR

Property: 80086 Silver Sage Lane, La Quinta, CA 92253 [Real Prop] Robert P. Zahradka, attorney/movant

Docket 14

## **Tentative Ruling:**

### <u>07/18/2019</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) with the following relief:

(1) Termination of the stay to allow movant (and any successors or assigns) to proceed under applicable non-bankruptcy law to enforce its remedies to obtain possession of the property, foreclose its lien upon the property and to sell the property.

### Thursday, July 18, 2019

### Hearing Room 304

# 10:00 AMCONT...Susan Elisabeth Portener

Chapter 7

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

(3) The creditor or counsel for the creditor may communicate with the debtor or counsel for the debtor regarding the property that is the subject of this motion and the debt owed to the creditor.

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

### **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

Susan Elisabeth Portener

Represented By Jenny L Doling Summer M Shaw

## Trustee(s):

Arturo Cisneros (TR)

**Thursday, July 18, 2019 Hearing Room** 304 1<u>0:00 AM</u> 6:19-13926 **Dustin Michael Reischman Chapter 7** Motion for relief from stay **#9.00** SANTANDER CONSUMER USA VS DEBTOR

Property: 2016 Chevrolet Sonic [Personal Prop] Jennifer H. Wang, attorney/movant

> Docket 12

## **Tentative Ruling:**

### <u>07/18/2019</u>:

None.

Final Ruling. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo), 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. See Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

(1) Termination of the stay to allow movant (and any successors or assigns) to proceed under applicable non-bankruptcy law to enforce its remedies to obtain possession of the property, foreclose its lien upon the property and to sell the property.

### Thursday, July 18, 2019

### Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

# 10:00 AMCONT...Dustin Michael Reischman

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

### **Party Information**

### Debtor(s):

Dustin Michael Reischman

Represented By Michael E Clark

## Trustee(s):

Steven M Speier (TR)

Thursday, July 18, 2019

Hearing Room 304

Chapter 7

### <u>10:00 AM</u>

6:19-14058 Lucia A. Pepper

#10.00 Motion for relief from stay

U.S. BANK NATIONAL VS DEBTOR

Property: 667 Stoney Creek Cir., Corona, CA 92880 [Real Property] Alexander K. Lee, attorney/movant

Docket 8

### **Tentative Ruling:**

### <u>07/18/2019</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

(1) Termination of the stay to allow movant (and any successors or assigns) to proceed under applicable non-bankruptcy law to enforce its remedies to obtain possession of the property, foreclose its lien upon the property and to sell the property.

Thursday, July 18, 2019	Hearing Room	304

# 10:00 AMCONT...Lucia A. Pepper

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

(3) The creditor or counsel for the creditor may communicate with the debtor or counsel for the debtor regarding the property that is the subject of this motion and the debt owed to the creditor.

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

### **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

Lucia A. Pepper

Represented By James D. Hornbuckle **Chapter 7** 

## Trustee(s):

Karl T Anderson (TR)

Thursday, J	uly 18, 2019	Hearing Room 30	
<u>10:00 AM</u> 6:19-14455	Thomas J Marchionda and Sarah Marchionda	Cha	apter 7
#11.00	Motion for relief from stay		
	TOYOTA LEASE TRUST VS DEBTORS		
	Property: 2016 Toyota Prius [Personal Prop] Erica T. Lofis Pacheco, attorney/movant	:	

Docket 12

### **Tentative Ruling:**

### <u>07/18/2019</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

(1) Termination of the stay to allow movant (and any successors or assigns) to proceed under applicable non-bankruptcy law to enforce its remedies to obtain possession of the property, foreclose its lien upon the property and to sell the property.

Thursday, July 18, 2019		Hearing Room	
<u>10:00 AM</u> CONT	Thomas J Marchionda and Sarah Marchionda	Cha	pter 7
	(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 400	l(a)(3).	

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

### **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

Thomas J Marchionda

Represented By Steven Steven Diamond

Steven Steven Diamond

# Joint Debtor(s):

Sarah Marchionda

<u>Trustee(s):</u>

Larry D Simons (TR)

Pro Se

Represented By

Thursday, July 18, 2019Hearing Room30410:00 AM<br/>6:19-14868Farah SawalahChapter 7#12.00Motion for relief from stay<br/>WELLS FARGO BANK VS DEBTORWELLS FARGO BANK VS DEBTOR

Property: 27369 Ruby Grass Ct., Murrieta, CA 92562-2511 [Real Prop] Nancy Lee, attorney/movant

Docket 8

## **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

# **Party Information**

# Debtor(s):

Farah Sawalah

Represented By Eric Rice

# Trustee(s):

Howard B Grobstein (TR)

**Thursday, July 18, 2019 Hearing Room** 304

**Chapter 7** 

1<u>0:00 AM</u>

- 6:19-14872 William C Sommers, Jr.
  - Motion for relief from stay #13.00

CAB WEST VS DEBTOR

Property: 2017 Ford Fusion [Personal Property] Jennifer H. Wang, attorney/movant

> Docket 8

## **Tentative Ruling:**

### <u>07/18/2019</u>:

None.

