

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9: -

Chapter 0

#0.00 Unless ordered otherwise, appearances for matters may be made in-person **in Courtroom 201 at 1415 State Street, Santa Barbara, California, 93101**, by video through ZoomGov, or by telephone through ZoomGov. If appearing through ZoomGov, parties in interest may connect to the video and audio feeds, free of charge, using the connection information provided below. Individuals may participate by ZoomGov video and audio using a personal computer (equipped with camera, microphone and speaker), or a handheld mobile device. Individuals may opt to participate by audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply).

All parties making an appearance via ZoomGov video and audio connection **must** have their video on. Proper court attire is required of all parties appearing via ZoomGov video. Any virtual backgrounds are to be of a solid color, without pictures, videos, or scenes. No party may appear by ZoomGov from any place other than a quiet room in an office or home. Parties may not appear via ZoomGov from a vehicle of any kind, moving or not.

Regarding remote access to hearings, members of the public may NOT observe any hearing via ZoomGov web address or app. Members of the public may ONLY **listen to** non-evidentiary hearings, where no live testimony is being taken, via ZoomGov telephone conference line or in-person at the address listed above. If members of the public attempt to observe hearings remotely in any manner other than via ZoomGov telephone conference line, the Court will remove them from ZoomGov for the hearing(s). No members of the public will be permitted to observe, via telephone line or otherwise, trials, evidentiary hearings, hearings where live testimony will be taken, and hearings where sensitive information is being disseminated that may not be adequately safeguarded.

You may obtain the ZoomGov connection details by clicking the hyperlink below or copying and pasting the web address into your browser.

<https://forms.office.com/g/d3SqfMtsuv>

Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account is necessary to participate, and no preregistration is required. The audio portion of each hearing will be recorded electronically by the Court and that recording will constitute its official record. Recording, retransmitting, photographing, or imaging Court proceedings by any means is strictly prohibited.

Docket 0

Tentative Ruling:

8/21/2026 4:22:29 PM

Page 1 of 62

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

CONT...

Chapter 0

- NONE LISTED -

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:23-10209 Robert A Adams

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:23-01030 JD Financial Group LTD. v. Adams

#1.00 CONT'D Status Conference re: [1] Adversary case 9:23-ap-01030. Complaint by JD Financial Group LTD. against Robert A Adams. Nature[s] of Suit: (62 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)), (68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury))

fr. 11-21-24, 4-1-25, 6-23-25, 8-21-25, 8-22-25, 12-03-25, 1-28-26, 2-25-26,
3-25-26, 5-20-26, 7-08-26,

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

July 8, 2026

Appearances waived.

The hearing is continued to August 26, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

May 20, 2026

Appearances waived.

The hearing is continued to July 8, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

March 25, 2026

Appearances waived.

The hearing is continued to May 20, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

February 25, 2026

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

**CONT... Robert A Adams
Appearances waived.**

Chapter 7

This hearing is continued to March 25, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

January 28, 2026

Appearances waived.

The hearing is continued to February 25, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

December 3, 2025

Appearances required.

The Court takes notice that a closing brief has been filed by each party. *See* Docket Nos. 87 and 88. Is this matter now postured for an opinion of the Court?

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Robert A Adams

Represented By
Creig Creig Greaves

Defendant(s):

Robert A Adams

Represented By
Jay M Spillane

Joint Debtor(s):

Marla B Adams

Represented By
Creig Creig Greaves

Plaintiff(s):

JD Financial Group LTD.

Represented By
S Christopher Yoo

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

CONT... Robert A Adams

Chapter 7

Trustee(s):

Sandra McBeth (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:24-10303 Michael Anthony John

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:24-01016 Littlejohn v. John et al

#2.00 CONT'D Hearing
RE: [39] Plaintiff Douglas C. Littlejohn's Motion for Entry of Default as Sanction
for Failure to Obey a Court Discovery Order and Continuing Failure to Defend.

FR. 7-08-26,

Docket 39

Tentative Ruling:

July 8, 2026

Appearances required.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Michael Anthony John

Represented By
Reed H Olmstead

Defendant(s):

Michael Anthony John

Represented By
Reed H Olmstead

Sharianne Mildred John

Represented By
Reed H Olmstead

Joint Debtor(s):

Sharianne Mildred John

Represented By
Reed H Olmstead

Plaintiff(s):

Douglas C. Littlejohn

Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Sandra McBeth (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

CONT... Michael Anthony John

Chapter 7

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:24-10303 Michael Anthony John

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:24-01016 Littlejohn v. John et al

#3.00 CONT'D Status Conference re: [1] Adversary case 9:24-ap-01016. Complaint by Douglas C. Littlejohn against Michael Anthony John , Sharianne Mildred John . Nature[s] of Suit: (62 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)) ,(68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury))

fr. 7-10-24, 9-25-24, 3-26-25, 11-19-25, 1-28-26, 7-08-26,

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

July 8, 2026

Appearances required.

January 28, 2026

See Calendar Item 3.

November 19, 2025

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed that *Joint Status Report*. See Docket No. 20. It appears that Defendant is not cooperating with meet and confer requirements, or this Court's Local Rules regarding status conference statement filings. The Court is inclined to set an OSC as to why it should not strike the answer and enter Defendant's default for their failure to comply with this Court's Local Rules and procedures.

March 26, 2025

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

**CONT... Michael Anthony John
Appearances required.**

Chapter 7

The Court has reviewed that *Joint Status Report*, which is essentially a unilateral status report by the plaintiff. *See* Docket No. 9. The Court finds no input by the defendants as required by those *Adversary Proceeding Status Conference Procedures* and this Court's Local Rule 7016-1(a)(2).

September 25, 2024

Appearances required.

July 10, 2024

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed the *Joint Status Report*. *See* Docket No. 7. The Court is unclear about Plaintiff's assertions regarding the Court's jurisdiction to enter judgment in this adversary proceeding. Plaintiff does not consent to this Court entering a judgment in this adversary proceeding. *See id.* at p. 4. Does Plaintiff challenge the Court's jurisdiction to enter judgment on the non-dischargeability causes of action, or is the challenge solely as to its exemplary/punitive damages cause of action? As to the last cause of action, the Court is unclear what Plaintiff seeks, as it conflicts with the prayer. Is Plaintiff seeking an award of monetary damages as a part of the adversary proceeding, or is it seeking that those damages be non-dischargeable, or both? If Plaintiff seeks a judgment declaring that certain amounts owed to Plaintiff by Defendant are non-dischargeable, the Court will set the following litigation deadlines:

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Michael Anthony John

Represented By
Reed H Olmstead

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

CONT... Michael Anthony John

Chapter 7

Defendant(s):

Michael Anthony John

Represented By
Reed H Olmstead

Sharianne Mildred John

Represented By
Reed H Olmstead

Joint Debtor(s):

Sharianne Mildred John

Represented By
Reed H Olmstead

Plaintiff(s):

Douglas C. Littlejohn

Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Sandra McBeth (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:25-10094 Kim Marie Martel

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:25-01021 Shelley McConnell, solely in her capacity as Trust v. Martel

#4.00 CONT'D Status Conference re: [1] Adversary case 9:25-ap-01021. Complaint by Shelley McConnell, solely in her capacity as Trustee of the Rose E. Treise Trust Dated December 21, 1989, As Amended, and Administrator of the Estate of J. Carl Treise against Kim Marie Martel. fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny)), (68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury))

fr. 7-9-25, 8-20-25, 10-8-25, 2-11-26,

Docket 1

***** VACATED *** REASON: Continued to 9/09/2026 at 9:00AM per order on stipulation entered 8/19/2026.**

Tentative Ruling:

February 11, 2026

Appearances required.

The Court set a continued status conference for February 11, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. and required that "[a] status conference report [] be filed fourteen (14) days prior to the continued status conference." See Docket No. 33. The Court finds no status conference report. It appears the matter has been abandoned. The Court will enter an order to show cause why the matter should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

October 8, 2025

Appearances waived.

The Court has reviewed that *Unilateral Status Report* and that *Stipulation for Stay of Adversary Proceeding*. See Docket Nos. 30 and 31, respectively. The Court will continue the status conference to February 11, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. to allow the probate court to enter judgment, or not, in the probate matter. A status conference report is to be filed fourteen (14) days prior to the continued status conference.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

CONT... Kim Marie Martel

Chapter 7

August 20, 2025

Appearances required.

July 9, 2025

Appearances waived.

