Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

10:00 AM 2:07-0000

Chapter 0

#0.00

PLEASE REFER TO THE RECENTLY UPDATED COURT WEBSITE, "PHONE/VIDEO APPEARANCES" TAB, TO DETERMINE IF PHONE/VIDEO APPEARANCE IS PERMITTED FOR YOUR MATTER OR WHETHER IN PERSON APPEARANCE IS REQUIRED.

Individuals may appear by ZoomGov video and audio using a personal computer (equipped with camera, microphone and speaker), or a handheld mobile device (such as an iPhone). Individuals may participate by ZoomGov audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply). Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account are necessary to participate and no pre-registration is required.

## Join By Computer

Meeting URL: https://cacb.zoomgov.com/j/1600138932

Meeting ID: 160 013 8932

Password: 313517

## Join By Telephone

Dial: +1 669 254 5252 or +1 669 216 1590 or +1 551 285 1373 or

+1 646 828 7666 or 833 568 8864 (Toll Free)Meeting ID:

Meeting ID: 160 013 8932

Password: 313517

Docket 0

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

#### Los Angeles Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

<u>10:00 AM</u>

2:23-15788 London Langston

Chapter 13

#1.00 Motion for relief from stay [UD]

HEVEN, LLC

VS

**DEBTOR** 

Docket 9

\*\*\* VACATED \*\*\* REASON: CASE DISMISSED 9-25-23

**Tentative Ruling:** 

- NONE LISTED -

**Party Information** 

**Debtor(s):** 

London Langston Pro Se

Movant(s):

Heven, LLC Represented By

Luke P Daniels

**Trustee(s):** 

Nancy K Curry (TR) Pro Se

## Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

<u>10:00 AM</u>

2:22-16769 Nikita K. Knowles

Chapter 13

#2.00 Motion for relief from stay [RP]

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON

VS

**DEBTOR** 

Docket 43

\*\*\* VACATED \*\*\* REASON: O/E 9-29-23 SETTLED BY STIPULATION

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

#### **Party Information**

**Debtor(s):** 

Nikita K. Knowles Represented By

Jaime A Cuevas Jr.

**Movant(s):** 

The Bank of New York Mellon FKA Represented By

Chad L Butler

**Trustee(s):** 

Nancy K Curry (TR) Pro Se

## Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

<u>10:00 AM</u>

2:23-12051 Victoria Elizabeth Shepherd

Chapter 13

#3.00 Motion for relief from stay [RP]

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON

VS

**DEBTOR** 

Docket 47

\*\*\* VACATED \*\*\* REASON: O/E 9-28-23 CONTINUED TO 11-14-23 AT

10:00 A.M.

**Tentative Ruling:** 

- NONE LISTED -

**Party Information** 

**Debtor(s):** 

Victoria Elizabeth Shepherd Represented By

Travis M. Poteat

Movant(s):

The Bank of New York Mellon FKA Represented By

Chad L Butler

**Trustee(s):** 

Nancy K Curry (TR) Pro Se

## Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

10:00 AM

2:23-13076 Michael Jin Ji

Chapter 7

#4.00 Motion for relief from stay [PP]

GLOBAL FEDERAL CREDIT UNION VS.
DEBTOR

Docket 16

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

No opposition was filed.

The motion is GRANTED pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and (d) (2) to permit movant, its successors, transferees and assigns, to enforce its remedies to repossess or otherwise obtain possession and dispose of its collateral pursuant to applicable law, and to use the proceeds from its disposition to satisfy its claim. Movant may not pursue any deficiency claim against the debtor or property of the estate except by filing a proof of claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 501. Since a chapter 7 case does not contemplate reorganization, the sole issue before the court when stay relief is sought under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2) is whether the debtor has equity in the property. See e.g., Nev. Nat'l Bank v. Casgul of Nev., Inc. (In re Casgul of Nev., Inc.), 22 B.R. 65, 66 (9th Cir. BAP 1982); Ramco Indus. v. Preuss (In re Preuss), 15 B.R. 896 (9th Cir. BAP 1981). Appearances waived.