Final Ruling. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. Cf. Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo), 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. See Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1) with the following relief:

(1) Termination of the stay to allow movant (and any successors or assigns) to proceed under applicable non-bankruptcy law to enforce its remedies to obtain possession of the property, foreclose its lien upon the property and to sell the property.

i nui suay,	July	10, 2017	

Thursday July 18 2010

# Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

# 10:00 AMCONT...William C Sommers, Jr.

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

### **Party Information**

### Debtor(s):

William C Sommers Jr.

Represented By Julie J Villalobos

# Trustee(s):

Howard B Grobstein (TR)

Thursday, July 18, 2019			Hearing Roo	om 304	
<u>10:15 AM</u> <b>6:19-15976</b>	Daniel Edward Esp	arza			Chapter 13
#13.01	#13.01 Hrg re status conference				
		Docket	1		
<b>Tentative</b> - NONE	<b>Ruling:</b>				
		Party In	formation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>):</u>				
Danie	el Edward Esparza		Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Rod	Danielson (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, July 18, 2019			Hearing Room	304	
<u>10:15 AM</u> <b>6:19-15981</b>	William Laurence	Abel		Cha	pter 13
#13.02	Hrg re status confe	erence			
		Docket	1		
<b>Tentative</b> - NONE	e Ruling:				
		Party In	formation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>):</u>				
Willi	am Laurence Abel		Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Rod	Danielson (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, J	uly 18, 2019	Hearing Room 304
<u>10:30 AM</u> <b>6:12-25721</b> Adv#: 6:19-0		Chapter 13
#14.00	Status conference re: Complaint to avoid junior	ien on principal residence
	[Property: 889 Camino Condor, Palm Springs, C	A 92262]
	Docket 1	

# **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

# **Party Information**

Debtor(s):	
Dennis James White	Represented By Christopher Hewitt
Defendant(s):	
Robert Jones	Pro Se
Alma Jones	Pro Se
<u>Joint Debtor(s):</u>	
Patricia Ann Omote	Represented By Christopher Hewitt
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>	
Dennis James White	Represented By Christopher Hewitt
Patricia Ann Omote	Represented By Christopher Hewitt
<u>Trustee(s):</u>	
Rod Danielson (TR)	Pro Se

Thursday,	July 18, 2019	Hea	ring Room	304
<u>10:30 AM</u> <b>6:13-21658</b> Adv#: 6:19-	Alice Berumen 01072 Berumen v. JPMORO	GAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL AS	-	oter 13
#15.00	Status conference re: Com	plaint to avoid junior lien		
	[Property: 32739 Whisperin	g Palms Trial, Cathedral City, CA S	92234]	
	Docket *** VACATED *** REAS 19	1 ON: DEFAULT JUDGMENT ENTE	RED 6-18-	
	e Ruling: E LISTED -			
	Party	Information		
<u>Debtor(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Alice	e Berumen	Represented By Daniel C Sever		
Defenda	<u>nt(s):</u>			
JPM	ORGAN CHASE BANK,	Pro Se		
<u>Plaintiff(</u>	<u>(s):</u>			
Alice	e Berumen	Represented By Daniel C Sever		
<u>Trustee(</u>	<u>s):</u>			
Rod	Danielson (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	uly 18, 2019	Hearing Room 30	04
<u>10:30 AM</u> <b>6:17-15912</b> Adv#: 6:19-0	Naptime Home Care, Inc.01064Chapter 7 Trustee, Stev	en M. Speier, solely in his v. Hicks	· 7
#16.00	transfers; (2) Avoidance and r	aint for: (1) Avoidance and recovery of fraudulent recovery of intentional fraudulent transfers; (3) operty of the bankruptcy estate; (4) Preservation	of
	Docket	1	
<b>Tentative</b> - NONE	e <b>Ruling:</b> E LISTED -		
	Party In	formation	
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>):</u>		
Napti	ime Home Care, Inc.	Represented By Christopher Hewitt	
Defendar	<u>nt(s):</u>		
Mark	P Hicks	Pro Se	
<u>Plaintiff(</u>	<u>s):</u>		
Robe	rt P Chapter 7 Trustee, Steven	Represented By Robert P Goe Ryan S Riddles	
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>		
Steve	en M Speier (TR)	Represented By Robert P Goe	