The Court has reviewed that *Joint Status Report*. See Docket No. 18. The Court will continue the status conference to August 20, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. The Court will establish litigation dates at the continued status conference to the extent the motion to dismiss is denied. Therefore, an updated status report with proposed litigation dates should be filed no less than 14 days prior to the continued status conference.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Kim Marie Martel

Represented By
Edwin J Rambuski

Defendant(s):

Kim Marie Martel

Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Shelley McConnell, solely in her

Represented By
William C Beall

Trustee(s):

Jeremy W. Faith (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:24-11382 Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:25-01034 Fairbanks v. Mitchell

#5.00 HearingRE: [71] Motion to strike Answer and for Entry of Judgment (Rothschild, Brian)

Docket 71

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Christopher Darrell Mitchell	Pro Se
------------------------------	--------

Defendant(s):

Christopher Darrell Mitchell	Pro Se
------------------------------	--------

Plaintiff(s):

Christine Fairbanks	Represented By Brian M Rothschild
---------------------	--------------------------------------

Trustee(s):

Jerry Namba (TR)	Represented By Bradford Barnhardt
------------------	--------------------------------------

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:24-11382 Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:25-01034 Fairbanks v. Mitchell

#6.00 Pretrial Conference re: [1] Adversary case 9:25-ap-01034. Complaint by Christine Fairbanks against Christopher Darrell Mitchell. false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)), (67 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny)), (68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury)), (41 (Objection / revocation of discharge - 727(c),(d),(e)))

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Christopher Darrell Mitchell	Pro Se
------------------------------	--------

Defendant(s):

Christopher Darrell Mitchell	Pro Se
------------------------------	--------

Plaintiff(s):

Christine Fairbanks	Represented By Brian M Rothschild
---------------------	--------------------------------------

Trustee(s):

Jerry Namba (TR)	Represented By Bradford Barnhardt
------------------	--------------------------------------

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:24-11382 Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:25-01035 Butler v. Mitchell

#7.00 Pretrial Conference re: [1] Adversary case 9:25-ap-01035. Complaint by Shawn Butler against Christopher Darrell Mitchell. false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)),(67 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny)),(68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury)),(41 (Objection / revocation of discharge - 727(c),(d),(e)))

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Christopher Darrell Mitchell	Pro Se
------------------------------	--------

Defendant(s):

Christopher Darrell Mitchell	Pro Se
------------------------------	--------

Plaintiff(s):

Shawn Butler	Represented By Brian M Rothschild
--------------	--------------------------------------

Trustee(s):

Jerry Namba (TR)	Represented By Bradford Barnhardt
------------------	--------------------------------------

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:25-11331 Refugio Jose Velasquez, Jr

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:25-01042 Sesloc Credit Union v. Velasquez, Jr

#8.00 Pretrial Conference re: [1] Adversary case 9:25-ap-01042. Complaint by Brandon M. Sesloc Credit Union against Refugio Jose Velasquez Jr. false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)), (65 (Dischargeability - other)), (68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury))

Docket 1

***** VACATED *** REASON: Stipulated Judgment entered on 6/26/26**

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Refugio Jose Velasquez Jr	Pro Se
---------------------------	--------

Defendant(s):

Refugio Jose Velasquez Jr	Pro Se
---------------------------	--------

Plaintiff(s):

Sesloc Credit Union	Represented By Brandon M Ormonde
---------------------	-------------------------------------

Trustee(s):

Nancy J Zamora (TR)	Pro Se
---------------------	--------

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:25-11210 Matthew Nash

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:25-01045 Reed v. Nash

#9.00 Pretrial Conference re: [1] Adversary case 9:25-ap-01045. Complaint by Robert D Reed against Matthew Nash. false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)),(67 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny)),(68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury))

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Matthew Nash

Represented By
William C Beall

Defendant(s):

Matthew Nash

Represented By
William C Beall

Plaintiff(s):

Robert Reed

Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Jeremy W. Faith (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:25-11210 Matthew Nash

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:25-01045 Reed v. Nash

#10.00 CONT'D Status Conference re: [1] Adversary case 9:25-ap-01045. Complaint by Robert D Reed against Matthew Nash. false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)), (67 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny)), (68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury))

fr. 2-11-26,

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

February 11, 2026

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed that *Joint Status Report*. See Docket No. 19. The Court will set the following litigation dates:

March 15, 2026 – Last day to add parties

May 29, 2026 – Last day to conduct discovery, including receipt of responses

July 8, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. – Last day for the Court to hear dispositive motions

July 29, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. – Pretrial conference, in-person

July 29, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. – Status conference

August 4, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. – Trial, in-person, counsel, parties and witnesses

Plaintiff is to lodge a scheduling order within 7 days.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Matthew Nash

Represented By
William C Beall

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

CONT... Matthew Nash

Chapter 7

Defendant(s):

Matthew Nash

Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Robert Reed

Represented By
Paul F Ready

Trustee(s):

Jeremy W. Faith (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:26-10784 Michael Todd Christensen

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:26-01025 Christensen v. UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

#11.00 Status Hearing

RE: [1] Adversary case 9:26-ap-01025. Complaint by Victoria Alicia Christensen against UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION. (\$350.00 Fee Not Required). (Attachments: # 1 Adversary Cover Sheet) Nature of Suit: (63 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(8), student loan)) (Rothman, Anthony)

Docket 1

***** VACATED *** REASON: Continued to 12/09/2026 at 9:00AM per order entered 7/27/2026.**

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Michael Todd Christensen

Represented By
Anthony J Rothman Esq

Defendant(s):

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT

Pro Se

Joint Debtor(s):

Victoria Alicia Christensen

Represented By
Anthony J Rothman Esq

Plaintiff(s):

Victoria Alicia Christensen

Represented By
Anthony J Rothman Esq

Trustee(s):

Amy L Goldman (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:25-10329 Global Premier Regency Palms Oxnard, LP

Chapter 11

#12.00 Pretrial conference re: Order on Remand (BAP CC 25-1146)

FR. 6-16-26,

Docket 211

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Global Premier Regency Palms

Represented By
Garrick A Hollander
Peter W Lianides
Jordyn Paperny

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:25-10329 Global Premier Regency Palms Oxnard, LP

Chapter 11

#13.00 CONT'D Hearing
RE: [252] Motion to strike the Opposition of JKO Group LLC and Blackhawk Solar, LLC; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; Declaration of Michael E. Bubman in Support Thereof

FR. 7-28-26,

Docket 252

Tentative Ruling:

July 28, 2026

Appearances waived.

The hearing on the motion is continued to August 26, 2026, the date of the pretrial conference.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Global Premier Regency Palms

Represented By
Garrick A Hollander
Peter W Lianides
Jordyn Paperny

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:24-11382 Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:25-01031 Offill v. Mitchell

#14.00 CONT'D Hearing
RE: [60] Motion For Summary Judgment and Memorandum in Support

FR. 8-12-26,

Docket 60

Tentative Ruling:

August 12, 2026

Appearances required.

Background

On July 24, 2025, Todd Ray Offill ("Plaintiff") filed against Christopher D. Mitchell ("Defendant") that *Complaint to Determine Dischargeability of Debt Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 523(a)(2), (a)(4), and (a)(6), and General Objection to Discharge Under 11 U.S.C. § 727(a)* (the "Complaint"). See Docket No. 1. As its title indicates, through the Complaint, Plaintiff sought to together except their obligations against Defendant from Defendant's discharge pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 523(a)(2), (4) and (6), and challenge Defendant's discharge *omnino* under 11 U.S.C. § 727(a). See *id.*

On July 2, 2026, Plaintiff filed *Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and Memorandum in Support* (the "Motion"), through which Plaintiff sought judgment on all the Complaint's causes of action under the auspices of, *inter alia*, Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7056. See Docket No. 65.

Analysis

Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a), made applicable herein by Fed R. Bankr. P. 7056, "[t]he court shall grant summary judgment if the movant shows that there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact and the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." The party seeking summary judgment bears the initial responsibility of

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room

201

9:00 AM

CONT...

Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Chapter 7

demonstrating the absence of a genuine issue of material fact, and establishing that it is entitled to judgment as a matter of law as to those matters upon which it has the burden of proof. *See Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317, 323 (1986). A fact is material when, under the governing substantive law, it could affect the outcome of the case. *Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.*, 477 U.S. 242, 248 (1986). A dispute about a material fact is genuine "if the evidence is such that a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party." *Id.* The opposing party must make an affirmative showing on all matters placed in issue by the motion as to which it has the burden of proof at trial. *Id.* at 324. The substantive law will identify which facts are material. *Id.* Only disputes over facts that might affect the outcome of the suit under the governing law will properly preclude the entry of summary judgment. *Id.* A factual dispute is genuine where the evidence is such that a reasonable jury could return a verdict for the nonmoving party. *Id.* The court must view the evidence presented on the motion in the light most favorable to the opposing party. *Id.* "Therefore, at summary judgment, the judge must view the evidence in the light most favorable to the nonmoving party: if direct evidence produced by the moving party conflicts with direct evidence produced by the nonmoving party, the judge must assume the truth of the evidence set forth by the nonmoving party with respect to that fact." *T.W. Elec. Serv., Inc. v. Pac. Elec. Contractors Ass'n*, 809 F.2d 626, 630–31 (9th Cir. 1987) (internal citations omitted). In the absence of any disputed material facts, the inquiry shifts to whether the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. at 323. Furthermore, where intent is at issue, summary judgment is seldom granted. *See Provenz v. Miller*, 102 F.3d 1478, 1489 (9th Cir. 1996), cert. denied, 118 S. Ct. 48 (1997).

"If the moving party meets its initial responsibility, the burden then shifts to the opposing party to establish that a genuine issue as to any material fact actually does exist." *Dokes v. Safeway, Inc.*, 2018 WL 1518562 *3 (E.D. Cal. 2018)(internal citations omitted). Upon a shifting of the burden to the opposing party, the opposing party cannot defeat summary judgment merely by demonstrating "that there is some metaphysical doubt as to the material facts." *Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Zenith Radio Corp.*, 475 U.S. 574, 586 (1986). The opposing party cannot "withstand a motion for summary judgment merely by making allegations." *In re Ikon Office Solutions, Inc., Sec. Lit.*, 277 F.3d 658, 666 (3d Cir. 2002). Rather, the opposing party must "go beyond the pleadings and by her own affidavits, or by 'the depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file,' designate 'specific facts showing

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

CONT... Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Chapter 7

that there is a genuine issue for trial.'" *Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. at 324 (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e)). "The mere existence of a scintilla of evidence in support of the non-moving party's position is not sufficient. [Citation omitted.]" *Triton Energy Corp. v. Square D Co.*, 68 F.3d 1216, 1221 (9th Cir.1995). To meet this burden, the Ninth Circuit requires that the opposing party "produce at least some significant probative evidence tending to support the complaint." *Id.* at 1222. If the opposing party fails to establish a triable issue on an essential element of its case and upon which it will bear the burden of proof at trial, the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. *In re Wellman*, 2007 WL 4105275, *1, 3-4 (9th Cir. BAP 2007) (internal citations omitted).

"[M]otions for summary judgment must be supported by specific facts set forth in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents." *Briggs v. Blomkamp*, 2014 WL 12567155 *1 (N.D. Cal. 2014); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). "A trial court can only consider admissible evidence in ruling on a motion for summary judgment." *Id.* (quoting *Orr v. Bank of America, NT & SA*, 285 F.3d 764, 773 (9th Cir. 2002)).

In the case at bar, the Motion contains any number of facts that are being advanced in support of the relief entreated. Alas, the Court struggles to discover any admissible evidence in support of these facts. The Court finds no declaration of Plaintiff to support any fact, or any request that the Court judicially notice a fact. The Motion partly, if not fully rests on requests for admission that Plaintiff asserts Defendant did not respond to. The Court does not, however, locate any declaration as to Defendant's failure to respond to these requests for admission. This fact is simply baked into the Motion's points and authorities. "While Rule 36 may be self-executing, a memorandum of points and authorities itself has no evidentiary significance. Absent some declaration indicating that the Requests for Admissions had been served but no response had been received, there is no admissible evidence of the facts admitted." *Carrasco v. Metro Police Dept.*, 4 Fed.Appx. 414, 416 (9th Cir. 2001).

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Pro Se

Defendant(s):

Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

CONT... Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Chapter 7

Plaintiff(s):

Todd Ray Offill

Represented By
Brian M Rothschild

Trustee(s):

Jerry Namba (TR)

Represented By
Bradford Barnhardt

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

9:24-11382 Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Chapter 7

Adv#: 9:25-01031 Offill v. Mitchell

#15.00 CONT'D Status Conference re: [1] Adversary case 9:25-ap-01031. Complaint by Todd Offill against Christopher Darrell Mitchell. false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)),(67 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny)),(68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury)),(41 (Objection / revocation of discharge - 727(c),(d),(e)))

fr. 12-03-25, 1-14-26, 3-11-26, 5-06-26, 8-12-26,

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

August 12, 2026

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed that *Unilateral Status Report*. See Docket No. 66. A pre-trial conference is set for September 10, 2026. See Docket No. 52, *Status Conference and Scheduling Order Pursuant to LBR 7016-1(a)(4)*, p. 1. Trial is set for September 17, 2026. See *id.* at p. 2. The Court is tentatively denying *Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and Memorandum in Support*. See Docket No. 65; see also Tentative Ruling for August 12, 2026.

The Court will hear from Plaintiff.

May 6, 2026

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed that *Joint Status Report*. See Docket No. 54. It appears that the parties are interested in mediating the matter. See *id.* at p. 3. The discovery cutoff is July 15, 2026. See Docket No. 47. The Court will hear from the parties about the timing of mediation.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

CONT... Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Chapter 7

The Court is inclined to continue the status conference to August 12, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

March 11, 2026

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed that *Joint Status Report*. See Docket No. 43. The Court will set the following litigation dates:

March 20, 2026 – Deadline to add parties

July 15, 2026 – Deadline to complete discovery, including receipt of responses

August 12, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. – Deadline for dispositive motions to be heard

September 10, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. - Pretrial conference, in-person appearances only

September 17, 2026, at noon – Trial, in-person, counsel and witnesses

Plaintiff is to lodge a scheduling order within 7 days, and serve the entered order on Defendant within 7 days of entry.

January 14, 2026

Appearances required. Plaintiff may appear remotely. Defendant is to appear, in-person.

The Court here begins with its reminder of the tentative ruling issued in relation to the prior status conference. On November 19, 2025, the Court's Docket entry 29 required Plaintiff to lodge an order denying that *Motion to Set Aside Entry of Default Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(c)* by November 26, 2025. No such order has been lodged.

Those *Adversary Proceeding Status Conference Procedures* (the "Procedures") provide that "[a] joint status report prepared using Local Form F

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM

CONT... Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Chapter 7

7016-1.STATUS.REPORT must be filed fourteen days before each status conference." *Id.* "Failure to file a joint status report may result in the imposition of monetary sanctions and/or the status conference being continued." *Id.* "Stipulations for extensions of time are ineffective unless approved by the Court." *Id.* If the Procedures did not provide sufficient notice, this Court's Local Rule 7016-1(a)(2) provides, "[u]nless otherwise ordered by the court, at least 14 days before the date set for each status conference the parties are required to file a joint status report using mandatory court form F 7016-1.STATUS.REPORT." This Court's Local Rule 7016-1(f) provides that "if a status conference statement [] is not filed [] within the times set forth in subsection (a) [], the court may order [a]n award of monetary sanctions including attorneys' fees against the party at fault and/or counsel, payable to the party not at fault [and/or a]n award of non-monetary sanctions against the party at fault including entry of judgment of dismissal or the entry of an order striking the answer and entering a default."

Here, counsel to Plaintiff attests that between November 19, 2025 and December 20, 2025, three (3) emails were forwarded to Defendant with a form joint conference statement, with Plaintiff's portion of the statement completed. *See* Docket No. 31, *Unilateral Status Report* (the "Report"), pp. 5-9. According to counsel for Plaintiff, Defendant did not respond to any of the emails. In accordance with this Court's Local Rule 7016-1(a)(3), Plaintiff filed the Report. *See* Docket No. 31. Defendant has filed nothing, standing in violation of this Court's Local Rule 7016-1(a)(3) and the Procedures.

The Court is inclined to (1) issue a show cause order as to why Defendant's answer should not be stricken, and default entered in favor of Plaintiff, and/or (2) order monetary sanctions in favor of Plaintiff for attorneys' fees.

December 3, 2025

Appearances waived.

On November 3, 2025, defendant Christopher Darrell Mitchell filed that *Answer to Adversary Complaint* (the "Answer"). *See* Docket No. 27. The Answer was filed in

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room

201

9:00 AM

CONT...

Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Chapter 7

response to a substitute summons issued on October 2, 2025, and served on October 7, 2025. *See* Docket Nos. 19 and 24. On November 19, 2025, the Court denied that *Notice of Motion and Motion to Set Aside Entry of Default Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(c)* (the "Motion"), as no default had been entered. *See* Docket No. 29.

