Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM 9: -

Chapter

#0.00 Unless ordered otherwise, appearances for matters may be made in-person in Courtroom 201 at 1415 State Street, Santa Barbara, California, 93101, by video through ZoomGov, or by telephone through ZoomGov. If appearing through ZoomGov, parties in interest may connect to the video and audio feeds, free of charge, using the connection information provided below. Individuals may participate by ZoomGov video and audio using a personal computer (equipped with camera, microphone and speaker), or a handheld mobile device. Individuals may opt to participate by audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply).

All parties making an appearance via ZoomGov video and audio connection **must** have their video on. Proper court attire is required of all parties appearing via ZoomGov video. Any virtual backgrounds are to be of a solid color, without pictures, videos, or scenes. No party may appear by ZoomGov from any place other than a quiet room in an office or home. Parties may not appear via ZoomGov from a vehicle of any kind, moving or not.

Regarding remote access to hearings, members of the public may NOT observe any hearing via ZoomGov web address or app. Members of the public may ONLY listen to nonevidentiary hearings, where no live testimony is being taken, via ZoomGov telephone conference line or in-person at the address listed above. If members of the public attempt to observe hearings remotely in any manner other than via ZoomGov telephone conference line, the Court will remove them from ZoomGov for the hearing(s). No members of the public will be permitted to observe, via telephone line or otherwise, trials, evidentiary hearings, hearings where live testimony will be taken, and hearings where sensitive information is being disseminated that may not be adequately safeguarded.

You may obtain the ZoomGov connection details by clicking the hyperlink below or copying and pasting the web address into your browser.

https://forms.office.com/g/d3SqfMtsuv

Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account is necessary to participate, and no preregistration is required. The audio portion of each hearing will be recorded electronically by the Court and that recording will constitute its official record. Recording, retransmitting, photographing, or imaging Court proceedings by any means is strictly prohibited.

> Docket 0

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

<u>9:00 AM</u> CONT...

- NONE LISTED -

Chapter

Thursday, Ja	anuary 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10388	Silvestre Zarate Barriga		Cha	apter 13
#1.00	CONT'D. Hearing on Confirma	tion of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 8-15-24, 11-14-24	Consent Calendar (Per	Trustee)	
	Docket	1		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -			
	Party Info	ormation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	• •			
Silves	stre Zarate Barriga	Represented By Bryan Diaz		
Trustee(s	<u>):</u>			
Elizab	oeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10878	Michele Cleveland	Char	oter 13
#2.00	CONT'D. Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 10-17-24, 12-12-24		

Docket 1 *** VACATED *** REASON: Continued to 02/13/2025 at 9:00 a.m. per trustee's notice of continued confirmation hearing filed 01/14/2025

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Michele Cleveland

Represented By Reed H Olmstead

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday,	Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10899	Gilma M Bustillos		Chap	oter 13
#3.00	CONT'D. Hearing o	n Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 10-17-24, 11-14	-24		
	_	Oocket 1 REASON: Continued to March 20,	2025 at 9:00am	
Tentativ	e Ruling:			
- NONI	E LISTED -			
		Party Information		
<u>Debtor(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Gilm	a M Bustillos	Represented By Kenneth H J Henjum		

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025			201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10905	Maria L Madrigal		Chaj	oter 13
#4.00	CONT'D. Hearing on Confir	mation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 10-17-24, 11-14-24			
	Docket	1		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: IISTED -			
	Party I	Information		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>			
Maria	a L Madrigal	Represented By Leonard Pena		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025			201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10942	Debbie Christine Perez		Chap	oter 13
#5.00	CONT'D. Hearing on Confirm	ation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 11-14-24			
	Docket	1		
Tentative - NONE	e Ruling: LISTED -			
	Party In	formation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>			
Debb	ie Christine Perez	Represented By Todd J Mannis		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10959	Matthew Garrett Ry	n and N	Maria Isabel Ryan	Chaj	oter 13
#6.00	CONT'D. Hearing or	n Confir	mation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 11-14-24				
	D	ocket	1		
	e Ruling: E LISTED -				
		Party I	nformation		
<u>Debtor(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Matt	hew Garrett Ryan		Represented By Eric Ridley		
Joint De	<u>btor(s):</u>				
Mari	a Isabel Ryan		Represented By Eric Ridley		
<u>Trustee(</u>	<u>s):</u>				
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10968	Marco Antonio Pablo-Gayos	50	Char	oter 13
#7.00	CONT'D. Hearing on Confi	rmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 11-14-24			
	Docket	1		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling:			
	Party]	Information		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>			
Marc	o Antonio Pablo-Gayoso	Represented By Susan Salehi		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025			201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11017	Billy Roshawn Miller		Char	oter 13
#8.00	CONT'D. Hearing on Confirmati	on of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 11-14-24			
	Docket 1			
Tentative - NONE	Ruling:			
	Party Infor	mation		
<u>Debtor(s</u>)	<u>:</u>			
Billy	Roshawn Miller	Represented By Rabin Pournazarian		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	anuary 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11060	Daniel Molina Jimen	lez	Chap	oter 13
#9.00	CONT'D. Hearing or	n Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 12-12-24			
	*** VACATED ***	Docket 1 REASON: Continued to 02/13/2025 and antinued confirmation hearing filed 01/	1	
Tentative	e Ruling:			
- NONE	LISTED -			
		Party Information		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>):</u>			
Danie	el Molina Jimenez	Represented By Tom A Moore		