Thursday, July 18, 2019		Hearing Room	304
10:30 AM6:18-11111Universal Surveillance SystemAdv#: 6:19-01058Sayegh v. Anderson	ıs, LLC	Cha	pter 7
#17.00 Status conference re: Compla	aint for declaratory relief		
Docket	1		
Tentative Ruling:			
- NONE LISTED -			
Party In	formation		
Debtor(s):			
Universal Surveillance Systems,	Represented By Jeffrey I Golden		
Defendant(s):			
Karl T Anderson	Pro Se		
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>			
Adel Sayegh	Represented By Ryan D O'Dea		
<u>Trustee(s):</u>			
Karl T Anderson (TR)	Represented By Richard A Marshack Judith E Marshack Matthew Grimshaw		

Thursday, July 18, 2019	Hearing Room	
<b>10:30 AM</b> <b>6:19-10551 Megan Christine Rife</b>	Cha	pter 7
Adv#: 6:19-01063 Rife v. Rife		-

#18.00 Status conference re: Complaint to validate non-dischargeability of debt

Docket 1
\*\*\* VACATED \*\*\* REASON: ADVERSARY DISMISSED 7-17-19

### **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information			
<u>Debtor(s):</u>			
Megan Christine Rife	Pro Se		
Defendant(s):			
Megan Rife	Pro Se		
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>			
Branden Rife	Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s):</u>			
Howard B Grobstein (TR)	Pro Se		

304

Thursday, Ju	ly 18, 2019	Hearing Room	304
<u>10:30 AM</u> <b>6:19-10582</b> Adv#: 6:19-0	Steven Anthony Stroble, Jr1073Bickford et al v. Stroble et al	Chap	oter 13
#19.00	Status conference re: Complaint for determination of disc objecting to debtor's discharge	chargeability and	
	[Superior Court of California Case No. BC669764]		
	Docket 1 *** VACATED *** REASON: NTC OF WITHDRAWA	L FILED 5-14-19	

# **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

# **Party Information**

D			
1)	<u>ebtor</u>	16	•
$\boldsymbol{\nu}$	UDIUI	13	•

Steven Anthony Stroble Jr	Represented By David Samuel Shevitz
Defendant(s):	
Steven Stroble	Pro Se
Vehicle Enforcement Agency Inc	Pro Se
Joint Debtor(s):	
Danelle Dyan Stroble	Represented By David Samuel Shevitz
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>	
Crystal Bickford	Represented By Arnold P Peter
William Bickford	Represented By Arnold P Peter
Trustee(s):	
Rod Danielson (TR)	Pro Se

Thursday, July 18, 2019	Hearing Room	304

### <u>10:30 AM</u>

6:19-10582	Steven Anthony Stroble, Jr	Chapter 13
Adv#: 6:19-01	Eastern Funding, LLC v. STROBLE, JR	
#20.00	Status conference re: Complaint to determine nondischargeabilty of c	lebt

[2001 Ford F450 and the additional equipment as 2011 Vulcan 810]

# Docket 1 \*\*\* VACATED \*\*\* REASON: NTC OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL FILED 5-29-19

### **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

# **Party Information**

Debtor(s):	
Steven Anthony Stroble Jr	Represented By David Samuel Shevitz
Defendant(s):	
STEVEN ANTHONY STROBLE,	Pro Se
Joint Debtor(s):	
Danelle Dyan Stroble	Represented By David Samuel Shevitz
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>	
Eastern Funding, LLC	Represented By Nicolino I Iezza
Trustee(s):	

Rod Danielson (TR)

Thursday, July 18, 2019	Hearing Room 304
10:30 AM6:19-11777Sylvia Ann AlvaradoAdv#: 6:19-01061Alvarado v. Secured Incom	Chapter 13 me Group, Inc.
#21.00 Status conference re: Notice of	removal of action
Docket 1 *** VACATED *** REASON:	REMAND ORDER ENTERED 4-17-19
Tentative Ruling: - NONE LISTED -	
Party Info	rmation
<u>Debtor(s):</u>	
Sylvia Ann Alvarado	Represented By Douglas A Crowder
<u>Defendant(s):</u>	
Secured Income Group, Inc.	Represented By Coby Halavais
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>	
Sylvia Ann Alvarado	Pro Se
<u>Trustee(s):</u>	
Rod Danielson (TR)	Pro Se