The Court reminds plaintiff Todd Ray Offill that they are directed to lodge an order denying the Motion within seven (7) days of the hearing on the Motion. *See id.*

This matter appears to be at issue. The Court will continue the initial status conference to January 14, 2026, at 9:00 a.m. The Court directs the parties to those *Adversary Proceeding Status Conference Procedures* (Docket No. 19), this Court's Local Rule 7016-1(a), Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7026, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f).

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Pro Se

Defendant(s):

Christopher Darrell Mitchell

Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Todd Ray Offill

Represented By
Brian M Rothschild

Trustee(s):

Jerry Namba (TR)

Represented By
Bradford Barnhardt

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

9:23-10945 Jeffrey Dennis Peppard

Chapter 11

#16.00 CONT'D Post-Confirmation Chapter 11 Status Conference

,
fr. 12-12-23, 2-20-24, 4-10-24, 4-19-24, 6-5-24,
7-10-24, 9-25-24, 01-15-25, 3-26-25, 6-18-25,
7-9-25, 9-10-25, 10-22-25, 12-03-25, 1-28-26,
2-11-26, 4-8-26, 5-20-26,

Docket 1

***** VACATED *** REASON: Case converted from a Chapter 11 to a
Chapter 7 per order entered 6/24/2026.**

Tentative Ruling:

May 20, 2026

Appearances waived.

The status hearing is continued to, and specially set for June 16, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

April 8, 2026

Appearances required.

February 11, 2026

Appearances waived.

The status conference is continued to April 8, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

January 28, 2026

Appearances waived.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Jeffrey Dennis Peppard

Chapter 11

The hearing on confirmation of the Debtor's modified *Fifth Amended Chapter 11 Plan* is set to take place on February 11, 2026. *See* Docket No. 257. The parties should be aware that after numerous continuances by agreement of the parties, the Court will hold the confirmation hearing as scheduled. The status conference will be continued to February 11, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

December 3, 2025

Appearances waived.

The status conference will be continued to January 28, 2026, at 1:00 p.m., alongside the continued hearing on the plan confirmation hearing.

October 22, 2025

This hearing is continued to December 3, 2025, at 1:00 p.m.

September 10, 2025

Appearances required.

The Court will hear from the Office of the United States Trustee regarding the Debtor's compliance with those *Guidelines and Requirements of Chapter 11 Debtors in Possession*.

If the Debtor is in full compliance, the Court will continue the status conference to trail the confirmation hearing, October 22, 2025, at 1:00 p.m.

July 9, 2025

Appearances required.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Jeffrey Dennis Peppard

Chapter 11

The Court will inquire with the Office of the United States Trustee regarding the Debtor's compliance with those *Guidelines and Requirements of Chapter 11 Debtors in Possession*.

Absent a report of non-compliance, the Court will continue the status conference to September 10, 2025, at 1:00 p.m.

January 15, 2025

Appearances required.

July 10, 2024

Appearances required.

June 5, 2024

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed *Debtor's Chapter 11 Status Conference Report & Request for Continuance to Hearing on Disclosure Statement*. See Docket No. 95. The Court will confer with the Office of the U.S. Trustee regarding the Debtor's compliance with the *Guidelines and Requirements for Chapter 11 Debtors in Possession*.

April 10, 2024

Appearances required.

February 20, 2024

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed *Debtor's Chapter 11 Status Conference Report*, and that *First Interim Report of Patient Care Ombudsman Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 333(b)(2)*. See

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Jeffrey Dennis Peppard

Chapter 11

Docket Nos. 58 and 64, respectively.

On February 9, 2024, Jeffrey D. Peppard (the "Debtor") filed that *Original Chapter 11 Plan* (the "Plan") and that *Original Disclosure Statement Describing Original Chapter 11 Plan* (the "Disclosure Statement"). See Docket Nos. 60 and 59, respectively. On their face, the Disclosure Statement and the Plan have disclosure and confirmation issues. The descriptions of which classes of creditors are impaired, and which are not under the Plan differ in the Disclosure Statement as compared to the Plan. This is significant in that impairment determines the voting rights of creditors. The interest holders are described as Class 8 when in-fact the Debtor's interests comprise Class 10. It is unclear why there are two (2) separate classes of unsecured creditors, Classes 8 and 9, only one of which will be paid in full under the Plan. The Debtor runs a dental practice with employees, but the Debtor shows wages as being stagnant for the life of the Plan. Perhaps there is an explanation, but it seems odd that there are no increases in wages over the life of the Plan. This is significant because even a modest increase of 3% year over year has a material impact on feasibility of the Plan.

The Court will set the Disclosure Statement for hearing as to its adequacy for April 10, 2024, at 2:00 p.m. The Disclosure Statement and Plan, and notice of the hearing on the approval of the adequacy of the Disclosure Statement must be served on or before February 28, 2024. The notice of the hearing on the Disclosure Statement, with proof of service, must be filed on or before February 28, 2024, and said proof of service shall include proof of the proper service of the Disclosure Statement and Plan. The notice of the hearing on the adequacy of the Disclosure Statement shall include notice of the opposition deadlines contained in this Court's Local Rule 3017-1.

The Court will inquire with the Office of the U.S. Trustee as to the Debtor's compliance with those *Guidelines and Requirements for Chapter 11 Debtors in Possession*.

December 12, 2023

Appearances required.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Jeffrey Dennis Peppard

Chapter 11

The Court has reviewed the *Debtor's Chapter 11 Status Conference Report* (the "Report"). *See* Docket No. 41. In reviewing the Debtor's past and projected income, it appears to the Court that the Debtor loses money each month. *See id.* at *Exhibit 1*. What is more, the past and projected income does not seem to account for the accruing of the fees and expenses of general insolvency counsel to the Debtor, an ombudsman, or the to-be employed insolvency accountant. The quarterly payments due to the Office of the United States Trustee appear lower than required. It is unclear to the Court whether the estate is currently administratively insolvent, but all signs point to the affirmative, and unless there are facts not highlighted in the Report, any current administrative insolvency will only deepen in the coming months.

Perhaps the Court is unaware of assets or an income stream that will allow the Debtor to fund its exit strategy from Chapter 11, but at this stage the Court is inclined to convert or dismiss this case to prevent what the Court understands to be an administratively insolvent debtor.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Jeffrey Dennis Peppard

Represented By
Jeffrey S Shinbrot

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

9:25-10314 Santa Paula Hay & Grain and Ranches

Chapter 11

#17.00 CONT'D Hearing re: [400] Motion for order: (1) approving the adequacy of debtors chapter 11 disclosure statement describing chapter 11 plan of reorganization dated April 17, 2026; (2) authorizing debtor to solicit acceptances/rejections of its chapter 11 plan of reorganization dated April 17, 2026; (3) to set a hearing re: confirmation of chapter 11 plan of reorganization dated April 17, 2026; and (4) fixing a deadline for the holders of claims to accept or reject the proposed chapter 11 plan of reorganization dated April 17, 2026

FR. 6-03-26,

Docket 400

Tentative Ruling:

June 3, 2026

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed that *Chapter 11 Status Report and Status Report Regarding Debtor's Chapter 11 Disclosure Statement Describing Plan of Reorganization Dated April 17, 2026* (the "Report"). See Docket No. 496. Through the Report, Santa Paula Hay & Grain and Ranches (the "Debtor") describes its view of the status of the instant case, including its efforts towards confirming a plan of reorganization. Importantly, on April 17, 2026, the Debtor filed that *Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Dated April 17, 2026* (the "Plan") and *Debtor's Chapter 11 Disclosure Statement Describing Plan of Reorganization Dated April 17, 2026* (the "Disclosure Statement"). See Docket Nos. 398 and 399, respectively. The Disclosure Statement and Plan have drawn no less than three (3) objections. See Docket Nos. 484, 497, and 498. The hearing on approval of the Disclosure Statement is currently set for June 3, 2026. See Docket No. 236.