The subject property has a value of \$63,650.00 and is encumbered by a perfected security interest in favor of the movant. That security interest secures a claim of \$96,800.88. There is no equity in the subject property and no evidence that the trustee can administer the subject property for the benefit of creditors. This is "cause" to terminate the stay under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1).

The 14-day stay of FRBP 4001(a)(3) is ordered waived due to the fact that the movant's collateral is being used by the debtor without compensation and is depreciating in value. **All other relief is denied**.

The movant shall submit an appropriate order.

## Los Angeles Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

10:00 AM

CONT... Michael Jin Ji Chapter 7

**Party Information** 

**Debtor(s):** 

Michael Jin Ji Represented By

Jonathan J. Lo

**Movant(s):** 

Global Federal Credit Union f/k/a Represented By

Diana Torres-Brito

**Trustee(s):** 

Sam S Leslie (TR) Pro Se

Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

10:00 AM

2:23-11438 Wavecrest Enterprises LLC

Chapter 11

#5.00 Motion for Relief from Stay [RP]

**DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY** 

VS

**DEBTOR** 

Docket 127

\*\*\* VACATED \*\*\* REASON: Motion heard on 9-26-23at 10 a.m.

**Tentative Ruling:** 

- NONE LISTED -

#### **Party Information**

**Debtor(s):** 

Wavecrest Enterprises LLC Represented By

Thomas B Ure

**Movant(s):** 

DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST Represented By

Anne K Edwards

#### Los Angeles Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

<u>10:00 AM</u>

2:23-15905 Juventino Moreno Vazquez and Lorena Moreno

Chapter 11

#6.00

Motion in Individual Case for Order Imposing a Stay or Continuing Stay as the Court Deems Appropriate

Docket 5

**Tentative Ruling:** 

None.

**Party Information** 

**Debtor(s):** 

Juventino Moreno Vazquez Represented By

Onyinye N Anyama

**Joint Debtor(s):** 

Lorena Moreno Represented By

Onyinye N Anyama

**Movant(s):** 

Juventino Moreno Vazquez Represented By

Onyinye N Anyama

Lorena Moreno Represented By

Onyinye N Anyama

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM 2:07-00000

Chapter 0

#0.00

PLEASE REFER TO THE RECENTLY UPDATED COURT WEBSITE, "PHONE/VIDEO APPEARANCES" TAB, TO DETERMINE IF PHONE/VIDEO APPEARANCE IS PERMITTED FOR YOUR MATTER OR WHETHER IN PERSON APPEARANCE IS REQUIRED.

Individuals may appear by ZoomGov video and audio using a personal computer (equipped with camera, microphone and speaker), or a handheld mobile device (such as an iPhone). Individuals may participate by ZoomGov audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply). Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account are necessary to participate and no pre-registration is required.

## Join By Computer

Meeting URL: https://cacb.zoomgov.com/j/1600138932

Meeting ID: 160 013 8932

Password: 313517

## Join By Telephone

Dial: +1 669 254 5252 or +1 669 216 1590 or +1 551 285 1373 or

+1 646 828 7666 or 833 568 8864 (Toll Free)Meeting ID:

Meeting ID: 160 013 8932

Password: 313517

Docket 0

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

#### Los Angeles Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

2:23-10630 Mario Robert Sanchez

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:23-01145 First City Credit Union v. Sanchez et al

#1.00 Status Conference re Complaint to determine dischargibility of debt

fr 7-18-23; 8-22-23

Docket 1

**Tentative Ruling:** 

None.

