

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, September 23, 2025

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

2:00-00000

Chapter

#0.00

**PROCEDURES FOR APPEARING FOR, OR ACCESSING,
COURT HEARINGS IN JUDGE KWAN'S CASES**

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC AND THE MEDIA: See Special Instructions Below.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PARTIES OFFICIALLY APPEARING ON THEIR MATTERS AT HEARINGS BEFORE JUDGE KWAN AND THEIR COUNSEL: Judge Kwan conducts non-evidentiary hearings in hybrid format, that is, in person in the courtroom and remotely by video using Zoom for Government (ZoomGov) videoconferencing technology, but only in person in the courtroom for evidentiary hearings, trials and other matters specially set by Judge Kwan. Parties officially appearing on their matters at hearings before Judge Kwan and their counsel may choose to appear in person in the courtroom or remotely on ZoomGov at a hearing on their matters unless otherwise ordered by the court. Judge Kwan's courtroom is located in Courtroom 1675, 16th Floor, Roybal Federal Building, 255 East Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. Parties are directed to review Judge Kwan's self-scheduling instructions for calendaring hearings, whether by in-person and/or ZoomGov.

For parties and their counsel officially appearing on their matters using ZoomGov to appear remotely at hearings, video and audio connection information for each hearing will be provided on Judge Kwan's publicly posted hearing calendar on the court's website, which may be viewed online at: <http://ecf-ciao.cacb.uscourts.gov/CiaoPosted/default.aspx>, and then selecting "Judge Kwan" from the tab on the left-hand side of the page.

Parties and their counsel officially appearing on their matters may view and/or listen to hearings before Judge Kwan using ZoomGov free of charge. Individual participants may appear at a hearing by ZoomGov video and audio using a personal computer (equipped with camera, microphone and speaker), or a handheld mobile device (such as an iPhone or Android phone). Individual participants may also participate in a hearing by ZoomGov audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply). Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account are necessary to

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, September 23, 2025

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

CONT...

Chapter

participate in a hearing, and no pre-registration is required. The audio portion of each hearing will be recorded electronically by the court and constitute its official record.

To implement the mandate of the Judicial Conference of the United States that the general public and the media may not access the video feed of a court hearing, only the audio feed (see Special Instructions to the General Public and the Media below), Judge Kwan or court personnel may inquire as to the status of a person accessing ZoomGov as either an official hearing participant or a member of the general public or the media, and the court may place persons attempting access to video feed of a court hearing in a Zoom waiting room for a status inquiry and otherwise restrict a member of the general public or the media to audio access only if accessing the hearing remotely.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC AND THE MEDIA: The Judicial Conference of the United States has now clarified its policy on Cameras in the Courtroom and mandated that the members of the general public (or the Public) and the Media may not observe **by video** any court hearing proceedings unless they are actual parties or counsel with matters before the court in which they have an official interest. However, as an accommodation to the Public and the Media, the Judicial Conference of the United States has also clarified that many court hearing proceedings will still be accessible **by audio**, but that this audio accommodation for the Public and the Media is limited to (1) non-trial hearings; and (2) non-live witness evidentiary hearings.

To be clear, during hearings where no live testimony is being received by the court, the court may permit hearing accessibility remotely by audio, but not video, to the Public and the Media. No trials may ever be accessible remotely by audio to the Public and the Media. The court has the final control regarding remote audio accessibility and may choose to terminate remote audio accessibility at any time, regardless of the type of hearing. These remote audio services are accessible through ZoomGov, and the Public and the Media may utilize the telephone number login, but not the video login, presented by the court on its publicly posted hearing calendar, which may be viewed online at: <http://ecf-ciao.cacb.uscourts.gov/CiaoPosted/default.aspx>, and then selecting "Judge Kwan" from the tab on the left-hand side of the page.

Members of the Public and the Media may always personally attend hearings

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, September 23, 2025

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

CONT...

Chapter

before the court in open court in-person in the courtroom. Judge Kwan's courtroom is located in Courtroom 1675, 16th Floor, Roybal Federal Building, 255 East Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

On hearing days, Judge Kwan's courtroom will remain open during hearings for in-person public and media attendance, so that the courtroom observers will have video and audio access to ZoomGov participants. The court will have video monitors on and viewable within the courtroom for viewing. The parties, including counsel, their clients, and self-represented individual parties, may virtually join the hearing and appear remotely or virtually on ZoomGov.

Members of the general public and the media, however, may only view the hearings in person from the courtroom, which will remain open, or by audio access, as noted above. To implement the mandate of the Judicial Conference of the United States that the general public and the media may not access the video feed of a court hearing, only the audio feed, Judge Kwan or court personnel may inquire as to the status of a person accessing ZoomGov as either an official hearing participant or a member of the general public or the media, and the court may place persons attempting access to video feed of a court hearing in a Zoom waiting room for a status inquiry and otherwise restrict a member of the general public or the media to audio access only if accessing the hearing remotely. Individual members of the public and the media may access a hearing by ZoomGov audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply). Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account are necessary to access the live audio feed of a hearing, and no pre-registration is required.