The Debtor asserts that the Disclosure Statement and the Plan must be amended. See Docket No. 496, pp. 1-2. First, the Debtor's bankruptcy estate includes both real and

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Santa Paula Hay & Grain and Ranches

Chapter 11

personal property that the Debtor has employed professionals to appraise. *See id.* at pp. 4-7. Whilst the appraisals for the personal property have been completed, the appraisals of the real property will take up to 60 days from the filing of the Report. *See id.* What is more, there have been 58 claims filed that the Debtor says it must review, and if appropriate, object to. *See id.* at pp. 9-10. Lastly, the Debtor has employed counsel regarding certain litigation claims the Debtor's bankruptcy estate may have. *See id.* at pp. 7-8. This litigation, according to the Debtor, could expand the pool of assets for creditors, and/or reduce the amount and number of claims asserted against the Debtor's bankruptcy estate. *See id.*

The Court will hear from parties-in-interest. The Debtor appears to the Court to be making progress in the instant case, and is, after some delay, working with haste towards concluding the case. The Court is inclined to continue the hearing on the Disclosure Statement to August 26, 2026, at 11:00 a.m., and order that any amendments to the Disclosure Statement and Plan, as well as notice of the continued hearing on the Disclosure Statement be filed and served on or before July 27, 2026. The Court is also inclined to continue the status conference to August 26, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Santa Paula Hay & Grain and

Represented By
Vanessa M Haberbusch
Lane K Bogard
David R Haberbusch

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

9:25-10314 Santa Paula Hay & Grain and Ranches

Chapter 11

#18.00 CONT'D Chapter 11 Status Conference

fr. 5-7-25, 5-27-25, 6-25-25, 9-10-25, 11-5-25,
11-19-25, 1-28-26, 2-24-26, 2-27-26, 4-22-26, 6-03-26,

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

June 3, 2026

Appearances required.

April 22, 2026

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed that *Chapter 11 Status Report*. See Docket No. 370. The hearing on approval of the adequacy of the to-be filed disclosure statement is June 3, 2026. The Court, after hearing from all parties, is inclined to continue the status conference to June 3, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

November 19, 2025

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed that *Status Report re Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Case, Secured Creditor AgWest Farm Credit's Status Report for November 5, 2025 Status Conference*, and that *Amended Status Report re Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Case*. See Docket Nos. 118, 122, and 135, respectively. The Court shares many of AgWest Farm Credit's concerns. The Debtor has sold one asset since the instant case was filed nearly eight (8) months ago, in a case that, according to the Debtor, hinges on the

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Santa Paula Hay & Grain and Ranches

Chapter 11

liquidation of certain assets. The case now heads into its ninth month without any perceptible understanding of a clear exit strategy. AgWest Farm Credit states that it has not opposed the use of its cash collateral, but it is not clear to the Court whether AgWest Farm Credit has agreed to the use of cash collateral.

The Court has raised before, and raises here again now, the issue of appointing a trustee to oversee the liquidation/reorganization of the Debtor. The appetite for such an appointment found little support at the prior status conference. Have the parties' views on this changed with time?

November 5, 2025

Appearances waived.

The status conference is continued to November 19, 2025, at 1:00 p.m. due to the government shutdown.

The Court has reviewed that *Status Report re Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Case; Declaration of Guadalupe A. Guzman in Support*. See Docket No. 118.

September 10, 2025

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed that *Status Report re Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Case* (the "Report"). See Docket No. 112. In reviewing the Report, the Court has some queries.

It appears that the Debtor continues with the issues regarding the understanding of its assets and liabilities. The Debtor's interest in certain parcels of real property is not entirely clear to the Court, as some properties are titled in the names of the Debtor's partners, rather than the Debtor, although the Debtor appears to claim some interest in those same properties. The Court is not certain what those interests are, but it seems that those interests must be sorted for the Debtor to firmly establish its strategy to exit Chapter 11. This issue, being one of the critical components to this case, should be

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Santa Paula Hay & Grain and Ranches

Chapter 11

resolved in short order.

The Debtor initially represented that some or all of its partners would be filing bankruptcy petitions, but the Report suggests that some or all of the Debtor's partners would become debtors in the Debtor's bankruptcy case. The Court lacks an appreciation of how that would be accomplished. It seems that resolving this issue would resolve, or at least work to resolve the issue of property ownership. The Court will want to hear from the Debtor where this all stands by the time of the status conference, now six (6) months into this case.

In terms of further liquidating assets that are not necessary to an effective reorganization, the Court's understanding was that there would be liquidations of the many exotic cars, *post haste*. The Court has observed one such sale, but the Report does not address the other cars.

Finally, the inquiry must be posed, does the Debtor now have a vision of its plan of reorganization that is materially clearer than it had two (2) months ago? The Court has employed insolvency counsel, real property brokers, a vehicle broker, and an accountant. Have these professionals, with the Debtor, gathered to frame the reorganization strategy for this case? If not, or, if not effectively, should the Court appoint a reorganization professional to do so?

June 25, 2025

Appearances required.

May 7, 2025

Appearances required. The Debtor's principal is to appear in-person.

Pursuant to that *Order Setting Initial Status Conference*, "based upon the Court's records and evidence presented at the status conference, the Court may[,] without further notice[,] [d]ismiss the case; [c]onvert the case to another chapter; [o]rder the appointment of a chapter 11 trustee..." See Docket No. 2, pp. 1-2. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1112(b)(1), "on request of a party in interest, and after notice and a hearing,

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Santa Paula Hay & Grain and Ranches

Chapter 11

the court shall convert a case under this chapter to a case under chapter 7 or dismiss a case under this chapter, whichever is in the best interests of creditors and the estate, for cause unless the court determines that the appointment under section 1104(a) of a trustee or an examiner is in the best interests of creditors and the estate." "[C]ourts have wide discretion in determining what constitutes such cause." *In re Products Intern. Co.*, 395 B.R. 101, 109 (Bankr. D. Az. 2008)(citing *In re Johnston*, 149 B.R. 158, 160 (9th Cir. BAP 1992)). Section 1104(a) of the Bankruptcy Code "plainly gives the bankruptcy judge authority to appoint a trustee sua sponte." *In re Bibo, Inc.*, 76 F.3d 256, 258 (9th Cir. 1996).

The Court has reviewed that *Chapter 11 Status Report* (the "Report"). See Docket No. 17. The Court is left with more questions than answers. First, it seems that the Debtor may be using cash collateral without agreement of the secured creditor(s) or authorization from this Court. See *id.* at p. 4, lines 20-24. For the first two (2) months of the case, the Debtor projected income of \$1,177,717 in income, and expenses of \$800,392. See *id.* at p. 7. Absent this Court's authorization to use cash collateral, or agreement from the secured creditor(s), the Debtor is not authorized to use cash collateral.

The Debtor filed no first day motions. Did the Debtor pay any pre-petition payroll, post-petition? With a petition date of March 12, 2025, it seems likely that the Debtor had pre-petition payroll that was outstanding on the petition date. Have utility providers demanded deposits?

The Debtor claims to operate a business with income of \$11,954,896 in 2023, but does not know what its income was in 2024, or what its income is year to date. See Docket No. 13, *Statement of Financial Affairs for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy*, p. 51. How can parties-in-interest, and this Court, rely on any income and expense projections of the Debtor?

Further, the Debtor discloses that "many" of the Debtor's debts are in-fact not the Debtor's debts, and "many" of the Debtor's real property assets are in-fact titled in the named of an insider of the Debtor, the Debtor claiming equitable title in those assets. See *id.* at lines 21-25. The Debtor schedules no co-debtors, whilst the Report provides that "Guzman has [] personally guaranteed many of the Debtor's debts." See Docket No. 17, p. 5, lines 21-25.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Santa Paula Hay & Grain and Ranches

Chapter 11

The Debtor does not disclose the amounts of payments to insiders within the year preceding the petition date in its schedules. *See* Docket No. 13, p. 57. The Debtor lists no payments to creditors within the 90 days preceding the petition date. *See id.* at p. 51.

Counsel to the Debtor has not filed an employment application, now nearly two (2) months into the case. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rule 2014-1(b)(1)(E), "[a] timely application for employment is a prerequisite to compensation from the estate. Therefore, an application for the employment of counsel for a debtor in possession should be filed as promptly as possible after the commencement of the case..."

Does the Debtor own livestock?

Is the Debtor paying insiders payroll, post-petition? If so, have the Office of the United States Trustee's procedures regarding the same been followed?

The Debtor should be aware that the Court is inclined to dismiss, convert or appoint a chapter 11 trustee based on the above.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Santa Paula Hay & Grain and

Represented By
Vanessa M Haberbush
Lane K Bogard
David R Haberbush

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

9:25-11352 Westside Tow and Transport Inc.

Chapter 11

#19.00 CONT'D Hearing re: [135] Debtor's disclosure statement describing
it's chapter 11 plan of reorganization

fr. 4-22-26, 6-17-26, 7-08-26, 7-29-26,

Docket 135

Tentative Ruling:

July 29, 2026

Appearances required.

July 8, 2026

Appearances required.

June 17, 2026

Appearances required.

April 22, 2026

Appearances required, in-person by the Debtor. No remote appearances will be taken by the Debtor.

On October 8, 2025, Westside Tow and Transport Inc. (the "Debtor") filed a voluntary petition for relief pursuant to Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code. *See* Docket No. 1, *Voluntary Petition for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy*.