**Party Information** 

**Debtor(s):** 

Mario Robert Sanchez Represented By

Sundee M Teeple

**Defendant(s):** 

Mario Robert Sanchez Pro Se

Aileen M. Sanchez Pro Se

**Joint Debtor(s):** 

Aileen M Sanchez Represented By

Sundee M Teeple

Plaintiff(s):

First City Credit Union Represented By

Karel Rocha

**Trustee(s):** 

Wesley H Avery (TR) Pro Se

# Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

**2:23-12180** Miguel Lopez

Chapter 13

Adv#: 2:23-01366 Sanchez v. Lopez et al

#2.00 Status conference re complaint for determination

lilal

 Debt is Non-Dischargeable Under 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(2)(A);

2. Debt is Non-Dischargeable Under 11 U.S.C. § 523(a)(4);

fr 10-3-23

Docket 1

\*\*\* VACATED \*\*\* REASON: O/E 9/18/23 CONT'D TO 11/14/23 @ 2 P.M.

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

- NONE LISTED -

<b>D</b>	T	4 •
Party	Intorn	1atian
I altv	шичш	iauvii

**Debtor(s):** 

Miguel Lopez Represented By

Michael Jay Berger

**Defendant(s):** 

Miguel Lopez Pro Se

Tess Lopez Pro Se

**Joint Debtor(s):** 

Tess Lopez Represented By

Michael Jay Berger

Plaintiff(s):

Juan Sanchez Represented By

Bryan Naddafi

**Trustee(s):** 

Nancy K Curry (TR) Pro Se

10/2/2023 4:30:23 PM Page 11 of 29

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

**CONT...** Miguel Lopez

Chapter 13

Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

2:18-15972 PH DIP, Inc

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:20-01670 Wong v. Zheng et al

#3.00 Pretrial Conference re Complaint

(1) to Avoid and Recover Fraudulent Transfers Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 544(b), 548(a)(1)(A), and 550, and California Civil Code §§ 3439.04(a)(1), 3439.07 and 3439.09;

(2) to Avoid and Recover Fraudulent Transfers Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 544(b), 548(a)(1)(B) and 550, and California Civil Code §§ 3439.04(a)(2), 3439.05, 3439.07 and 3439.09;

(3) to Avoid and Recover Preferential Transfers Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 and 550;

(4) to Preserve Transfers for the Benefit of

the Estate Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550(a)(2);

(5) Avoidance and Recovery of Property of

the Bankruptcy Estate;

(6) Breach of Fiduciary Duty; and

(7) Declaratory Judgment: Alter Ego

fr 1-26-21; 3-9-21; 4-20-21; 11-2-21; 1-11-22; 3-15-22; 6-7-22; 9-20-22, 12-6-22, 6-6-23

#### **Voluntary dismissal of defendants filed 5/5/2021:**

Playhut GZ (China) Co. LTD, a Chinese Company All Step Corporation LTD Liping Zhang, an Individual Weiqun Liu, an Individual Yunbo Yang, an Individual

## DEFAULT ENTERED BY CLERK AS TO DEFENDANT : AZOOCA ONLY ON 1.14.22

Motion to continue pretrial conference filed 9/27/2023

# Los Angeles Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

CONT... PH DIP, Inc

Chapter 11

Docket 1

\*\*\* VACATED \*\*\* REASON: O/E 9-28-23 CONTINUED TO 1-23-24 AT

2:00 P.M.

**Tentative Ruling:** 

- NONE LISTED -

**Party Information** 

**Debtor(s):** 

PH DIP, Inc Represented By

Robert P Goe Stephen Reider

Rafael R Garcia-Salgado

**Defendant(s):** 

Yu "Brian" Zheng Represented By

Vikrant Chaudhry

Azooca, Inc. Represented By

Kyle E Yaege

Patent Category Corp. Represented By

Kyle E Yaege

Playhut GZ (China) Co., Ltd. Pro Se

All Step Corporation Ltd. Pro Se

Li Li Represented By

Vikrant Chaudhry

Liping Zhang Pro Se

Weiqun Liu Pro Se

Yunbo Yang Pro Se

**Plaintiff(s):** 

James Wong Represented By

10/2/2023 4:30:23 PM

Page 14 of 29

Tuesday, October 3, 2023 Hearing Room 1375

2:00 PM

CONT... PH DIP, Inc Chapter 11

Robert P Goe

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

**2:21-18528** Vision Adelante

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:23-01067 Sharf, solely in his capacity as the Chapter 7 tru v. Torres, an individual et al

