

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, July 14, 2026

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

2:00-00000

Chapter

#0.00

**PROCEDURES FOR APPEARING FOR, OR ACCESSING,
COURT HEARINGS IN JUDGE KWAN'S CASES**

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC AND THE MEDIA: See Special Instructions Below.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR PARTIES OFFICIALLY APPEARING ON THEIR MATTERS AT HEARINGS BEFORE JUDGE KWAN AND THEIR COUNSEL: Judge Kwan conducts non-evidentiary hearings in hybrid format, that is, in person in the courtroom and remotely by video using Zoom for Government (ZoomGov) videoconferencing technology, but only in person in the courtroom for evidentiary hearings, trials and other matters specially set by Judge Kwan. Parties officially appearing on their matters at hearings before Judge Kwan and their counsel may choose to appear in person in the courtroom or remotely on ZoomGov at a hearing on their matters unless otherwise ordered by the court. Judge Kwan's courtroom is located in Courtroom 1675, 16th Floor, Roybal Federal Building, 255 East Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. Parties are directed to review Judge Kwan's self-calendaring instructions for calendaring hearings, whether by in-person and/or ZoomGov.

For parties and their counsel officially appearing on their matters using ZoomGov to appear remotely at hearings, video and audio connection information for each hearing will be provided on Judge Kwan's publicly posted hearing calendar on the court's website, which may be viewed online at: <http://ecf-ciao.cacb.uscourts.gov/CiaoPosted/default.aspx>, and then selecting "Judge Kwan" from the tab on the left-hand side of the page.

Parties and their counsel officially appearing on their matters may view and/or listen to hearings before Judge Kwan using ZoomGov free of charge. Individual participants may appear at a hearing by ZoomGov video and audio using a personal computer (equipped with camera, microphone and speaker), or a handheld mobile device (such as an iPhone or Android phone). Individual participants may also participate in a hearing by ZoomGov audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply). Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account are necessary to

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, July 14, 2026

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

CONT...

Chapter

participate in a hearing, and no pre-registration is required. The audio portion of each hearing will be recorded electronically by the court and constitute its official record.

To implement the mandate of the Judicial Conference of the United States that the general public and the media may not access the video feed of a court hearing, only the audio feed (see Special Instructions to the General Public and the Media below), Judge Kwan or court personnel may inquire as to the status of a person accessing ZoomGov as either an official hearing participant or a member of the general public or the media, and the court may place persons attempting access to video feed of a court hearing in a Zoom waiting room for a status inquiry and otherwise restrict a member of the general public or the media to audio access only if accessing the hearing remotely.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC AND THE MEDIA: The Judicial Conference of the United States has now clarified its policy on Cameras in the Courtroom and mandated that the members of the general public (or the Public) and the Media may not observe **by video** any court hearing proceedings unless they are actual parties or counsel with matters before the court in which they have an official interest. However, as an accommodation to the Public and the Media, the Judicial Conference of the United States has also clarified that many court hearing proceedings will still be accessible **by audio**, but that this audio accommodation for the Public and the Media is limited to (1) non-trial hearings; and (2) non-live witness evidentiary hearings.

To be clear, during hearings where no live testimony is being received by the court, the court may permit hearing accessibility remotely by audio, but not video, to the Public and the Media. No trials may ever be accessible remotely by audio to the Public and the Media. The court has the final control regarding remote audio accessibility and may choose to terminate remote audio accessibility at any time, regardless of the type of hearing. These remote audio services are accessible through ZoomGov, and the Public and the Media may utilize the telephone number login, but not the video login, presented by the court on its publicly posted hearing calendar, which may be viewed online at: <http://ecf-ciao.cacb.uscourts.gov/CiaoPosted/default.aspx>, and then selecting "Judge Kwan" from the tab on the left-hand side of the page.

Members of the Public and the Media may always personally attend hearings

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, July 14, 2026

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

CONT...

Chapter

before the court in open court in-person in the courtroom. Judge Kwan's courtroom is located in Courtroom 1675, 16th Floor, Roybal Federal Building, 255 East Temple Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

On hearing days, Judge Kwan's courtroom will remain open during hearings for in-person public and media attendance, so that the courtroom observers will have video and audio access to ZoomGov participants. The court will have video monitors on and viewable within the courtroom for viewing. The parties, including counsel, their clients, and self-represented individual parties, may virtually join the hearing and appear remotely or virtually on ZoomGov.

