Tuesday, April 2, 2024

Hearing Room 5B

<u>10:30 AM</u> **8:00-00000**

Chapter

#0.00 Hearings on this calendar will be conducted using ZoomGov video and audio.

For information about appearing in person (or a hybrid hearing) please visit https://www.cacb.uscourts.gov/judges/honorable-theodor-c-albert.

Case participants may connect to the video and audio feeds, free of charge, using the connection information provided below.

BY MANDATE OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS, MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND THE MEDIA MAY ONLY CONNECT TO THE ZOOMGOV AUDIO FEED, AND ONLY BY TELEPHONE. ACCESS TO THE VIDEO FEED BY THESE INDIVIDUALS IS PROHIBITED. IN THE CASE OF A TRIAL OR EVIDENTIARY HEARING, NO AUDIO ACCESS WILL BE PROVIDED.

Individuals may participate by ZoomGov video and audio using a personal computer (equipped with camera, microphone and speaker), or a handheld mobile device (such as an iPhone or Android phone). Individuals may opt to participate by audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply).

Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account is necessary to participate and no pre-registration is required. The audio portion of each hearing will be recorded electronically by the Court and constitutes its official record.

Video/audio web address: https://cacb.zoomgov.com/j/1608308596

Tuesday, Ap	Hearing Room 5B	
<u>10:30 AM</u> CONT		Chapter
	ZoomGov meeting number:	160 830 8596
	Password:	844318
	Telephone conference lines:	1 (669) 254 5252 or 1 (646) 828 7666

For more information on appearing before Judge Albert by ZoomGov, please see the "Notice of Video and Telephonic Appearance Procedures for Judge Theodor C. Albert's Cases" on the Court's website at: https://www.cacb.uscourts.gov/judges/honorable-theodor-c-albert under the "Telephonic Instructions" section.

To assist in creating a proper record and for the efficiency of these proceedings, please:

- Connect early so that you have time to check in.
- Change your Zoom name to include your calendar number, first initial and last name, and client name *(ex. 5, R. Smith, ABC Corp.)* if appearing by video. This can be done by clicking on "More" and "Rename" from the Participants list or by clicking on the three dots on your video tile.
- Mute your audio to minimize background noise unless and until it is your turn to speak. Consider turning your video off until it is your turn to appear.
- Say your name every time you speak.
- Disconnect from the meeting by clicking "Leave" when you have

Tuesday, April 2, 2024	Hearing Room 5	B
<u>10:30 AM</u> CONT	Chapte	er

Docket 0

completed your appearance(s).

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Tuesday, April 2, 2024		I	Iearing Room	5B		
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:24-10459	Ted Titmas				Cha	apter 7
#1.00	Motion for relief RESIDENTIAL (OST Signed 3-2		omatic stay UNL/	AWFUL DETA	AINER RE:	
		Docket	14			
Tentative	Ruling:					
	ative for April 2, 20 t. Appearance req					
		Party I	nformation			
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>					
Ted	Titmas		Pro Se			
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>					
Karer	n S Naylor (TR)		Pro Se			

Tuesday, April 2, 2024		Hearing Room	5B
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:24-10487	Roberto Valencia Marquez and Veronica Ugalde Resendiz	Cha	pter 7
#2.00	Motion for relief from the automatic stay UNLAWFUL DET	AINER	

GP MIRALOMA LLC Vs. DEBTORS

Docket 11

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative for April 2, 2024 Grant as unopposed. Appearance required.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Roberto Valencia Marquez

Joint Debtor(s):

Veronica Ugalde Resendiz

Movant(s):

GP MIRALOMA LLC

Trustee(s):

Karen S Naylor (TR)

Represented By Randy Alexander

Represented By Randy Alexander

Represented By Larry Rothman

Tuesday, April 2, 2024		earing Room	5B
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:23-12710	Nhung Thi Tuyet Nguyen	Cha	pter 7
#3.00	Motion for relief from the automatic stay PERSONAL PROP	ERTY	

BMW BANK OF NORTH AMERICA Vs. DEBTOR

Docket 11

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative for April 2, 2024 Grant as unopposed and no equity. Appearance required.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Nhung Thi Tuyet Nguyen

Represented By Kevin J Kunde

Movant(s):

BMW Bank of North America

Trustee(s):

Richard A Marshack (TR)

