Thursday, February 6, 2020		Hearing Room 30	
<u>8:30 AM</u> <b>6:19-18760</b>	Daniela Del Rosario Colmenares	Ch	apter 7
#1.00	Hrg re reaffirmation agreement filed 1-7-20 between De Credit Corporation in the amount of \$5,835.65	ebtor and Toyota N	lotor
	RE: 2013 Dodge Ram		

Docket 9

# **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

Daniela Del Rosario Colmenares

Represented By Jessica De Anda Leon

### Trustee(s):

Charles W Daff (TR)

Fhursday, F	ebruary 6, 2020	Hearing Room 30	
<u>3:30 AM</u> 5 <b>:19-19730</b>	Alejandro E Gilbuena and Lea L Gilbuena	Chapter	
#2.00	Hrg re reaffirmation agreement filed 12-23-19 between Debtor and Wescom Central Credit Union in the amount of \$20.910.80		
	RE: 2018 Honda Accord		
	Docket 14		
Tentative	Ruling:		
- NONE	LISTED -		
	Party Information		

Alejandro E Gilbuena

### Joint Debtor(s):

Lea L Gilbuena

Trustee(s):

Karl T Anderson (TR)

Represented By Stephen S Smyth

Represented By Stephen S Smyth

Thursday, February 6, 2020		Hearing Room	a 304
<u>8:30 AM</u> <b>6:19-20893</b>	<b>Richard Alvin Pringle and Carole Marie Pringle</b>	C	Chapter 7
#3.00	<b>#3.00</b> Hrg re reaffirmation agreement filed 1-16-20 between Debtor and Ford Moto Credit Company LLC in the amount of \$14,352.85		Notor
	RE: 2015 Ford Transit Connect		

Docket 12

# **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information			
<u>Debtor(s):</u>			
Richard Alvin Pringle	Pro Se		
Joint Debtor(s):			
Carole Marie Pringle	Pro Se		
Trustee(s):			
Karl T Anderson (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, F	ebruary 6, 2020	<b>Hearing Room</b>	304
<u>8:30 AM</u> 6:19-20138	Silvia Lorena Carranza-Troya	Cha	pter 7
#3.01	Hrg re reaffirmation agreement filed 1-17-20 between l Credit Corporation in the amount of \$24,204.04	Debtor and Toyota N	/lotor
	RE: 2017 Toyota Camry		

Docket 8

# **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

# **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

Silvia Lorena Carranza-Troya

Represented By Michael H Colmenares

### Trustee(s):

Robert Whitmore (TR)

Thursday, February 6, 2020		Hearing Room	304
<u>10:00 AM</u> 6:18-16558	Lynne Ann Bailey and Dale Eugene Bailey, Jr	Cha	pter 7
#4.00	Motion for relief from stay		
	ARVEST CENTRAL MORTGAGE VS DEBTOR		
	Property: 14243 Larkin Court, Fontana, CA 92336 [Real Prop] Arnold L. Graff, attorney/movant		

Docket 50

# **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information			
<u>Debtor(s):</u>			
Lynne Ann Bailey	Pro Se		
Joint Debtor(s):			
Dale Eugene Bailey Jr	Pro Se		
Trustee(s):			
Larry D Simons (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, February 6, 2020

Hearing Room 304

#### <u>10:00 AM</u>

6:19-13044 Accelerating Ministries

Chapter 7

**#5.00** Motion for relief from stay

ALLY BANK VS DEBTOR

Property: 2013 Chevrolet Express VIN# 1GAZG1FGXD1190511 [Personal Prop] Adam Barasch, attorney/movant

Docket 195

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

#### <u>2/6/2020</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

#### Thursday, February 6, 2020

### Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

# 10:00 AMCONT...Accelerating Ministries

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. *See* LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing . . . .").

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

Accelerating Ministries

Pro Se

### Trustee(s):

Todd A. Frealy (TR)

Represented By Philip A Gasteier Carmela Pagay

Thursday, February 6, 2020

Hearing Room 304

<u>10:00 AM</u>

6:19-13044 Accelerating Ministries

Chapter 7

#6.00 Motion for relief from stay

ALLY BANK VS DEBTOR

Property: 2014 Ford Econoline VIN# 1FBSS3BL7EDA36493 [Personal Prop] Adam Barasch, attorney/movant

Docket 196

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

#### <u>2/6/2020</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

#### Thursday, February 6, 2020

# Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

# 10:00 AMCONT...Accelerating Ministries

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

#### **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

**Accelerating Ministries** 

Pro Se

### Trustee(s):

Todd A. Frealy (TR)