#4.00 Motion For Summary Judgment

Docket 11

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

In person appearances are required. See Judge Brand's Procedures
Regarding Phone/Video Appearances and Requests for Priority and
Second Call, which is posted on the Court's website. Failure to comply
may result in sanctions.

The chapter 7 trustee, Mark M. Sharf ("Trustee") filed a complaint (the "Complaint") for turnover and unjust enrichment against the defendants Rodolfo Torres and Josefina Torres ("Defendants"). This matter comes before the Court on the Trustee's motion for summary judgment ("Motion").

For reasons more fully described below, the Court will grant summary judgment as to the unjust enrichment claim.

#### Relevant Factual and Procedural Background:

On November 9, 2021 ("Petition Date"), the debtor Vision Adelante ("Debtor") filed for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. On the same date, Trustee was appointed the subchapter V trustee. Following a series of motions and applications, Trustee was subsequently appointed as the chapter 11 trustee. Ultimately, Trustee was appointed as the chapter 7 trustee when Debtor's case was converted to one under chapter 7 on June 21, 2022.

In its schedules, Debtor identified ownership interest in several real properties, including property located at 1945 N. Summit Ave., Pasadena, California 91103 (the "Property"). Trustee learned, according to Debtor's insider Rosana Torres ("Rosana"), that Defendants occupied the Property but did not pay rent to Debtor. Defendants are Rosana's parents. Upon confirming Defendants occupancy of the Property, Trustee attempted to

## United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Los Angeles Julia Brand, Presiding

Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

#### **CONT...** Vision Adelante

Chapter 7

reach an agreement with Defendants—they could continue to occupy the Property in exchange for payment of \$2,500.00 per month in rent—but Defendants declined Trustee's offer.

On January 25, 2023, Trustee commenced the instant adversary proceeding for turnover and unjust enrichment against Defendants. However, because the Property was sold on May 25, 2023 ("Sale Date") pursuant to Court order, the Trustee's claim for turnover is now moot.

#### **Summary Judgment Standard**

In order to succeed on a motion for summary judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56, made applicable to adversary actions in bankruptcy by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7056, the movant must establish the lack of a genuine issue of material fact and entitlement to judgment as a matter of law. *In re Aubrey*, 111 B.R. 268, 272 (BAP 9th Cir. 1990). The moving party must support its motion with credible evidence, as defined in Rule 56(c), which would entitle it to a directed verdict if not controverted at trial. *Id*.

The party seeking summary judgment bears the initial burden of establishing the absence of a genuine issue of material fact. *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317, 322-23 (1986). A dispute is "genuine" if there is sufficient evidence for a reasonable fact-finder to hold in favor of the non-moving party, and a fact is "material" if it might affect the outcome of the case. *Far Out Prods., Inc. v. Oskar*, 247 F.3d 986, 992 (9th Cir. 2001) *citing Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.,* 477 U.S. 242, 248-49 (1986). Once the moving party has met its initial burden, the non-moving party must show specific facts establishing the existence of genuine issues of fact for trial. *Anderson,* 477 U.S. at 256.

A party responding to a summary judgment motion may not rest upon mere allegations or denials in its pleadings. Rather the party must present admissible evidence showing that there is a genuine dispute for trial. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e). If a party fails to address another party's assertion of fact, the court may consider the fact undisputed for purposes of the summary judgment motion. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e)(2). Substantive law determines which

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

#### **CONT...** Vision Adelante

Chapter 7

facts are material for purposes of summary judgment. *Anderson*, 477 U.S. at 248. "Summary judgment will not lie if the dispute about a material fact is 'genuine,' that is, if the evidence is such that a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party." *Id*.