Members of the general public and the media, however, may only view the hearings in person from the courtroom, which will remain open, or by audio access, as noted above. To implement the mandate of the Judicial Conference of the United States that the general public and the media may not access the video feed of a court hearing, only the audio feed, Judge Kwan or court personnel may inquire as to the status of a person accessing ZoomGov as either an official hearing participant or a member of the general public or the media, and the court may place persons attempting access to video feed of a court hearing in a Zoom waiting room for a status inquiry and otherwise restrict a member of the general public or the media to audio access only if accessing the hearing remotely. Individual members of the public and the media may access a hearing by ZoomGov audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply). Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account are necessary to access the live audio feed of a hearing, and no pre-registration is required.

RESTRICTIONS ON LIVE TESTIMONY AT HEARINGS: No live testimony, however, will be permitted at a hearing by ZoomGov unless specifically authorized by the court either prior to, or during, a hearing. If a party intends to call a witness to testify by remote transmission, the party calling the witness should state such intention in the joint pretrial stipulation filed before the final pretrial conference or file a written application for permission to call a witness by remote means at least 21 days before the evidentiary hearing or as soon as practicable if the evidentiary hearing is set on less than 21 days notice.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, July 14, 2026

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

CONT...

Chapter

ZoomGov logon information for all matters on today's hearing calendar:

Video/audio web address: <https://cacb.zoomgov.com/j/1613742390>

ZoomGov meeting number: 161 374 2390

Password: 447431

Telephone conference lines: 1 (669) 254 5252 or 1 (646) 828 7666

Please connect at least 5 minutes before the start of your hearing, and wait with your microphone muted until your matter is called.

Zoomgov hearing etiquette: (a) wait until the judge calls on you, so everyone is not talking at once; (b) when you first speak, state your name and, if you are an attorney, whom you represent (do not make your argument until asked to do so); (c) when you make your argument, please pause from time to time so that, for example, the judge can ask a question or anyone else can make an objection; (d) if the judge does not see that you want to speak, or forgets to call on you, please say so when other parties have finished speaking (do not send a "chat" message, which the judge might not see); and (e) please let the judge know if he mispronounces your name or uses the wrong pronoun.

Docket 0

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, July 14, 2026

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

2:12-16195 David Alan Wilson

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01389 McKnew, IV et al v. Wilson et al

- #1.00** Status Conference re:
1. Creditors Suit;
 2. Charging order and related relief regarding Santa Clara LLC;
 3. Appointment of receiver regarding Santa Clara LLC;
 4. Appointment of receiver regarding American General Corp;
 5. Amendment of Judgment to include Michelle Wilson as Judgment debtor;
 6. Amendment of Judgment to include Beata Wilson as Judgment debtor;
 7. Amendment of Judgment to include Santa Clara LLC as Judgment debtor;
 8. Amendment of Judgment to include American General Corp as Judgment debtor;
 9. Fraudulent transfer-constructive fraud (CAL CIV Code 3439.04(a)(1);
 10. Fraudulent transfer-constructive fraud (CAL CIV Code 3439.04(a)(2);
 11. Conspiracy to commit fraudulent transfer;
 12. Order holding Michelle Wilson in contempt of Preliminary Injunction;
 13. Order holding Beata Wilson in contempt of Preliminary Injunction;
 14. Order holding David Wilson in contempt of Preliminary Injunction; and
 15. Declaratory Relief

fr. 1/20/26, 2/24/26, 4/7/26

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

Updated tentative ruling as of 7/13/26.

The court has reviewed the parties' status reports. The status conference is for both of plaintiff's adversary proceedings, the original one, and the newer one filed in 2025.

The issue of which court should try the matters needs to be discussed. Plaintiffs' claims appear to be subject to the requirements of *Stern v. Marshall*, 564 U.S. 462 (2011) that the bankruptcy court may not enter final judgment on noncore claims absent consent of all parties. As set forth in Executive

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, July 14, 2026

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

CONT... David Alan Wilson

Chapter 7

Benefits Insurance Agency v. Arkison, 573 U.S. 25 (2014), the bankruptcy court may try Stern claims subject to de novo review by the district court upon a report and recommendation. However, this would only to apply to claims not jury triable as here, defendants have demanded a jury trial on all jury triable claims. If there are jury triable claims, they will have to be tried by the district court since consent has not been given for the bankruptcy court to conduct a jury trial in these matters, and the court will refer the matters to the district court for jury trial.

It appears that plaintiff's fraudulent transfer claims are actions at law and jury triable and must be tried by jury in the district court based on defendants' jury trial demand and lack of consent for the bankruptcy court to try those claims. It also appears that plaintiff's claims for related declaratory relief and creditor's suit are also actions at law and jury triable. It further appears that plaintiff's claims for charging order and appointment of receiver are actions in equity and not jury triable and theoretically can be tried by the bankruptcy court without a jury. However, given the interrelationship of all of these claims, it appears to this court that all claims should be tried in the district court for judicial economy and efficiency.