Represented By Philip A Gasteier Carmela Pagay

Thursday, February 6, 2020

Hearing Room 304

#### <u>10:00 AM</u>

6:19-13044 Accelerating Ministries

Chapter 7

**#7.00** Motion for relief from stay

ALLY BANK VS DEBTOR

Property: 2014 Ford Econoline VIN# 1FBSS3BLXEDA01009 [Personal Prop] Aam Barasch, attorney/movant

Docket 197

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

#### <u>2/6/2020</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

#### Thursday, February 6, 2020

# Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

# 10:00 AMCONT...Accelerating Ministries

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

**Accelerating Ministries** 

Pro Se

### Trustee(s):

Todd A. Frealy (TR)

Represented By Philip A Gasteier Carmela Pagay

Thursday, February 6, 2020

Hearing Room 304

Chapter 7

<u>10:00 AM</u>

#8.00

6:19-13044 Accelerating Ministries

Motion for relief from stay

ALLY BANK VS DEBTOR

Property: 2013 Ford Econoline VIN# 1FBSS3BL0DDA34277 [Personal Prop] Adam Barasch, attorney/movant

Docket 198

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

#### <u>2/6/2020</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

#### Thursday, February 6, 2020

# Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

# 10:00 AMCONT...Accelerating Ministries

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

**Accelerating Ministries** 

Pro Se

### Trustee(s):

Todd A. Frealy (TR)

Represented By Philip A Gasteier Carmela Pagay

Thursday, F	Thursday, February 6, 2020		304
<u>10:00 AM</u> <b>6:19-19730</b>	Alejandro E Gilbuena and Lea L Gilbuena	Cha	pter 7
#9.00	Motion for relief from stay		
	BAYVIEW LOAN SERVICING VS DEBTORS		
	Property: 5444 Turin Way, Fontana, California 92336 [Real Prop] Josephine E. Salmon, attorney/movant		

Docket 21

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

#### <u>2/6/2020</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

Thursday, February 6, 2020	Hearing Room	

# 10:00 AMCONT...Alejandro E Gilbuena and Lea L GilbuenaChapter 7

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

(3) The creditor or counsel for the creditor may communicate with the debtor(s) or counsel for the debtor(s) regarding the property that is the subject of this motion and the debt owed to the creditor.

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

### **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

Alejandro E Gilbuena

Represented By Stephen S Smyth

### Joint Debtor(s):

Lea L Gilbuena

Represented By Stephen S Smyth

Trustee(s):

Karl T Anderson (TR)

Thursday, February 6, 2020

Hearing Room 304

#### <u>10:00 AM</u>

6:19-19749 Kimberly A. Loeffler

Chapter 7

#10.00 Motion for relief from stay

U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION VS DEBTOR

Property: 26352 Spyglass Drive, Lake Arrowhead, CA 92352 [Real Prop] Caren J. Castle, attorney/movant

Docket 12

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

#### <u>2/6/2020</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

#### Thursday, February 6, 2020

#### Hearing Room 304

# 10:00 AMCONT...Kimberly A. Loeffler

Chapter 7

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

(3) The creditor or counsel for the creditor may communicate with the debtor(s) or counsel for the debtor(s) regarding the property that is the subject of this motion and the debt owed to the creditor.

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

#### **Party Information**

### Debtor(s):

Kimberly A. Loeffler

Represented By Terrence Fantauzzi

### Trustee(s):

Howard B Grobstein (TR)

Thursday, February 6, 2020

Hearing Room 304

Chapter 7

#### <u>10:00 AM</u>

- 6:19-20529 Matthew Paul Kinnick
  - #11.00 Motion for relief from stay

**NISSAN-INFINITI VS DEBTOR** 

Property: 2018 NISSAN PATHFINDER [Personal Prop] Michael Vanlochem, attorney/movant

Docket 11

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

#### <u>2/6/2020</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

#### Thursday, February 6, 2020

#### Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

# 10:00 AMCONT...Matthew Paul Kinnick

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

Matthew Paul Kinnick

Represented By Suzette Douglas

#### Trustee(s):

Charles W Daff (TR)

Thursday, February 6, 2020

Hearing Room 304

Chapter 7

#### <u>10:00 AM</u>

- 6:19-20778 Richard Bautista
  - #12.00 Motion for relief from stay

BANK OF THE WEST VS DEBTOR

Property: 2015 Lexus IS250 [Personal Prop] Mary Ellman Tang, attorney/movant

Docket 8

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

#### <u>2/6/2020</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

#### Thursday, February 6, 2020

# Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

# 10:00 AMCONT...Richard Bautista

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

Richard Bautista

Represented By Andrew Nguyen

#### Trustee(s):

Howard B Grobstein (TR)