Represented By Cheryl A Skigin

Tuesday, Ap	oril 2, 2024	Hearing Room	5B
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:24-10021	Christopher B Cole	Cha	pter 7
#4.00	Motion for relief from the automatic stay PERSONAL PR	OPERTY	
	ACAR LEASING LTD dba GM FINANCIAL LEASING Vs. DEBTOR		

Docket 20

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative for April 2, 2024 Grant. Appearance required.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

_

Christopher B Cole

Represented By A Mina Tran

Movant(s):

ACAR Leasing LTD d/b/a GM $\,$

Trustee(s):

Richard A Marshack (TR)

Sheryl K Ith

Represented By

Tuesday, April 2, 2024		Hearing Room	5B
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:24-10274	Shuhao Zheng	Ch	apter 7
#5.00	Motion for relief from the automatic stay PERSONAL PR	OPERTY	
	VW CREDIT, INC. Vs. DEBTOR		
	Docket 8		
Tentative	Ruling:		
	tive for April 2, 2024 as unopposed. Appearance required.		
	Party Information		
Dobtor(s)			

<u>Debtor(s):</u>

Shuhao Zheng

Represented By Rex Tran

Movant(s):

VW Credit, Inc.

<u>Trustee(s):</u>

Richard A Marshack (TR)

Represented By Kirsten Martinez

Tuesday, Al	pril 2, 2024		Hearing Room	5B
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:22-11186	Philip Gus Randazzo		Cha	apter 7
#6.00	Motion for relief from the aut (cont'd from 2-27-24)	omatic stay REAL PROPER	ΤY	
	WILMINGTON TRUST, NAT Vs. DEBTOR	IONAL ASSOCIATION		
	Docket	206		
Tentative	e Ruling:			

Tentative for April 2, 2024 Nothing additional? Valuation? Grant. Appearance required.

Tentative for February 27, 2024

This motion for relief of stay is brought by the creditor Wilmington Trust, holding a claim of \$2,640,000 secured by the first deed of trust. The motion is brought under alternate theories of: 1. "cause", including lack of adequate protection [§362(d)1)] and/or 2. no equity and not necessary for a reorganization [§362(d)(2). Since this is now a chapter 7 we can safely assume the property is not necessary to a reorganization. So both prongs turn on the question of value, i.e. whether there is any equity in the property or at least whether the movant's lien is senior enough such that we are concerned primarily with whether the value behind the first trust deed is sufficient to warrant delaying foreclosure because the movant is adequately protected (at least in the absence of adequate protection payments). The evidence on the measure of that value is sketchy. We have a broker's opinion of a value @\$4,100,000. Creditor offers a valuation @\$3,580,000, taken apparently from the debtor's schedules. But even at the higher value the extent of cushion is speculative given a still slower real estate market.

Tuesday, April 2, 2024

Hearing Room 5B

Chapter 7

<u>10:30 AM</u>

CONT... Philip Gus Randazzo

The trustee's lack of any opposition can also be read as his conclusion that there is no value net of liens that could be obtained here.

Debtor offers a very vague suggestion that negotiations with the trustee to extract some value for the estate by subordinating the third deed of trust are underway. Well, maybe. More guibbles are offered having to do with a possible reduced sale commission. Again, maybe, as in the best case that only gives a percentage or two, hardly sufficient by itself for any further delay. The court is very skeptical particularly because nothing is heard from the trustee. But the burden of valuation is upon the movant and it is not carried here, at least not convincingly so, at this juncture because we lack an appraisal. Perhaps more importantly, there seems little or no bankruptcy purpose being fulfilled here in the absence of a clear and immediate deal of some kind with the trustee. But if debtor's scheme is to provide some reason for a delay it needs far more substance than we've seen so far. The court will give thirty more days, or thereabouts, which might be extended if adequate protection payments are also made to movant or some near prospect emerges of a deal. None are offered to date and, absent some reason to put greater faith in debtor's schemes than presented so far the stay is not likely much longer in duration. Also, movant can better solidify its case for next hearing with a formal valuation of the property.

Continue thirty days. Appearance required.