Given the complexity of these proceedings, the court believes that the matters should be pretried, that is, a pretrial conference will be set. The court believes that if the matters are to be referred to the district court for trial, most likely, the trial will be assigned to the district judge, District Judge Kato, since that court has adjudicated related prior proceedings in these matters. The court will likely refer to District Judge Kato's civil pretrial scheduling order posted online on her webpage on the district court's website in formulating a pretrial order. The court will issue a notice of related cases upon referral of the matters to the district court for trial. The parties assert different dates on when they will be ready for trial, and the court will discuss with them when the pretrial conference will be set. If the matters are referred to the district court for trial, that court will set the trial date(s). Any pretrial order issued by this court will be subject to district court review and modification.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, July 14, 2026

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

CONT... David Alan Wilson

Chapter 7

Defendants indicated in their status reports that they may call expert witnesses to testify at trial, but did not state in their reports what expert testimony they intend to offer at trial, i.e., they did not identify such experts and the nature of their expertise. Expert witness testimony is subject to disclosure and discovery pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (FRCP) 26(a)(2). See also Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7026; Local Bankruptcy Rule 7026-1. For the sake of judicial efficiency and economy, the court in its pretrial scheduling order to be issued after the status conference, will set a deadline for disclosure of expert testimony pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2)(D), and before the status conference, the parties should confer on what that deadline should be.

The court does not distinguish between party and third party fact discovery, and believes that there should be separate deadlines governing expert and nonexpert fact discovery. The court intends to set a deadline for expert disclosure and another deadline for completion of expert witness fact discovery. The court will also set a deadline for nonexpert fact discovery. Normally, nonexpert fact discovery should be completed first, then expert witness fact discovery.

Before the status conference, the parties should confer regarding when these deadlines should be set and be prepared to discuss them at the status conference.

Appearances are required on 7/14/26, but counsel and self-represented parties may appear remotely in accordance with the court's remote appearance procedures posted online on the court's website.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

David Alan Wilson

Represented By
Michael N Nicastrro
Christina M Chan
Eryk R Escobar

Defendant(s):

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, July 14, 2026

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

CONT... David Alan Wilson

Chapter 7

David A. Wilson	Pro Se
Michelle Wilson	Pro Se
Beata Wilson	Pro Se
Santa Clara LLC	Pro Se
American General Corporation	Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Thomas I. McKnew, IV	Represented By Michael A Wallin
Lisa A. McKnew	Represented By Michael A Wallin

Trustee(s):

Weneta M Kosmala (TR)	Represented By Thomas H Casey
-----------------------	----------------------------------

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, July 14, 2026

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

2:16-24931 Anthony Roy Martinez

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:17-01158 Carter et al v. Martinez

#2.00 Order to Show Cause why order approving amended stipulation of nondischargeability of debt should not be vacated and trial be scheduled.

fr. 8/26/25, 1/13/26, 4/28/26

Docket 100

***** VACATED *** REASON: CONT'D TO 9/1/26 AT 10:30 PER ORD.
ENT. 7/8/26**

Tentative Ruling:

Updated tentative ruling as of 7/9/26. The court has reviewed the joint status report filed on 7/6/26. The court agrees with the parties' recommendation to continue the hearing pending the ruling of the state court on criminal restitution. The court has issued an order to continue the hearing to 9/1/26 at 10:30 a.m. No appearances are required on 7/14/26.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Anthony Roy Martinez

Represented By
Andrew Edward Smyth

Defendant(s):

Anthony Roy Martinez

Represented By
William J Smyth
Andrew Edward Smyth

Plaintiff(s):

Lance Carter

Represented By
Dana M Douglas

Jean Holmes

Represented By
Dana M Douglas

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Robert Kwan, Presiding
Courtroom 1675 Calendar**

Tuesday, July 14, 2026

Hearing Room 1675

10:30 AM

CONT... Anthony Roy Martinez

Chapter 7

Carriage Estates LLC

Represented By
Dana M Douglas

Adamantine Investments LLC

Represented By
Dana M Douglas

Sterling Holdings LLC

Represented By
Dana M Douglas

Lance Carter IRA 419990

Represented By
Dana M Douglas

Trustee(s):

Wesley H Avery (TR)

Represented By
Alan I Nahmias
Stephen F Biegenzahn
Scott H Noskin