Thursday, F	Thursday, February 6, 2020		304
<u>10:00 AM</u> 6:19-20838	Julio Cesar Aldana and Claudia Yesenia Caridad	Cha	pter 7
#13.00	Motion for relief from stay		
	KIA MOTORS FINANCE VS DEBTORS		
	Property: 2018 Kia Optima [Real Prop] Sheryl K. Ith, attorney/movant		

Docket 8

### **Tentative Ruling:**

#### <u>2/6/2020</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

Thursday, February 6, 2020 Hearing		earing Room	304
<u>10:00 AM</u> CONT	Julio Cesar Aldana and Claudia Yesenia Caridad	Cha	pter 7
	(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001	(a)(3).	

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

Party Information			
Debtor(s):			
Julio Cesar Aldana	Represented By Dana Travis		
<u>Joint Debtor(s):</u>			
Claudia Yesenia Caridad	Represented By Dana Travis		
<u>Trustee(s):</u>			
Karl T Anderson (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, February 6, 2020

Hearing Room 304

Chapter 7

### <u>10:00 AM</u>

6:19-21062 Sarah Elizabeth Hale

#14.00 Motion for relief from stay

LBS FINANCIAL CREDIT UNION VS DEBTOR

Property: 2002 Holiday Ramlber [Personal Prop] Karel Rocha, attorney/movant

Docket

7

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

#### <u>2/6/2020</u>:

None.

**Final Ruling**. This motion for relief from the automatic stay has been set for hearing on regular notice pursuant to Rule 9013-1(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules. Pursuant to Rule 9013-1(h), the failure of the trustee, the debtor and other parties in interest to file written opposition at least fourteen days prior to the hearing is construed as consent to the granting of the motion. *Cf. Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Furthermore, because the court is granting the relief requested by the moving party and for which a *prima facie* case has been established, an actual hearing is not necessary. *See Boone v. Burk (In re Eliapo)*, 468 F.3d 592, 602 (9th Cir. 2006). Parties who have been properly served with the motion are considered in default and, as a consequence, the matter will be resolved without oral argument. *See* Rule 9013-1(j)(3). No appearance is necessary.

For the reasons set forth in the motion, the Court hereby finds that sufficient grounds exist to grant the motion pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and 362(d)(2) with the following relief:

#### Thursday, February 6, 2020

# Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

# 10:00 AMCONT...Sarah Elizabeth Hale

(2) Waiver of the 14-day stay prescribed by Bankruptcy Rule 4001(a)(3).

Counsel for the moving party shall prepare and upload a proposed order after the date and time of the hearing but no later than seven days thereafter. See LBR 9021-1(b)(1)(B) (stating that proposed orders shall be submitted "within 7 days" of the hearing but "must not be lodged prior to the hearing ....").

	Party Information	
<u>Debtor(s):</u>		
Sarah Elizabeth Hale	Pro Se	
<u>Trustee(s):</u>		
Todd A. Frealy (TR)	Pro Se	

