### Thursday, March 27, 2025

Hearing Room 301

Chapter 13

# 10:00 AM 1:23-10324 Lisa Fancher Adv#: 1:23-01026 Mayorga v. Fancher et al

#1.00 Trial Date Set re: First Amended Complaint for Nondischargeability and Objecting to Discharge

Docket 24

# **Tentative Ruling:**

For the reasons stated on the record on March 23, 2025, the Court will deny defendant's motion in limine "G" to exclude plaintiff's exhibit 83 [doc. 183].

The Court will deny defendant's motion in limine "D" to exclude Mr. Martorell's declaration [doc. 180], motion in limine "E" [doc. 181] and motion in limine "F" [182].

The Court will overrule all of defendant's evidentiary objections [doc. 167] to the Declaration of Cedar Boschan [doc. 157]

Regarding the Declartion of Wayne Green [doc. 166], plaintiff objects that defendant did not provide necessary disclosures required under Rule 26(a)(2). Mr. Greene was disclosed as a witness on the proposed joint witness list filed on November 6, 2024 [doc. 100-2]; in that witness list, the topics of Mr. Greene's testimony were described as "[r]oyalty accountings, payments, calculations of royalties."

Is plaintiff's issue that a written report for this expert witness was not provided to plaintiff by the deadline of December 16, 2024, as set forth in the Order Setting: (1) Continued Pretrial Conference and Related Deadlines; and (2) Trial [doc. 114]?

Regarding the evidentiary objections to the identified paragraphs in the declarations below, the Court will rule as set forth below.

# Defendant's Evidentiary Objections to Declaration of Louis Mayorga [doc. 158]

paras. 4, 17 ("and found clear evidence that she had miscalculated my royalties. This confirmed that she had concealed streaming revenue that should have been paid to

### Thursday, March 27, 2025

### Hearing Room 301

Chapter 13

### <u>10:00 AM</u>

### CONT... Lisa Fancher

*me."*), 20 (except as to the authentication of Trial Exhibit 4), 21, 23 (lns. 16-18): sustain

paras. 5, 7, 8, 9 (ln. 18), 10 (lns. 23-26), 11 (lns. 3-5), 12, 14, 16 (lns. 6-9), 18, 19, 22, 26: overrule

**Defendant's Evidentiary Objections to Reply Declaration of Louis Mayorga** [doc. 173]

paras. 5, 7, 11-15, 17, 18: sustain

paras. 3, 4 ("That is not what she told me at the time."), 6, 8-10, 16: overrule

# **Defendant's Evidentiary Objections to Declaration of Eduardo Martorell** [doc. 159-1]

paras. 2 -9: overrule

### Plaintiff's Evidentiary Objections to Declaration of Lisa Fancher [doc. 165]

paras. 5, 10, 12 (p. 3, lns. 22-27, p. 4, lns. 1-7, ), 14 (lns. 14-24), 15, 16, 17, 18 (lns. 20-21), 20, 22, 23, 24, 29, 30, 31 ("*Therefore, my statement was not knowingly false as Mayorga asserts.*"), 32, 34, 36 ("*so again, not far off despite Mayorga's hyperbolic claims which have never been supported by any credible or actual evidence.*"), 38, 41 (second sentence), 43, 46 (last sentence), 47 ("*However, my lawyers advised that the Civil Code Section 2501 did not require that.*"), 48 ("*even though third party documents were not required pursuant to the express language of Civil Code Section 2501*"), 51, 55, 60, 61 (first sentence and "*because California Civil Code Section 2501 does not order the production of third party documents*"), 62, 65: sustain

paras. 6 (lns. 21-22), 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 19, 21, 25, 26, 27 (lns. 18-24), 28, 33, 35, 37 ("None of the royalty calculations I did included digital streaming royalties."), 39, 40, 41 (first sentence), 42, 44, 46 ("In July 2020, my attorney, Michael Ackerman wrote to Plaintiff's counsel, offering to show documents regarding physical sales, digital downloads, and synchronization license revenues . . . . for three years, but not digital streaming documents. I was copied on this correspondence."), 49, 50, 52, 53, 54, 56,

### Thursday, March 27, 2025

### Hearing Room 301

Chapter 13

### <u>10:00 AM</u>

CONT... Lisa Fancher

57, 58, 59, 61 ("On advice of counsel, I refused to supply third party documents" and "I did not have third party documents anyway. Thus, I prepared and provided only the books and records belonging to Frontier Records."): overrule

**Plaintiff's Evidentiary Objections to Declaration of A. Eric Bjorgum** [doc. 170] paras. 6-8, 9 (and fn. 1 thereto), 10-21, 23 (and fn. 2 thereto), 24-31: sustain

paras. 22, 24, 25: overrule

\*Mr. Bjorgum's declaration contains two paragraphs numbered as para. 10. Only the first para. 10 is subject to an evidentiary objection.

### Plaintiff's Evidentiary Objections to Declaration of David Hirshland [doc. 164]

para. 6, 8 (first two sentences, lns. 24-27), 9 (second sentence), 10 (third and fourth sentences, lns. 10-14), 12 (last sentence), 14 ("and presumably statements were sent consistently without interruption from the time Fancher/American Lesion Music entered into the agreement with Bug Music"), 15, 18-30: sustain

paras. 8 ("At some point prior to my employment at Bug Music, Bug Music entered into an agreement with Fancher/American Lesion Music."), 9 ("Because I dealt with the business relationship later, I understand the relationship to be that Bug, and later BMG, would administer the songs published by American Lesion Music."), 10 (first and second sentences), 11, 12 (lns. 22-27), 13, 14 ("Bug and BMG paid Louis Mayorga for his shares of Suicidal Tendencies song revenues and sent statements quarterly showing any activity for those songs. Statements were sent consistently without interruption from the time I began at Bug Music"), 16, 17: overrule

### **Party Information**

### **Debtor(s):**

Lisa Fancher

Represented By James R Selth

Defendant(s):

Thursday, March 27, 2025		<b>Hearing Room</b>	301
<u>10:00 AM</u> CONT Lisa Fancher Lisa Fancher	Represented By James R Selth	Chap	oter 13
BMG Rights Management (US)	Pro Se		
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>			
Louis Mayorga	Represented By Eduardo Martorell		
Trustee(s):			
Elizabeth (SV) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		