The Court held a status conference on February 25, 2026. *See* Docket No. 124. At that status conference, the Court ordered the Debtor to lodge a scheduling order within

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Westside Tow and Transport Inc. Chapter 11

7 days that, *inter alia*, set a hearing on a to-be filed disclosure statement of April 22, 2026. *See id.*

Pursuant to this Court's Local Rule 3017-1(a), "[a] hearing on a motion for approval of a disclosure statement must not be set on less than 42 days notice, unless the court, for good cause shown, prescribes a shorter period." The Court informed the Debtor at the aforementioned status conference that "[t]he disclosure statement and plan are to be filed and served in accordance with the Fed. R. Bankr. P. and this Court's Local Rules." *See* Docket No. 124. Ergo, any disclosure statement, plan of reorganization, and notice of the hearing on the disclosure statement were to be filed by March 11, 2026.

On March 25, 2026, the Debtor filed *Debtor's Disclosure Statement Describing Its Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization* (the "Disclosure Statement"). *See* Docket No. 135. On March 25, 2026, the Debtor filed that *Notice of Hearing on Debtor Westside Tow and Transport, Inc. for Order Approving Disclosure Statement Describing Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Dated March 25, 2026* (the "Notice"). *See* Docket No. 136. The Notice provided that parties are to take notice "that on a date and time to be set by the Court (notice of which will be given separately) [the Debtor] will and hereby does moves [*sic*] the Court to approve the adequate [*sic*] of the Disclosure Statement Describing the Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Dated March 25, 2026." *See id.* at pp. 2-3. The Notice also provides "that any response shall be due at the date and time of the hearing." *See id.* at p. 2, lines 15-16. That *Proof of Service of Document* relates to a document title that the Court does not find on the docket. *See id.* at p. 3.

The Court poses the following questions to the Debtor. First, is the Debtor in violation of this Court's order at the status conference that a scheduling order be lodged on or before March 4, 2026? Second, has the Debtor filed the Notice with inadequate notice, in terms of the notice required by this Court's Local Rule 3017-1(a)? Does the Notice properly inform parties of the April 22, 2026, hearing date? Does the proof of service of the Notice refer to the title of any document filed on the Court's docket, or served on parties-in-interest?

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Westside Tow and Transport Inc.

Represented By

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT...

Westside Tow and Transport Inc.

Tamar Terzian

Chapter 11

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

9:25-11352 Westside Tow and Transport Inc.

Chapter 11

#20.00 CONT'D Chapter 11 Status Conference

fr. 12-03-25, 1-14-26, 1-16-26, 2-25-26,
4-22-26, 6-17-26, 7-08-26, 7-29-26,

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

July 29, 2026

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed *Westside Tow and Transport Inc.'s Sixth Chapter 11 Status Report* (the "Report"). See Docket No. 234. The Court is unclear about the Debtor's view of the accuracy of the operating reports that have been filed in this case by the Debtor. When the Debtor states in the operating reports that its assets are uninsured, and that it is not current on post-petition taxes, was that always the case, or were those representations inaccurate? If those representations were inaccurate, why has the Debtor not amended every operating report filed in this case? As of June 30, 2026, the Debtor states that it has not borrowed post-petition, but is there not a motion for *nunc pro tunc* approval of financing? See Docket No. 233, *Monthly Operating Report*, p. 8. Has the Debtor's trust fund taxes been paid?

The Debtor asserts that it is seeking insurance premium financing, *nunc pro tunc*. See Docket No. 234, p. 2, lines 3-7. It was the Court's understanding from representations of counsel at prior hearings that no financing had been advanced.

Is the Debtor current with quarterly payments to the Office of the United States Trustee?

The Report does not mention the Debtor's exit strategy. A disclosure statement approval hearing is currently pending. What impact do the orders lifting the stay have on the Debtor's reorganization prospects?

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Westside Tow and Transport Inc.

Chapter 11

July 8, 2026

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed *Westside Tow and Transport Inc.'s Fifth Chapter 11 Status Report* (the "Status Report"). See Docket No. 210. The Court has also reviewed that *Monthly Operating Report* for May 2026 (the "Operating Report"). See Docket No. 216. The Status Report and the Operating Report appear to tell two (2) different stories.

The Status Report suggests that the case is ready for confirmation. The Operating Report provides that the Debtor is not current on post-petition taxes, post-petition estimated tax payments have not been made, trust fund taxes have not been remitted on a current basis, the Debtor has no insurance, and payments of U.S. Trustee's fees are not current. See Docket No. 216, p. 8. As to the last representation, the *United States Trustee's Notice of Motion and Motion Under 11 U.S.C. § 1112(b) to Dismiss, or, in the Alternative, to Convert Case* confirms the point. See Docket No. 207. It is not clear to the Court why the case belongs in chapter 11 given these representations, much less how the case is ready for confirmation.

The Status Report provides that the Debtor has filed a motion for insurance premium financing, and that "[t]he premium financing agreement is unsecured." See Docket No. 210, p. 9, lines 14-19. However, that *Notice of Motion and Motion for Order Authorizing and Approving Debtor-in-Possession Entering into Insurance Premium Finance Agreement* requests relief under 11 U.S.C. § 364(c)(2). See Docket No. 173. pp. 3-4.

Terzian Law Group substituted out of the case at the point that the Debtor is seeking to confirm its plan of reorganization. See Docket No. 192, *Substitution of Attorney*. Yet, that same firm, after the time that it substituted out of the case, continued to file documents on behalf of the Debtor and to appear at hearings. See Docket No. 197.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

**CONT... Westside Tow and Transport Inc.
June 17, 2026**

Chapter 11

Appearances required.

The Financing Motion

On May 27, 2026, Westside Tow and Transport, Inc. (the "Debtor") filed that *Notice of Motion and Motion for Order Authorizing and Approving Debtor-in-Possession Entering into Insurance Premium Finance Agreement* (the "Financing Motion"). See Docket No. 173. The Financing Motion provided that "the Debtor must maintain various insurance policy(ies)," but the premiums for said insurance, \$42,928.38, "the Debtor cannot pay at this time." See *id.* at p. 3, lines 6-11. Through the Financing Motion, the Debtor sought authority to finance the insurance premiums. See *id.* at pp. 3-4. The Debtor self-calendared the hearing on the Financing Motion for June 17, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. See *id.* at p. 1, lines 17-20. As counsel to the Debtor is aware, June 17, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. is the designated date and time for Chapter 11 disclosure statement and plan of reorganization hearings. See https://www.cacb.uscourts.gov/sites/cacb/files/documents/judges/self-calendaring/RC_SelfCalendaringDates.pdf.

To remind the Debtor of this Court's procedures for self-calendaring hearings, the Court's Clerk issues that *Notice to Filer of Error and/or Deficient Document* on May 28, 2026, informing the Debtor that the "[i]ncorrect hearing date/time was selected," and the Debtor was instructed to file an amended notice of motion with the correct hearing date and time. See Docket No. 174.

No such amended notice of hearing was filed. Ergo, the Financing Motion is not set for hearing. How then is the Debtor insuring its assets?

The Motion to Use Cash Collateral

On June 9, 2026, the Debtor filed *Westside Tow and Transport, Inc.'s Notice of Motion and Fourth Interim Motion for Entry of Order Authorizing Continued Use of Cash Collateral Through Confirmation or September 30, 2026* (the "Cash Collateral Motion"). See Docket No. 184. Through the Cash Collateral Motion, the Debtor

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Westside Tow and Transport Inc.

Chapter 11

sought authority to use cash collateral from June 30, 2026, through the earlier of confirmation of a plan of reorganization, or September 30, 2026. *See id.* at p. 12, lines 4-7. The Debtor, however, did not file the Cash Collateral Motion in time for it to be heard on regular notice pursuant to this Court's Local Rules. *See* Docket No. 183, *Debtor's Application for Order Setting Hearing on Shortened Notice on Fourth Motion for Entry of Continued Order Authorizing Use of Cash Collateral Through September 30, 2026* (the "Application to Shorten Time"). The Debtor therefore filed the Application to Shorten Time requesting that the Cash Collateral Motion be heard on shortened notice. *See id.*

The Court denied the Application to Shorten Time, because the Debtor had not explained the good cause to support the Application. *See* Docket No. 185, *Order Denying Application for Order Setting Hearing on Shortened Notice*. Specifically, the Court noted that the Debtor knew since April 1, 2026, that its authorized use of cash collateral lapsed on June 30, 2026. *See id.* at p. 3. The Court's self-calendar schedule was posted to the public facing portion of the Court's website for months prior to April 1, 2026, so the Debtor was also aware of the dates in June 2026, that were available for a hearing on the Cash Collateral Motion. The Court understands the Debtor's need to use cash collateral. That is not the query, however. The query of the Court was, given the importance of the Cash Collateral Motion, why did the Debtor not file the Cash Collateral Motion timely? It is the explanation as to why the motion was not timely filed that the Cash Collateral Motion and the Application to Shorten Time failed to address. To this day, the Court finds no response.