Thursday, F	ebruary 6, 2020			Hearing Room	304
<u>10:15 AM</u> <b>6:20-10460</b>	Jason Buenviaje			Chap	oter 13
#14.01	Hrg re status cont	ference			
		Docket	1		
<b>Tentative</b> - NONE	<b>Ruling:</b>				
		Party I	nformation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>):</u>				
Jason	Buenviaje		Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Rod	Danielson (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, F	ebruary 6, 2020			Hearing Roo	m 304
<u>10:15 AM</u> <b>6:20-10505</b>	Julio Perez			(	Chapter 13
#14.02	Hrg re status conf	erence			
		Docket	1		
<b>Tentative</b> - NONE	<b>Ruling:</b> LISTED -				
		Party Ir	Iformation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>				
Julio	Perez		Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Rod	Danielson (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, F	ebruary 6, 2020			Hearing Room	304
<u>10:15 AM</u> <b>6:20-10649</b>	Jaime Roman			Chap	ter 13
#14.03	Hrg re status cont	ference			
		Docket	1		
<b>Tentative</b> - NONE	<b>Ruling:</b>				
		Party Ir	nformation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>				
Jaime	e Roman		Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Rod	Danielson (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, F	ebruary 6, 2020			Hearing Room	304
<u>10:15 AM</u> <b>6:20-10651</b>	William Kennedy			Chap	oter 13
#14.04	Hrg re status conf	erence			
		Docket	1		
<b>Tentative</b> - NONE	<b>Ruling:</b>				
		Party In	nformation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>::</u>				
Willia	am Kennedy		Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Rod	Danielson (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, F	ebruary 6, 2020			Hearing Room	304
<u>10:15 AM</u> <b>6:20-10663</b>	Raul Cardenas			Chap	oter 13
#14.05	Hrg re status conf	erence			
		Docket	1		
<b>Tentative</b> - NONE	<b>Ruling:</b> LISTED -				
		Party II	nformation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>				
Raul	Cardenas		Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Rod	Danielson (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, F	ebruary 6, 2020			Hearing Room	304
<u>10:15 AM</u> <b>6:20-10689</b>	Rickeia Currie			Chap	oter 13
#14.06	Hrg re status con	ference			
		Docket	1		
<b>Tentative</b> - NONE	<b>Ruling:</b>				
		Party I	nformation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>				
Ricke	eia Currie		Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Rod	Danielson (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, February 6, 2020				Hearin	Hearing Room 3		
<u>10:15 AM</u> <b>6:20-10669</b>	Guillermina Mend	ez			Chap	oter 13	
#14.07	Hrg re status conf	erence					
		Docket	1				
<b>Tentative</b> - NONE	Ruling:						
		Party Ir	nformation				
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>						
Guille	ermina Mendez		Pro Se				
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>						
Rod	Danielson (TR)		Pro Se				

Thursday, l	February 6, 2020	Hearing Room 304
<u>10:30 AM</u> : Adv#: 6:19-	01160 Consolidated Resources	E Inc v. Dro Barite LLC et al
#15.00	Status conference re: Notice c bankruptcy court	of removal of lawsuit pending in state court to
	Docket *** VACATED *** REASO	1 N: ADVERSARY CLOSED ON 12-20-19
Tentativ	e Ruling:	
- NONE	E LISTED -	
	Party Inf	formation
Defenda	<u>nt(s):</u>	
Dro ]	Barite LLC	Pro Se
Sally	port Commercial Finance LLC	Represented By Lori E Eropkin
Idem	itsu Apollo Corporation	Pro Se
Happ	by Rock Merchant Solutions	Pro Se

#### Plaintiff(s):

Consolidated Resources Inc

Represented By Robert J Im

Thursday,	February 6, 2020	Hearing Room	304
<u>10:30 AM</u> <b>6:13-17690</b> Adv#: 6:19-	Karen Renee Frankovich01157Frankovich v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. et al	Chap	ter 13
#16.00	Status conference re: Complaint to avoid junior lien on p	orincipal residence	
	[Property: 1752 Manitoba Circle, Corona, CA 92882]		
	Docket 1		

**Tentative Ruling:** 

- NONE LISTED -

# **Party Information**

Debtor(s):	
Karen Renee Frankovich	Represented By Christopher A Shumate
<u>Defendant(s):</u>	
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.	Pro Se
Radian Services, LLC	Pro Se
Dyck O'Neal	Pro Se
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>	
Karen Renee Frankovich	Represented By Christopher J Langley
<u>Trustee(s):</u>	
Rod Danielson (TR)	Pro Se

#### Thursday, February 6, 2020

**Hearing Room** 304

**Chapter 7** 

#### <u>10:30 AM</u>

#### 6:18-16043 **Cosmedx Science Inc.**

Adv#: 6:19-01156 Bui v. Scheib et al

Status conference re: Complaint for (1) Avoidance of preferential transfers and #17.00 recovery of same (2) Preservation of avoided transfers; and (3) Disallowance of claims

#### Docket 1 \*\*\* VACATED \*\*\* REASON: ORDER CONT ENTERED 1-24-20; CONT'D TO 4-9-20 AT 11:00 A.M.

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

Cosmedx Science Inc.