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025			201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11085	Rafael Soriano Fraire		Chaj	pter 13
#10.00	CONT'D. Hearing on Confirmation	on of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 12-12-24			
	Docket 1			
Tentative - NONE	Ruling:			
	Party Information			
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>			
Rafae	el Soriano Fraire	Represented By Daniel A Higson		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Elizal	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11151	Gregory Nava		Chaj	oter 13
#11.00	CONT'D. Hearing on Confirmation	on of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 12-12-24			
	Docket 1			
Tentative	Ruling:			
- NONE	Party Infor	mation		
Debtor(s)	*			_
Grego	ory Nava	Represented By Kenneth H J Henjum		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Elizal	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	anuary 23, 2025	Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11154	Erica Janeane Siaotong	Char	oter 13
#12.00	Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	Consent Calendar (Per 7	rustee)	

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Erica Janeane Siaotong

Represented By Kevin T Simon

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, J	anuary 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11155	Josef Obermeier			Chaj	pter 13
#13.00	Hearing on Confirm	mation of (Chapter 13 Plan		
			Consent Calendar (Per	Trustee)	
		Docket	1		
Tentative	e Ruling:				
- NONE	LISTED -				
		Party I	nformation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>) <u>:</u>				
Josef	Obermeier		Represented By Kevin T Simon		

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, J	anuary 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11160	Alfonso V Gonzalez		Chap	oter 13
#14.00	Hearing on Confirmation of (Chapter 13 Plan		
		Consent Calendar (Per Tr	rustee)	
	Docket	1		
Tentative	e Ruling:			
- NONE	LISTED -			

Party Information

Debtor(s):

_

Alfonso V Gonzalez

Represented By Kevin T Simon

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025			201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11166	Janusz Kusnierz		Chaj	oter 13
#15.00	Hearing on Confirmation of	Chapter 13 Plan		
	Docket	1		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -			
	Party	Information		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>			
Janus	z Kusnierz	Represented By Steven Abraham Wol	lvek	
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	anuary 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11174	Samuel Robert Walker		Chaj	pter 13
#16.00	Hearing on Confirmation of C	Chapter 13 Plan		
		Consent Calendar (Per	Trustee)	
	Docket	1		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -			
	Party II	nformation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>			
Samu	el Robert Walker	Represented By Kevin T Simon		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Elizal	oeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11178	Hugo L. Dominguez			Chaj	oter 13
#17.00	Hearing on Confirma	tion of C	Chapter 13 Plan		
	De	ocket	1		
Tentative	e Ruling: E LISTED -				
		Party I	nformation		
Debtor(s	<u>):</u>				
Hugo	L. Dominguez		Represented By Edwin J Rambuski		
Trustee(s	<u>a):</u>				
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201		
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11200	Ruben N Mota			Chaj	oter 13
#18.00	Hearing on Confirmation	of Cl	hapter 13 Plan		
	Dock	et	1		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -				
	Pa	ty In	formation		
Debtor(s)	<u>:</u>				
Rube	n N Mota		Represented By Kevin Tang		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Elizal	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025				201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11202	Jesus Rios and Abigail Rie	DS		Cha	pter 13
#19.00	Hearing on Confirmation	of C	hapter 13 Plan		
	Docket	,	1		
Tentative	LISTED -				_
	Part	ty In	Iformation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>):</u>				
Jesus	Rios		Represented By Rabin Pournazarian		
Joint Deb	otor(s):				
Abiga	ail Rios		Represented By Rabin Pournazarian		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025				Hearing Room	201		
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11204	Wendy Ann Newhouse			Chap	ter 13		
#20.00	Hearing on Confirmatio	Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan					
	Dock	tet	1				
Tentative	e Ruling: E LISTED -						
	Pa	rty Iı	nformation				
Debtor(s)	<u>):</u>						
Wend	ly Ann Newhouse		Represented By Daniel A Higson				
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>						
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)		Pro Se				