Thursday, J	Thursday, July 18, 2019		Hearing Room	304
<u>10:30 AM</u> <b>6:19-13308</b> Adv#: 6:19-0	<b>Sylvia Ann Alvarado</b> 01070 Alvarado v. Secureo	d Income Group, Inc.	Cha	apter 7
#22.00	Status conference re: Noti	ce of removal of action		
	Docket	1		
Tentative	e Ruling:			
- NONE	LISTED -			
	Party	y Information		
Debtor(s)	<u>):</u>			
Sylvi	a Ann Alvarado	Pro Se		
Defendar	<u>nt(s):</u>			
Secu	red Income Group, Inc.	Represented By Coby Halavais		
<u>Plaintiff(</u>	<u>s):</u>			
Sylvi	a Ann Alvarado	Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Larry	D Simons (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	uly 18, 2019	Hearing Room	a 304
<u>1:30 PM</u> <b>6:18-14519</b> Adv#: 6:19-0	<b>Border Security Inc.</b> 01036 Chapter 7 Trustee Steven M. Speier solely in his c v.		Chapter 7
#23.00	Status conference re: Complaint for 1) Avoidance of frau Turnover of property; 3) Temporary restraining order and 4) Preservation of avoided transfers; and 5) Improper sh	l preliminary inju	unction;
	FROM: S/C 5-2-19, 6-27-19		
	Docket 1		
Tentative	Ruling:		

- NONE LISTED -

# **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

Border Security Inc.

Represented By William E Windham

### **Defendant(s):**

Maria Juarez

# <u>Plaintiff(s):</u>

Chapter 7 Trustee Steven M. Speier

### Trustee(s):

Steven M Speier (TR)

Pro Se

Represented By Robert P Goe Thomas J Eastmond

Represented By Robert P Goe

Thursday, July 18, 2019			Hearing Room 30			
<u>1:30 PM</u> <b>6:19-10159</b> Adv#: 6:19-0	<b>George Gbeanko</b> 01032 Bablu v. I	0			Cl	hapter 7
#24.00	Hrg re order to sh be dismissed	low cause i	regarding why	this adversary	y proceeding sho	uld not
	FROM: 6-27-19					
		Docket	1			
<b>Tentative</b> - NONE	e Ruling:					
		Party I	nformation			
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>):</u>					
Georg	ge Gbeankor Linga		Pro Se			
<u>Defendan</u>	<u>nt(s):</u>					
Georg	ge Gbeankor Linga		Pro Se			
Plaintiff(	<u>s):</u>					
Shahi	id Bablu		Pro Se			
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>					
Karl	T Anderson (TR)		Pro Se			

Thursday, July 18, 2019		Hearing Room	304
1:30 PM           6:19-10159         George         Gbeankor Linga           Adv#: 6:19-01032         Bablu v. Linga		Cha	apter 7
#25.00 Hrg re motion for default	t judgment		
Dock	et 22		
Tentative Ruling: - NONE LISTED -			
Pa	rty Information		
Debtor(s):			
George Gbeankor Linga	Pro Se		
<u>Defendant(s):</u>			
George Gbeankor Linga	Pro Se		
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>			
Shahid Bablu	Represented By W. Derek May		
<u>Trustee(s):</u>			
Karl T Anderson (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	uly 18, 2019	Hearing Room	304
<u>1:30 PM</u> <b>6:19-10159</b> Adv#: 6:19-0	0	C	hapter 7
#26.00	Status conference re: Complaint to determine non-disch	argeability of del	ot
	FROM: S/C 5-2-19, 6-27-19		
	Docket 1		

# **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information			
Debtor(s):			
George Gbeankor Linga	Pro Se		
<u>Defendant(s):</u>			
George Gbeankor Linga	Pro Se		
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>			
Shahid Bablu	Pro Se		
Trustee(s):			
Karl T Anderson (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, July 18, 20	19	Hearing Room	304
·	Ann Alvarado Alvarado v. Secured Income Group, Inc.	Cha	apter 7
#27.00 Hrg re r	notion for order remanding this adversary case b	ack to state court	

Docket 6

### **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

# **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

Sylvia Ann Alvarado

### Defendant(s):

Secured Income Group, Inc.

# Plaintiff(s):

Sylvia Ann Alvarado

### Trustee(s):

Larry D Simons (TR)

Pro Se

Represented By Coby Halavais

Represented By Corey A Carter

Thursday, J	uly 18, 2019		Hearing Room	304
<u>2:00 PM</u> 6:19-13591	Lorraine Anna Garcia and Jo	oe Frank Garcia	Cha	pter 7
#28.00	Hrg re order to show cause r dismissed	egarding why this bankruptc	y case should not	be
	FROM: 7-16-19			
	Docket	0		
Tentative	Ruling:			
- NONE	LISTED -			

# **Party Information**

# **Debtor(s):**

\_

Lorraine Anna Garcia

Joe Frank Garcia

Represented By Diane M Singleton-Smith

Represented By Diane M Singleton-Smith

## Trustee(s):

Joint Debtor(s):

Howard B Grobstein (TR)