The court must view all the evidence in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party. *In re Barboza*, 545 F.3d 702, 707 (9th Cir. 2008). The court may not evaluate the credibility of a witness or weigh the evidence. *California Steel & Tube v. Kaiser Steel Corp.*, 650 F.2d 1001, 1003 (9th Cir. 1981).

#### **Unjust Enrichment Elements**

When a defendant receives a benefit in circumstances such that it would be unwarranted to retain that benefit at the expense of another, the defendant is said to be unjusty enriched. *Hirsch v. Bank of America*, 107 Cal.App.4th 708, 717 (2003) (citation omitted). "Unjust enrichment is the method of recovery for the value of the benefit retained absent any contractual relationship because notions of fairness and justice require it." *Young v. Young*, 191 P.3d 1258, 1262, (Wash. 2008).

Under California law, the elements of unjust enrichment are: (1) the receipt of a benefit; and (2) the unjust retention of the benefit at the expense of another. Peterson v. Cellco Partnership, 164 Cal.App.4th 1583, 1593 (2008) (citation omitted). The term "benefit" refers to any type of advantage, and includes "not only when one adds to the property of another, but also when one saves the other from expense or loss." Ghirardo v. Antonioli, 14 Cal.4th 39, 51 (1996). When a party has received a benefit from another, it is required to make restitution only if the circumstances of its receipt or retention are such that, as between the two parties, the retention of the benefit is unjust. Id.; see also, California Medical Association, Inc.v. Aetna U.S. Healthcare of California, Inc., 94 Cal.App.4th 151, 171 n. 23 (2001) (citations omitted).

Trustee argues there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and he is entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law. Trustee contends that Defendants received a benefit, by way of occupancy of the Property from the Petition Date to Sale Date, for which they knowingly accepted. The Property

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

#### **CONT...** Vision Adelante

Chapter 7

is owned by Debtor. Trustee argues it is inequitable for Defendants to retain the benefit conferred without paying Debtor's bankruptcy estate for its value of \$2,500 in rent per month for 18 months from the Petition Date to Sale Date, an amount totaling \$45,000.00. As evidence of the fair market rental value, Trustee offers the Declaration of Lara Davis, a licensed real estate salesperson employed with RE/MAX Estate Properties. Ms. Davis listed the Property for sale on July 25, 2022. Her analysis lists the unit occupied by Defendants as in good condition and approximately 1,000 square feet, consisting of 2 bedrooms, a kitchen, living room, dining room, family room and 2 bathrooms with a fair market rental value of \$2,662.50 per month. The sale of the Property closed on May 25, 2023.

In response, Defendants argue that there are genuine issues of material fact in dispute as to preclude the granting of summary judgment. First, Defendants contend a valid lease agreement existed with Anita Pardo for \$1,000 per month in rent, dated March 1, 2019. The lease agreement had an initial term of one year and became a month-to-month tenancy thereafter. Second, under the lease agreement, Defendants continuously made their monthly rent payment to Anita Pardo. Defendants concede that Trustee offered them the opportunity to continue to reside in the Property pursuant to the terms as stated by Trustee, but Defendants declined the offer. Defendants add that they were never instructed to pay their monthly rent to Trustee. Lastly, Defendants contend based on their familiarity with the condition of the Property that the fair market rental value is \$1,100.00 per month.