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11228	Anna Gonzales Magana		Cha	pter 13
#21.00	Hearing on Confirmation o	f Chapter 13 Plan		
	Docket	1		
Tentative - NONE	e Ruling: E LISTED -			
	Party	⁷ Information		
Debtor(s)	<u>):</u>			
Anna	a Gonzales Magana	Represented By Steven A Alpert		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>s):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025				201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11238	Varick Kai Kirstine and	Rebe	cca Mary Kirstine	Chaj	pter 13
#22.00	Hearing on Confirmatio	n of C	hapter 13 Plan		
	Dock	tet	1		
Tentative	LISTED -	arty In	formation		
Debtor(s)		11 LY 111			
	– k Kai Kirstine		Represented By Adele M Schneidereit		
<u>Joint Deb</u>	otor(s):				
Rebe	cca Mary Kirstine		Represented By Adele M Schneidereit		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11246	Jeremy A. Janssen			Chaj	pter 13
#23.00	Hearing on Confirma	ation of C	Chapter 13 Plan		
	D	Oocket	1		
Tentative	e Ruling:				
		Party II	nformation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>):</u>				
Jerem	ny A. Janssen		Represented By Brent D George		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11265	Rebecca Jane Reid Affleck		Chap	ter 13
#24.00	Hearing on Confirmation o	f Chapter 13 Plan		
Tentative	Docket *** VACATED *** REAS trustee's notice of continues e Ruling: E LISTED -	SON: Continued to 02/1	-	
- NONE		v Information		1
<u>Debtor(s</u>)	Ų			_
Rebe	cca Jane Reid Affleck	Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>s):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11266	Jody Lynn Kester		Chaj	oter 13
#25.00	Hearing on Confirmation of	of Chapter 13 Plan		
	Docket	1		
Tentative	LISTED -	y Information		-
Debtor(s)				
	– Lynn Kester	Represented By Karen L Grant		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Elizal	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11271	Bill Mac Robertson			Chaj	oter 13
#26.00	Hearing on Confirma	ation of C	Chapter 13 Plan		
	D	ocket	1		
Tentative	e Ruling: E LISTED -				_
		Party I	nformation		
Debtor(s)	<u>):</u>				
Bill N	Mac Robertson		Represented By Michael B Clayton		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>s):</u>				
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201		
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11281	Oscar Lomeli			Chaj	pter 13
#27.00	Hearing on Confirmation	of Ch	napter 13 Plan		
	Docke	t	1		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling:				
	Par	ty Inf	formation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>				
Oscar	Lomeli		Represented By Steven A Alpert		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Elizal	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, J	anuary 23, 2025	Hearing Room 20	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10239	Banessa Gutierrez	Chap	ter 13
#28.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 5-16-24, 7-18-24, 10-17-24, 11-14-24, 12-12-24		
	Docket 1		

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Banessa Gutierrez

Represented By Aaron Lipton

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room 20	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10470	Lawrence Andre Bussard	Char	oter 13
#29.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 7-18-24, 9-19-24, 11-14-24		
	Docket 1		

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Lawrence Andre Bussard

Represented By Michael B Clayton

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, J	anuary 23, 2025	Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10479	Timothy Todd Delaney	Char	oter 13
#30.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 7-18-24, 9-19-24, 10-17-24		
	Docket 1		
Tentative	e Ruling:		

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Timothy Todd Delaney

Represented By Randall V Sutter

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Timothy Todd Delaney	Chapter 13
CONT'D Hearing RE: [25] Motion to Dismiss Debtor /Chapter 13 Case Prejudice	e with
FR. 6-18-24, 7-9-24, 7-18-24, 9-19-24, 10-17-24	
Docket 25	
	CONT'D Hearing RE: [25] Motion to Dismiss Debtor /Chapter 13 Case Prejudice FR. 6-18-24, 7-9-24, 7-18-24, 9-19-24, 10-17-24

Hearing Room

201

Tentative Ruling:

July 9, 2024

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Appearances required.