RESTRICTIONS ON LIVE TESTIMONY AT HEARINGS: No live testimony, however, will be permitted at a hearing by ZoomGov unless specifically authorized by the court either prior to, or during, a hearing. If a party intends to call a witness to testify by remote transmission, the party calling the witness should state such intention in the joint pretrial stipulation filed before the final pretrial conference or file a written application for permission to call a witness by remote means at least 21 days before the evidentiary hearing or as soon as practicable if the evidentiary hearing is set on less than 21 days notice.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, September 23, 2025

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

CONT...

Chapter

ZoomGov logon information for all matters on today's hearing calendar:

Video/audio web address: <https://cacb.zoomgov.com/j/1609673475>

ZoomGov meeting number: 160 967 3475

Password: 167259

Telephone conference lines: 1 (669) 254 5252 or 1 (646) 828 7666

Please connect at least 5 minutes before the start of your hearing, and wait with your microphone muted until your matter is called.

Zoomgov hearing etiquette: (a) wait until the judge calls on you, so everyone is not talking at once; (b) when you first speak, state your name and, if you are an attorney, whom you represent (do not make your argument until asked to do so); (c) when you make your argument, please pause from time to time so that, for example, the judge can ask a question or anyone else can make an objection; (d) if the judge does not see that you want to speak, or forgets to call on you, please say so when other parties have finished speaking (do not send a "chat" message, which the judge might not see); and (e) please let the judge know if he mispronounces your name or uses the wrong pronoun.

Docket 0

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, September 23, 2025

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

2:23-16449 People Who Care Youth Center, Inc.

Chapter 11

#1.00 Motion to change its ballot of California Department of Parks and California Department of Parks and Recreation, California Department of Parks and California Department of Parks and Recreation

fr. 9/3/25

Docket 190

Tentative Ruling:

Updated tentative ruling as of 9/18/25. The court has reviewed the moving, opposing, and most recently, the reply papers. The court notes that movant in its reply did not address the creditor's opposition argument that the motion should be denied because under the amended plan, movant is unimpaired and should not be allowed to vote. Arguably, under the second amended plan (docket no. 135, erroneously captioned as the first amended plan, which was docket no. 102) as corrected by the order approving the stipulation to correct typographical error in the plan to state the correct amount of the movant's claim (docket no. 166), movant's rights are left unaltered because the claim is given the same treatment as under debtor's prior reorganization plan which is still in effect. That is, the objecting creditor argues that the motion should be denied because movant no longer has a vote as an impaired creditor because the plan has been corrected so that it leaves movant's rights unaltered under 11 U.S.C. 1124. Movant needs to address the appropriate legal standard for impairment as set out by the Ninth Circuit in *L & J Anaheim Associates (L & J Anaheim Associates v. Kawasaki Leasing International, Inc.)*, 995 F.2d 940, 942-943 (9th Cir. 1993). It seems proper for movant to have voted against the first amended plan because it misstated the amount of its claim, which might have effectuated a reduction of its claim in its treatment under that version of the plan, and thus, its claim was impaired by the plan, and to change its vote because it is satisfied with the plan treatment of its properly stated claim through a corrected plan. Leaving its vote to reject when the plan is corrected does not accurately reflect its position on the plan on the merits, but in correcting the typographical error in the plan, it may mean that its rights are unaffected, or unimpaired under 11 U.S.C. 1124. The objecting creditor's remaining arguments that the vote

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, September 23, 2025

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

CONT... People Who Care Youth Center, Inc.

Chapter 11

change is late and is tainted lack merit. There is no temporal restriction to a vote change motion, and as recognized by the advisory committee notes for the change in Rule 3018 in 1991, the rule was changed to eliminate the deadline which was set for balloting in general. Movant's evidence in the Franklin declaration as amended that it only voted against the plan because the claim amount in the plan was incorrect because it was understated and that it otherwise supported the plan if its claim amount was properly stated is un rebutted and states a proper motivation that it supported the plan, but for its claim was incorrectly understated in the plan, posting a risk to its treatment of its claim, and cause, for changing its vote if it has a vote as the plan was corrected to state the correct amount of its claim. See, e.g., In re Windmill Durango Office, LLC, 481 B.R. 51, 64-67 (9th Cir. BAP 2012).

Appearances are required on 9/23/25, but counsel and self-represented parties may appear remotely in accordance with the court's remote appearance procedures posted online on the court's website.

| |
|--------------------------|
| Party Information |
|--------------------------|

Debtor(s):

People Who Care Youth Center, Inc.

Represented By
Giovanni Orantes

Movant(s):

California Department of Parks and

Represented By
Nicholas Paul Tsukamaki

California Department of Parks and

Represented By
Nicholas Paul Tsukamaki