In this case, Defendants have not met their burden to refute (1) that they failed to pay monthly rent to Debtor or (2) that the fair market rental value should be an amount other than as stated by Trustee. The unrefuted evidence established that Debtor, not Anita Pardo, is the owner of the Property pursuant to the Grant Deed recorded on June 24, 2020 with the Los Angeles County Recorder's Office. See Request for Judicial Notice, Exh. 2 [Docket No. 16]. The evidence also established that the fair market rental value of the Property is \$2,662.50 per month between November 2021 (Petition Date) and May 2023 (Sale Date). Here, Defendants must show specific facts necessary to sustain their burden that a genuine issue of fact exists for trial. Defendants only provide a self-serving declaration with

Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

#### **CONT...** Vision Adelante

Chapter 7

conclusionary statements regarding the rental value. Without more, Defendants fail to meet their burden. Further, whether Trustee communicated to Defendants that rent payments should be made either to Trustee or Debtor's bankruptcy estate is irrelevant. Defendants were unjustly enriched.

Based on the foregoing, the Court finds Defendants failed to establish the existence of a genuine dispute of material fact to be resolved at trial. The Court shall grant summary judgment as to the unjust enrichment claim.

#### **Party Information**

**Debtor(s):** 

Vision Adelante Pro Se

**Defendant(s):** 

Rodolfo Torres, an individual Represented By

Thomas B Ure

Josefina Torres, an individual Represented By

Thomas B Ure

**Plaintiff(s):** 

Mark M. Sharf, solely in his capacity Represented By

Nathan F Smith

Trustee(s):

Mark M Sharf (TR) Represented By

Christina J Khil Arturo Cisneros Nathan F Smith - Malcolm Cisneros

## Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

**2:21-18528** Vision Adelante

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:23-01067 Sharf, solely in his capacity as the Chapter 7 tru v. Torres, an individual et al

#5.00 Pretrial Conference re Complaint for:

1) Turnover; and

2) Unjust enrichment

FR 7-25-23

Docket 1

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

None.

Party 1	Inform	ation

**Debtor(s):** 

Vision Adelante Pro Se

**Defendant(s):** 

Rodolfo Torres, an individual Pro Se

Josefina Torres, an individual Pro Se

**Plaintiff(s):** 

Mark M. Sharf, solely in his capacity Represented By

Nathan F Smith

**Trustee(s):** 

Mark M Sharf (TR)

Represented By

Christina J Khil Arturo Cisneros Nathan F Smith - Malcolm Cisneros

Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

2:17-14484 RPM Harbor Services, Inc.

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:20-01156 RPM Harbor Services, Inc. et al v. Turcios

#6.00 Status Conference re Notice of Removal

fr 8-25-20; 10-20-20; 11-10-20; 11-17-20,4-20-21; 8-24-21; 11-16-21; 1-11-22; 1-25-22; 3-15-22; 8-2-22

fr 3-7-23

Docket 1

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

The Court has reviewed the Joint Status Report. The status conference is continued to May 28, 2024 at 2:00 p.m. **Appearances waived**.

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

RPM Harbor Services, Inc.

Represented By

Vanessa M Haberbush

Lane K Bogard
David R Haberbush
Michael B Lubic

**Defendant(s):** 

Gabriel Turcios Represented By

Michael Simon Robert S Marticello Daniel Srourian

**Plaintiff(s):** 

RPM Harbor Services, Inc. Represented By

Michael B Lubic Armando V Arballo

Shawn Duke Represented By

Michael B Lubic

Tuesday, October 3, 2023 Hearing Room 1375

<u>2:00 PM</u>

CONT... RPM Harbor Services, Inc. Chapter 11

Armando V Arballo

Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

2:17-14484 RPM Harbor Services, Inc.

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:20-01156 RPM Harbor Services, Inc. et al v. Turcios

#7.00 Motion for Remand of Civil Action to California Superior Court Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1447 and 1452(b) and Request for Attorney's Fees and Costs

fr. 10-20-20; 11-10-20; 11-17-20,4-20-21; 8-24-21; 11-16-21; 1-11-22; 1-25-22; 3-15-22; 8-2-22 fr 3-7-23

Docket 21

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

The Court has reviewed the Joint Status Report. The status conference is continued to May 28, 2024 at 2:00 p.m. **Appearances waived.** 

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

RPM Harbor Services, Inc.