Background

On July 6, 2023, the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Barbara entered that *Judgment of Dissolution* (the "Judgment") as between Timothy Delaney (the "Debtor") and Viviane Delaney (the "Movant"). *See* Docket No. 37, *Debtor Timothy Todd Delaney's Response to Creditor Viviane Delaney's Motion to Dismiss Chapter 13 Case with Prejudice* (the "Opposition"), *Exhibit A*. Among other things, the Judgment requires an "[e]qualization [p]ayment" to the Movant in the amount of \$932,913. *See id.* at p. 7. The total of the Judgment in the Movant's favor is \$1,007,953.00. *See id.* at *Exhibit B*; *see also* Docket No. 25, *Motion to Dismiss Chapter 13 Case with Prejudice* (the "Motion"), p. 1, lines 21-24. The Debtor appealed the Judgment, which is currently pending. *See* Docket No. 37, *Exhibit C*; *see also* Docket No. 25, p. 3, lines 24-25. The Movant secured the Judgment as against the Debtor's real property located at 3935 Foothill Rd., Santa Barbara, CA (the "Foothill Property"). *See* Docket No. 37, *Exhibit J.* A sheriff's sale of the Foothill Property was scheduled to take place on May 2, 2024. *See id.*

On May 2, 2024, prior to the scheduled sheriff's sale of the Foothill Property, the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief pursuant to Chapter 13 of Title 11 of the U.S. Code (this "Case"). *See* Docket No. 1.

On May 15, 2024, the Debtor amended his schedules. See Docket Nos. 11-14. The

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

Chapter 13

<u>9:00 AM</u>

CONT... Timothy Todd Delaney

Debtor scheduled \$7,971,454.15 in assets and \$1,988,788.20 in debts and liabilities. See Docket No. 11, p. 2, Summary of Your Assets and Liabilities and Certain Statistical Information. The Debtor also stated he has a monthly income of \$13,376 from rental property. See Docket No. 14, p. 1, Chapter 13 Statement of Your Current Monthly Income and Calculation of Commitment Period.

Additionally, the Debtor scheduled a personal guaranty of \$400,000.00 on a U.S. Small Business Administration loan (the "SBA Guaranty") as a secured debt. *See* Docket No. 1, p. 25, *Schedule D.* [FN1]. The Debtor indicates he guaranteed a loan by Delaney Family Enterprises, Inc., which is secured by assets of the company. *See* Docket No. 1, p. 25. The Debtor states he wholly owns Delaney Family Enterprises, Inc., that the company has a value of \$1.00, and that all assets of the company are listed within his schedules. *See id.* at p. 15.

On May 25, 2024, the Movant filed the Motion. *See* Docket No. 25. The Motion contends that this Case should be dismissed because (1) the Debtor exceeds the debt limit under 11 U.S.C. § 109(e), (2) the Debtor cannot confirm a feasible plan of reorganization, and (3) the Debtor filed the case in bad faith. *See id.* at p. 2 lines 4-5.

The Motion asserts that the Debtor owes the Movant \$931,496.20 on a secured basis as of April 11, 2024 on account of the Judgment, however, the Movant's claim was scheduled as totaling \$79,223.50 by the Debtor. *See id.* at p. 1, lines 22-24 and p. 2 line 15. The Motion asserts that the Debtor is over the debt limit as listed in 11 U.S.C. § 109(e), because the Debtor has \$2,828,060.90 in non-contingent liquated debt once the Judgment is included in the debt calculation. *See id.* at line 25.

On June 4, 2024, the Debtor filed the Opposition. See Docket No. 37. Also on June 4, 2024, the Debtor filed those amended (1) Statement of Financial Affairs for Individuals Filing for Bankruptcy; (2) Schedule E/F; and (3) and Schedule D. See Docket Nos. 34, 35, and 36, respectively. The Debtor has not scheduled the Judgement, but listed that debt as contingent, unliquidated, disputed, and with an unknown value. See Docket No. 36, p. 4. The Debtor also edited the SBA Guaranty as contingent and valued it at \$420,249.94. See Docket No. 35, p. 7.

On June 11, 2024, the Movant filed that *Reply Memorandum re Motion to Dismiss Chapter 13 Case with Prejudice* (the "Reply"). *See* Docket No. 41.

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM CONT... Timothy Todd Delaney

Chapter 13

<u>Analysis</u>

<u>Debt Limit</u>

Insolvency is not a requirement for a debtor to file for chapter 13 relief as chapter 13 makes no reference to the debtor's insolvency or ability to pay his debts. *In re Lepe*, 470 B.R. 851, 862 (9th Cir. BAP 2012) (citing *In re Stolrow's, Inc.*, 84 B.R. 167, 171 (9th Cir. BAP 1988); *In re Taylor*, 450 B.R. 577 n.3 (Bankr. W.D. Pa. 2011); and 11 U.S.C. §109).