### **Defendant(s):**

Randy B. Scheib	Pro Se
Frank Robert Allan Scheib	Pro Se
G. Nicholas Wayne Scheib	Pro Se
S-3 Investment Group, LLC	Pro Se
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>	
Lynda T. Bui	Represented By Brandon J Iskan
Trustee(s):	

Lynda T. Bui (TR)

nder

Represented By

David B Golubchik

Represented By Brandon J Iskander James C Bastian Jr Lauren E Raya

Thursday, F	Cebruary 6, 2020		Hearing Room	n 304	
10:30 AM6:18-17805ANTHONY JEROME MCDUFFIEChapter 7Adv#: 6:19-01154MCDUFFIE v. Moreno Valley Ranch Community Association					
#18.00	Status conference re: Complain damages, attorney fees & cost discharge injunction			and the	
	Docket	1			
Tentative Ruling: - NONE LISTED -					
Party Information					
Debtor(s):					
ANT	HONY JEROME MCDUFFIE	Represented By David A Akintimoye			
<u>Defendan</u>	<u>tt(s):</u>				
More	no Valley Ranch Community	Pro Se			
Joint Debtor(s):					
PRECIOUS ANN LEE		Represented By David A Akintimoye			
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>					
ANT	HONY JEROME MCDUFFIE	Represented By David A Akintimoye			
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
John	P Pringle (TR)	Pro Se			

Thursday, February 6, 2020				Hearing Room		
<u>10:30 AM</u> <b>6:19-16212</b> Adv#: 6:19-0	<b>Valente Alcala</b> 01148 Martinez	v. Alcala		Cha	apter 7	
#19.00	Status conference for denial of disc		aint to determine nondisc ot	hargeability of debt	and	
		Docket	1			
Tentative	e <b>Ruling:</b> E LISTED -					
	Party Inform		nformation			
<u>Debtor(s</u>	<u>):</u>					
Valer	nte Alcala		Represented By Peter Rasla			
<u>Defendar</u>	<u>nt(s):</u>					
Valer	nte Alcala		Represented By Peter Rasla			
<u>Plaintiff(</u>	<u>(s):</u>					
Maria	ana O. Martinez		Represented By Matthew T Cox			

# Trustee(s):

Todd A. Frealy (TR)

#### Thursday, February 6, 2020

Hearing Room 304

**Chapter 7** 

#### <u>10:30 AM</u>

**6:19-17739 Tranaya Watson LLC** Adv#: 6:19-01159 Bui v. TPH OC, LLC et al

#20.00 Status conference re: Complaint for (1) Avoidance of constructive fraudulent and recovery of same; (2) Breach of contract; (3) Breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; (4) Preservation of avoided transfers

Docket 1

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

Tranaya Watson LLC

### Defendant(s):

TPH OC, LLC

BPRD Trading LLC

### Plaintiff(s):

Lynda T. Bui

### Trustee(s):

Lynda T. Bui (TR)

Represented By Omero Banuelos

Pro Se

Pro Se

Represented By Rafael R Garcia-Salgado

Represented By Leonard M Shulman

Thursday, February 6, 2020		Hearing Room	304		
<u>1:30 PM</u> <b>6:17-15912</b> Adv#: 6:19-0	1	<b>ie Home Care, Inc.</b> Chapter 7 Trustee, S	teven M. Speier, solely in his v.		hapter 7
#21.00	Avoida	nce and recovery of ry of property of the	) Avoidance and recovery of intentional fraudulent transfe bankruptcy estate; (4) Preser	rs; (3) Avoidance	e and
	FROM	S/C 7-18-18			

# Docket 1 \*\*\* VACATED \*\*\* REASON: ORDER CONT ENTERED 1-31-20; CONT'D TO 4-9-20 AT 1:30 P.M.

### **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

# **Party Information**

### Debtor(s):

Naptime Home Care, Inc.

#### **Defendant(s):**

Mark P Hicks

### <u>Plaintiff(s):</u>

Robert P Chapter 7 Trustee, Steven

### Trustee(s):

Steven M Speier (TR)

Pro Se

Represented By Robert P Goe Ryan S Riddles

Represented By

Christopher Hewitt

Represented By Robert P Goe

Thursday, F	Sebruary 6, 2020Hearing	ig Roon	n 304
<u>3:00 PM</u> <b>6:17-17472</b> Adv#: 6:17-0	<b>Aguina Aguina</b> 01270 Kang et al v. Aguina	(	Chapter 7
#22.00	Hrg re motion for summary judgment or partial summary adjudica	ation	
	FROM: 12-19-19, 1-16-20		

Docket 94

# **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information					
Debtor(s):					
Aguina Aguina	Pro Se				
Defendant(s):					
Aguina Aguina	Pro Se				
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>					
Choong-Dae Kang	Represented By Lazaro E Fernandez				
Myung-Ja Kang	Represented By Lazaro E Fernandez				
Kwang-Sa Kang	Represented By Lazaro E Fernandez				
<u>Trustee(s):</u>					
Karl T Anderson (TR)	Represented By Melissa Davis Lowe Leonard M Shulman				