	Party Information
<u>Debtor(s):</u>	
Philip Gus Randazzo	Pro Se
<u>Movant(s):</u>	
Wilmington Trust, National	Represented By Jennifer C Wong
Trustee(s):	
Jeffrey I Golden (TR)	Pro Se

Tuesday, April 2, 2024	Hearing Room	5B

Chapter 7

<u>11:00 AM</u>

- 8:22-11712 Chyle E Beaird
 - #7.00 Objection To The Debtor's Claim Of Exemption
 (cont'd from 2-27-24 per order approving stip. to cont. hrg on the tr's objection to the debtor's exemption entered 2-26-24)

Docket 0

*** VACATED *** REASON: CONTINUED TO 5/07/24 AT 11:00 A.M. PER ORDER APPROVING STIPULATION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON THE TRUSTEE'S OBJECTION TO THE DEBTOR'S EXEMPTION ENTERED 4-01-24

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative for April 2, 2024 Status? Absent other development, sustain. Appearance required.

Tentative for February 27, 2024 Sustain. Appearance is optional.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Chyle E Beaird

Represented By Julie J Villalobos

Trustee(s):

Richard A Marshack (TR)

Represented By Anerio V Altman

Tuesday, April 2, 2024		Hearing Room	5B
<u>11:00 AM</u> 8:22-11091	Martin Arnold Van Der Hoeven	Cha	pter 7

#8.00 Order To Show Cause Why Michael Kocourek Should Not Be Held In Civil Contempt Due To His: (cont'd from 3-26-24)

> a). Failing To Appear For His FRBP 2004 Examination On The Originally Scheduled Date Of July 13, 2023, On The Erroneous Basis That He Had Emergency Business For Debtor-Related Entity Fuzelo Inc;

b). Failing To Produce Ordered Documents Responsive To Any Of 30 Categories Of Sought By Troiano's Subpoena Prior To Or At Kocourek's Rescheduled August 24, 2023 FRBP 2004 Examination Without Objecting To The Requests, Moving To Quash The Subpoena, Or Moving For A Protective Order; and

c). Failing To Performj An Adequate Search For Such Documents.

Docket 0

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative for April 2, 2024 Continued to April 23, 2024 at 11:00 a.m. Appearance excused.

Tentative for March 26, 2024 Status? Appearance required.

Tentative for March 5, 2024 Status? Appearance required.

Tuesday, April 2, 2024

Hearing Room 5B

11:00 AMCONT...Martin Arnold Van Der Hoeven

Chapter 7

Tentative for January 30, 2024

Troiano argues in his response that Kocourek allegedly never reviewed the documents produced by Debtor or asked him about which documents have been produced, so there is no way that he could know he did not have additional documents. During the meet and confer process after the examination, Kocourek allegedly agreed to perform a supplemental search for documents, but never did so. Finally, even if Kocourek only has documents that Debtor already produced, Troiano is still entitled to know what those documents are.

Like Troiano, the court also does not buy that Kocourek, as an officer of the five debtor-related entities, does not have access or ability to provide basic corporate formational documents, responsive emails, or other communications. Kocourek's only argument here is that he did not provide documents because he either did not have them in his possession or Debtor already provided them. Further, as argued by Troiano, even if Debtor already produced relevant documents, Kocourek was still required to comply with the 2004 Examination Order, even if it would be duplicative. Especially since it is unclear at this point whether it would have been the same documents as there appears to have been no communication between Debtor and Kocourek regarding what was to be produced. Accordingly, the court finds that Troiano has provided clear and convincing evidence that there was a violation of a court order, and Kocourek has not provided a persuasive argument as to why he should not be held in civil contempt. The court is more interested in seeing that discovery obligations are met than in determining what measures are needed to compel obedience. Therefore, the parties are to meet and confer and exchange a written punch list of all the categories of documents requested, with a specific listing of what has been produced and what is known to exist but not produced. If requested documents do not exist to the knowledge of the alleged contemnor, that must be stated, with specificity in writing under penalty of perjury. The court will continue the hearing about thirty days and will thereupon evaluate any levels of willful disobedience based on this exchange in assessing remedies.

Appearance required.

Tuesday, April 2, 2024		Hearing Room	5B
11:00 AM CONT Martin Arnold Van Der Hoeven Party Info	rmation	Cha	pter 7
<u>Debtor(s):</u>			
Martin Arnold Van Der Hoeven	Represented By Leonard M Shulman		
<u>Trustee(s):</u>			
Karen S Naylor (TR)	Pro Se		