Substitution of Counsel

The Debtor asserted on June 9, 2026, that "Debtor's counsel, Terzian Law Group is substituting out of the case with a new attorney to take over the case." *See* Docket No. 183, p. 2, lines 25-27. The Court has seen no motion to withdraw filed. An order employing current counsel of record to the Debtor was recently entered on May 21, 2026. *See* Docket No. 169, *Order Approving Debtor and Debtor in Possession's Application to Employ Terzian Law Group as Its Bankruptcy Counsel*. It is unclear to the Court what the Debtor intends to do regarding insolvency counsel. Where the

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Westside Tow and Transport Inc. Chapter 11

Court begins, however, is that Terzian Law Group may not withdraw from the case absent this Court's approval, and given the advanced stages of the case, the Court will want to understand the good cause that exists to allow the withdrawal of counsel. *See* Local Rule 2091-1(e)(2).

April 22, 2026

Appearances required, in-person by the Debtor. No remote appearances will be taken by the Debtor.

February 25, 2026

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed *Westside Tow & Transport, Inc.'s Third Status Report*. *See* Docket No. 116. According to the Debtor, it "estimates filing a plan of reorganization within the exclusivity period..." *See id.* at p. 5, lines 19-21. According to the Court's calculations, the period of exclusivity lapsed on February 5, 2025. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1121(b); *see also* Docket No. 1. The Court will set April 22, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. as the disclosure statement hearing on the Debtor's to-be filed plan of reorganization and disclosure statement, and continue the status conference to trail the disclosure statement hearing, April 22, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. The disclosure statement and plan are to be filed and served in accordance with the Fed. R. Bankr. P. and this Court's Local Rules.

The Court will hear from the Office of the United States Trustee regarding the Debtor's compliance with those *Guidelines and Requirements of Chapter 11 Debtors-in-Possession*.

January 16, 2026

Appearances required.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Westside Tow and Transport Inc.

Chapter 11

January 14, 2026

Appearances required.

Pursuant to that *Order Setting Initial Status Conference* (the "Order"), "[n]ot less than fourteen calendar days prior to the date scheduled for every initial or continued status conference, the debtor-in-possession shall file and serve a written status report [] unless the Court has expressly relieved the debtor-in-possession of the obligation to file a written status report." *See* Docket No. 7, p. 3, lines 3-6. "Failure to timely file a status report may result in sanctions including dismissal, conversion, or the appointment of a trustee." *See id.* at lines 7-9.

The Court set January 14, 2026, as a date for a continued status conference. *See* Docket No. 79. Yet, Westside Tow and Transport Inc. (the "Debtor") failed to file and serve a status conference report informing parties-in-interest, and the Court, of the status of the instant case. Did the Court waive this requirement?

The Court finds no operating report since that filed for the month of October 2025.

The Debtor last reported that it estimated that it would file a plan of reorganization within the period of exclusivity, or prior to February 5, 2026. *See* Docket No. 69, *Westside Tow & Transport, Inc.'s Initial Status Report*, p. 6, lines 1-3. Does the Debtor remain on track to file a plan prior to February 5, 2026? Is the Debtor operating cash flow positive? What professionals has the Debtor employed and what amounts have they accrued? Is the Debtor current with its payments to the Office of the United States Trustee? The Debtor is operating in Chapter 11, in the blind. Parties-in-interest, other than the Debtor, are left without any recent information in the instant case.

The Debtor is in violation of the Order, unless the Court expressly waived the status report requirement, and has not complied with the *Guidelines and Requirements of Chapter 11 Debtors-in-Possession*. Pursuant to the Order, at a status conference, and without further notice, the Court may dismiss the case, convert the case to another chapter, or order the appointment of a chapter 11 trustee. *See* Docket No. 7, p. 2, lines 1-3.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Westside Tow and Transport Inc.

Chapter 11

December 3, 2025

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed *Westside Tow & Transport, Inc. 's Initial Status Report*. See Docket No. 69. The Court will hear from the Office of the United States Trustee regarding the Debtor's conformance with those *Guidelines and Requirements for Chapter 11 Debtors in Possession*. The Court will here note that the Debtor has not timely filed its October operating report.

The Court has reviewed that *Notice of Setting/Increasing Insider Compensation*. See Docket No. 70. The Notice provides for \$20,000 per month in compensation to one Peter N. Wambaa. This includes a "car allowance." See *id.* at p. 1. The "car allowance" is \$6,442.61 for "three BMW cars." See *id.* at p. 2. According to the Debtor, payments were made on behalf of Wambaa totaling \$220,000 for the period of October 18, 2024, through September 2, 2025. See Docket No. 66, *Statement of Financial Affairs for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy*, p. 20. It is not clear what Wambaa's pre-petition pay was. See *id.* at p. 25. The Court will note that the Schedules need, again, to be amended. See *id.* It is not clear what section 30.3 or 30.4 are. The Court, here, will point out that it raises concern, a debtor paying for three (3) luxury cars for an insider at a time when it had resorted to merchant advance loans to pay its monthly expenses. Given the rocky start to the instant case, schedules that still require amendment, even after being raised by the Court on prior occasions, and the fact that the Debtor has not filed an operating report, the Court is concerned with the aforementioned payments to Wambaa.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Westside Tow and Transport Inc.

Represented By
Tamar Terzian

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

9:25-11392 Myle Paulette Zagorsky

Chapter 11

#21.00 CONT'D Hearing re: [41] Disclosure statement describing debtor's chapter 11 plan of reorganization

fr. 4-8-26, 6-17-26, 7-08-26,

Docket 41

Tentative Ruling:

July 8, 2026

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed *Debtor-in-Possession Status Conference Report* (the "Report"). See Docket No. 61. The Report provides that the Debtor is unable to advance this case outside of a property sale in that a CPA must be employed to ascertain the tax liabilities of the estate. The Court finds no application to employ a tax professional. The Court employed a real property broker on May 7, 2026, but there appears to be no sign of a sale of the property in the two (2) months that have since passed.

The Debtor reported negative cash-on-hand as of May 31, 2026. See Docket No. 64, *Monthly Operating Report*, p. 2.

If the Debtor's broker is unable to find a buyer for the real property, why should the real property not be auctioned by a Chapter 7 trustee?

June 17, 2026

Appearances waived.

The hearing is continued to July 8, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

**CONT... Myle Paulette Zagorsky
April 8, 2026**

Chapter 11

Appearances required.

On October 17, 2025, Myle Paulette Zagorsky (the "Debtor") filed a voluntary petition for relief pursuant to Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code. *See* Docket No. 1, *Voluntary Petition for Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy*.

On February 24, 2026, the Debtor filed that *Disclosure Statement Describing Debtor's Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization* (the "Disclosure Statement"). *See* Docket No. 41.

The Debtor's intended exit from Chapter 11 consists of selling the Debtor's primary residence to fund the plan and payoff creditors in full. *See id.* at p. 10, lines 20-21.

Before the Court is the Debtor's request for approval of the Disclosure Statement pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1125(b).

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 1125(b), "[a]n acceptance or rejection of a plan may not be solicited after the commencement of the case under this title from a holder of a claim or interest with respect to such claim or interest, unless, at the time of or before such solicitation, there is transmitted to such holder the plan or a summary of the plan, and a written disclosure statement approved, after notice and a hearing, by the court as containing adequate information." "[A]dequate information means information of a kind, and in sufficient detail, as far as is reasonably practicable in light of the nature and history of the debtor and the condition of the debtor's books and records [] that would enable such a hypothetical investor of the relevant class to make an informed judgment about the plan..." 11 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1). "[I]n determining whether a disclosure statement provides adequate information, the court shall consider the complexity of the case, the benefit of additional information to creditors and other parties in interest, and the cost of providing additional information." *Id.* "[T]he determination of what is adequate information is subjective and made on a case by case basis. This determination is largely within the discretion of the bankruptcy court." *In re Brothy*, 303 B.R. 177, 193 (9th Cir. BAP 2003)(citing *In re Texas Extrusion Corp.*, 844 F.2d 1142, 1157 (5th Cir. 1988)). "The purpose of a disclosure statement is to give all creditors a source of information which allows them to make

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room

201

11:00 AM

CONT... Myle Paulette Zagorsky

Chapter 11

an informed choice regarding the approval or rejection of a plan." *In re Cal. Fidelity, Inc.*, 198 B.R. 567, 571 (9th Cir. BAP 1996).