Represented By

Vanessa M Haberbush

Lane K Bogard David R Haberbush Michael B Lubic

**Defendant(s):** 

Gabriel Turcios Represented By

Michael Simon Robert S Marticello Daniel Srourian

Movant(s):

Gabriel Turcios Represented By

Michael Simon Robert S Marticello

10/2/2023 4:30:23 PM

Page 24 of 29

Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023 Hearing Room 1375

2:00 PM

CONT... RPM Harbor Services, Inc. Chapter 11

Daniel Srourian

**Plaintiff(s):** 

RPM Harbor Services, Inc. Represented By

Michael B Lubic Armando V Arballo

Shawn Duke Represented By

Michael B Lubic Armando V Arballo

## United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Los Angeles Julia Brand, Presiding

Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

**Hearing Room** 

1375

2:00 PM

2:17-14484 RPM Harbor Services, Inc.

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:20-01156 RPM Harbor Services, Inc. et al v. Turcios

#8.00 Motion for Order to Show Cause why Plaintiff and Gabriel Turcios and his Counsel Daniel Srourian

should not be held in contempt of Court

fr 11-10-20; 11-17-20,4-20-21; 8-24-21; 11-16-21;

1-11-22; 1-25-22; 3-15-22; 8-2-22

fr 3-7-23

Docket 26

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

The Court has reviewed the Joint Status Report. The status conference is continued to May 28, 2024 at 2:00 p.m. **Appearances waived**.

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

RPM Harbor Services, Inc.

Represented By

Vanessa M Haberbush

Lane K Bogard David R Haberbush Michael B Lubic

**Defendant(s):** 

Gabriel Turcios Represented By

Michael Simon Robert S Marticello Daniel Srourian

Movant(s):

RPM Harbor Services, Inc.

Represented By

Michael B Lubic Armando V Arballo

Shawn Duke Represented By

10/2/2023 4:30:23 PM Page 26 of 29

Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023 Hearing Room 1375

<u>2:00 PM</u>

CONT... RPM Harbor Services, Inc. Chapter 11

Michael B Lubic Armando V Arballo

**Plaintiff(s):** 

RPM Harbor Services, Inc. Represented By

Michael B Lubic Armando V Arballo

Shawn Duke Represented By

Michael B Lubic Armando V Arballo

Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023

Hearing Room

1375

2:00 PM

2:17-14484 RPM Harbor Services, Inc.

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:20-01156 RPM Harbor Services, Inc. et al v. Turcios

#9.00 Motion to Dismiss Adversary Proceeding

fr 11-17-20,4-20-21; 8-24-21; 11-16-21; 1-11-22; 1-25-22; 3-15-22; 8-2-22 fr 3-7-23

Docket 28

#### **Tentative Ruling:**

The Court has reviewed the Joint Status Report. The hearing is continued to May 28, 2024 at 2:00 p.m. **Appearances waived.** 

#### **Party Information**

#### **Debtor(s):**

RPM Harbor Services, Inc.

Represented By

Vanessa M Haberbush

Lane K Bogard
David R Haberbush
Michael B Lubic

**Defendant(s):** 

Gabriel Turcios Represented By

Michael Simon Robert S Marticello Daniel Srourian

Movant(s):

RPM Harbor Services, Inc. Represented By

Michael B Lubic Armando V Arballo

Shawn Duke Represented By

Michael B Lubic

Julia Brand, Presiding Courtroom 1375 Calendar

Tuesday, October 3, 2023 Hearing Room 1375

2:00 PM

CONT... RPM Harbor Services, Inc. Chapter 11

Armando V Arballo

**Plaintiff(s):** 

RPM Harbor Services, Inc. Represented By

Michael B Lubic Armando V Arballo

Shawn Duke Represented By

Michael B Lubic Armando V Arballo