Issues:

- 1) The plan is entirely based on selling the Malibu home, yet, no broker has been employed, nor does the Disclosure Statement discuss the employment of a broker. The Disclosure Statement also does not provide any information on the marketing of the Malibu home or comparable home sales.
 - a. There is no deadline to sell the Malibu home. All payments, including effective date payments are to be paid through the sale. As of December 31, 2025, the Debtor had no cash, and so absent a sale of the Malibu home prior to the effective date, there would be no cash to pay effective date payments.
 - b. The Disclosure Statement does not state the tax basis of the Malibu home, or describe the tax consequences of the sale of the home, let alone the tax consequences of the plan of reorganization.
- 2) Although the plan is not being funded with the Debtor's income, the Disclosure Statement provides no information on where these payment come from, or why there was a reduction/delay in past payments that caused this bankruptcy filing.
- 3) No information is provided on any avoidance actions, if any.
- 4) The plan of reorganization is not feasible. The Malibu home is listed for sale for \$3.988 million. The Debtor is claiming a homestead exemption in the Malibu home of \$722,502. There are secured claims filed in the amount of \$2,277,954.03. There are priority claims filed in the amount of \$1,005,216.47. There are filed general unsecured non-priority claims in the amount of \$893,744.78. There are projected administrative expense priority claims in the amount of \$20,000. It is unclear what the commissions, or any outstanding tax, repair, and maintenance obligations against the Malibu home would be at the time of any sale. In short, the plan of reorganization is unconfirmable based on filed claims, and using the purported listing price of the Malibu home as the ultimate sale price.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Myle Paulette Zagorsky

Chapter 11

- 5) The Disclosure Statement describes the plan of reorganization as a liquidating plan, but the Debtor intends on an earn-out scenario for the claim of Tesla Finance. *See* Docket No. 41, p. 17, lines 3-12. If so, does the Debtor not need to prove feasibility of the earn out with income and expense projections?

- 6) The disclosure statement states the Debtor expects priority tax claims to decrease, but provides no information on when or how this will occur.

- 7) The Disclosure Statement indicates that one secured creditor, Hometap Equity Partners, LLC, has an option to elect to own a percentage of the Malibu home, but the Disclosure Statement does not provide when Hometap would decide on this option. Nor does the Disclosure Statement provide how much Hometap is presently owed.

- 8) No information was provided on the two pending lawsuits against the Debtor.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Myle Paulette Zagorsky

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

9:25-11392 Myle Paulette Zagorsky

Chapter 11

#22.00 CONT'D Chapter 11 Status Conference

fr. 12-10-25, 2-25-26, 4-8-26, 6-17-26, 7-08-26,

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

July 8, 2026

Appearances required.

June 17, 2026

Appearances waived.

The hearing is continued to July 8, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

April 8, 2026

Appearances required.

The Debtor has not filed an operating report for January or February 2025. The *Debtor-in-Possession Status Report* does not provide the Court or parties-in-interest with much information. See Docket No. 46.

February 25, 2026

Appearances required.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Myle Paulette Zagorsky

Chapter 11

The Court has reviewed *Debtor-in-Possession Status Conference Report*. See Docket No. 37. The Court will hear from the Office of the United States Trustee regarding the Debtor's compliance with those *Guidelines and Requirements of Chapter 11 Debtors-in-Possession*. Assuming full compliance, the Court will continue the status conference to April 8, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

December 10, 2025

Appearances required.

The Court has reviewed *Debtor-in-Possession Status Conference Report*. See Docket No. 22. The Court will hear from parties-in-interest, including the Office of the United States Trustee. The Court is inclined to set the following deadlines and dates:

December 30, 2025 – Deadline for the Debtor to provide notice of the claims bar date

January 30, 2026 – Claims bar date

February 20, 2026 – Deadline for the Debtor to file and serve a disclosure statement and plan of reorganization, and notice of the hearing on approval of the to-be filed disclosure statement

February 25, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. – Continued status conference

April 8, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. – Hearing on approval of to-be filed disclosure statement

The Debtor is to lodge a scheduling order within 7 days.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Myle Paulette Zagorsky

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

9:25-11589 MW Mason Construction, Inc.

Chapter 11

#23.00 CONT'D Post-Confirmation Chapter 11 Subchapter V Status Conference

FR. 1-14-26, 3-25-26, 5-06-26, 5-20-26,

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

May 20, 2026

Appearances required.

May 6, 2026

Appearances waived.

The hearing is continued to May 20, 2026, at 1:00 p.m.

March 25, 2026

Appearances required.

January 14, 2026

Appearances required.

MW Mason Construction, Inc. (the "Debtor") filed a voluntary petition for relief pursuant to Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code on November 25, 2025. *See* Docket No. 1, *Voluntary Petition for Non-Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy*. The Debtor elected to proceed under Subchapter V of Chapter 11. *See id.* at p. 2. A plan of reorganization must be filed on or before February 23, 2026. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1189(b).

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... MW Mason Construction, Inc.

Chapter 11

The Debtor entered December 2025 with \$0 cash on hand. *See* Docket No. 47, *Monthly Operating Report for Small Business Under Chapter 11*.

The Court has reviewed that *Subchapter V Status Report*. *See* Docket No. 48. The Court will issue the following dates:

February 25, 2026 – Last day for the plan of reorganization, notice of the confirmation hearing, and ballots to be served, and for proof of such service to be filed

March 12, 2026 – Last day for parties in interest to file opposition to confirmation of the Debtor's plan of reorganization and to return ballots

March 17, 2026 – Last day for the Debtor to file a confirmation brief, which is to include any response to any oppositions to confirmation, and a ballot tally

March 25, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. – Hearing on confirmation of the Debtor's plan of reorganization

March 25, 2026, at 1:00 p.m. – Continued status conference

The Court will hear from the Office of the United States Trustee regarding the Debtor's compliance with those *Guidelines and Requirements of Chapter 11 Debtors in Possession*.

The Debtor is to lodge a scheduling order with the above-referenced dates within 7 days.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

MW Mason Construction, Inc.

Represented By
William C Beall
Ryan W Beall

Trustee(s):

John-Patrick McGinnis Fritz (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

9:26-10544 Louis Acosta and Sandra Acosta

Chapter 11

#24.00 CONT'D Chapter 11 Status Conference

FR. 6-03-26, 7-29-26,

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

July 29, 2026

Appearances waived.

The Court has reviewed *Debtor's Status Report*. See Docket No. 53. The Court will continue the status conference to August 26, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. so that the Debtor's counsel may attend.

June 3, 2026

Appearances required.

On April 17, 2026, Louis and Sandra Acosta (collectively, the "Debtors") filed a voluntary petition for relief pursuant to Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code. See Docket No. 1.

On May 20, 2026, the Debtors filed *Debtor's Status Report*, which the Court has reviewed. See Docket No. 26.

The Court will hear from parties-in-interest, including the Office of the United States Trustee regarding the Debtors' compliance with those *Guidelines and Requirements for Chapter 11 Debtors in Possession*.

The Court's inclination is to set a claims bar date of July 30, 2026. The Court is further inclined to set August 31, 2026, as the deadline for the Debtors to file disclosure statement and a plan of reorganization. The hearing on the Debtors' to-be

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Northern Division
Ronald A Clifford III, Presiding
Courtroom 201 Calendar**

Wednesday, August 26, 2026

Hearing Room 201

11:00 AM

CONT... Louis Acosta and Sandra Acosta

Chapter 11

filed disclosure statement shall be October 21, 2026, at 11:00 a.m.

The Debtors are to lodge a scheduling order as follows:

June 12, 2026 – Deadline for the Debtors to file and serve notice of the claims bar date

July 29, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. – Continued status conference

July 30, 2026 - Claims bar date

August 31, 2026 – Deadline for the Debtors to file a disclosure statement and plan of reorganization

September 9, 2026 – Deadline for the Debtors to file and serve notice of the disclosure statement hearing, and to serve the disclosure statement and plan of reorganization

October 21, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. – Hearing on disclosure statement

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Louis Acosta

Represented By
Henry D Paloci

Joint Debtor(s):

Sandra Acosta

Represented By
Henry D Paloci

Movant(s):

Louis Acosta

Represented By
Henry D Paloci

Sandra Acosta

Represented By
Henry D Paloci