Eligibility under 11 U.S.C. § 109(e) "should normally be determined by the debtor's originally filed schedules, checking only to see if the schedules were made in good faith." *In re Scovis*, 249 F.3d 975, 982 (9th Cir. 2001). However, "where a good faith objection to eligibility has been filed by a party in interest, the bankruptcy court can make a limited inquiry outside of the schedules to determine if the [debts are estimated] in good faith, and if not, whether [the debtor] was eligible for chapter 13 relief." *In re Fountain*, 612 B.R. 743, 748 (9th Cir. BAP 2020) (citing *In re Guastella*, 341 B.R. 908, 918 (9th Cir. BAP 2006)).

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 109(e) "[o]nly an individual with regular income that owes, on the date of the filing of the petition, noncontingent, liquidated debts of less than \$2,750,000 [] may be a debtor under chapter 13 of this title."

"Eligibility debt limits should be strictly construed." *In re Lee*, 655 B.R. 340, 351 (9th Cir. BAP 2023) (citing *In re Stahl*, 236 B.R. 271, 274 (9th Cir. BAP 1999)).

The dollar limit applies only to debts that are noncontingent and liquidated on the date the petition is filed. 2 *Colliers on Bankruptcy* ¶109.06 (16th 2024) (citing *In re Slack*, 187 F.3d 1070 (9th Cir. 1999)). *See In re Ho*, 274 B.R. 867, 871 (9th Cir. BAP 2002). *See also In re Ibbott*, 637 B.R. 567, 676 (Bankr. D. MD. 2022) ("§109(e) requires consideration of the debts as they exist as of the petition date, irrespective of postpetition events").

A guaranty is a contingent obligation when the underlying debt is not in default. *In re Green*, 574 B.R. 570, 580 (Bankr. E.D.N.C. 2017)(citing *Glaubitz v. Grossman*, 2011)

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

Chapter 13

<u>9:00 AM</u>

CONT... Timothy Todd Delaney

WL 147931 (E.D. WI 2011)). A debt is contingent if one or more extrinsic events must occur before the debtor will be liable for it. *In re Fountain*, 612 B.R. at 749 (citing *In re Fostyedt*, 823 F.2d 305, 306 (9th Cir. 1987)). *See 2 Collier on Bankruptcy* ¶ 109.06 (16th 2024) ("A claim is contingent as to liability if the debtor's legal duty to pay does not come into existence until triggered by the occurrence of a future event. Thus, a creditor's claim is not contingent when the 'triggering event' occurred before the filing of the chapter 13 petition"); *In re Nicholes*, 184 B.R. 82, 88 (9th Cir. BAP 1995) (if "all event giving rise to liability occurred prior to the filing of the bankruptcy petition," the claim is not contingent); and *In re Imagine Fulfillment Servs.*, *LLC*, 489 B.R. 136, 147 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 2013) (same and affirming the "triggering event" test).

A debt is liquidated when the amount of the debt is "readily determinable" which "turns on the distinction between a simple hearing to determine the amount of a certain debt, and an extensive and contested evidentiary hearing in which substantial evidence may be necessary to establish amounts of liability." *In re Slack*, 187 F.3d 1070, 1073-74 (9th Cir. 1999); *see also In re Nicholes*, 184 B.R. at 89 and 91 ("ready determination is whether the amount due is fixed or certain or otherwise ascertainable by reference to an agreement or by a simple computation" and "not upon the existence or absence of disputes").

Further, judgments, even state court judgments that are not final and subject to appeal, are generally considered to be non-contingent and liquidated liabilities. *See In re Albano*, 55 B.R. 363, 369 (N.D. Ill 1985) (pendency of appeal did not render debt reduced to judgment unliquidated and contingent within meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 109(e)); *In re McMonagle*, 30 B.R. 899, 903 (Bankr. D. SD 1983) (dispute over state court judgments did not make debts contingent under §109(e)). *See also In re Mitchell*, 255 B.R. 345, 359 (Bankr. D. Mass. 2000) (finding that a California state court judgment on appeal was not a contingent debt because all events giving rise to the liability occurred prior to the debtor's petition).

A debt "arising from judgment is not rendered contingent or unliquidated simply because it may be subject to modification or reversal on appeal after the petition date." *In re Ibbott*, 637 B.R. 567, 579 (Bankr. D. Md. 2022) ("The majority of courts analyzing a Chapter 13 debtor's eligibility, when there is a prepetition judgment subject to post petition modification or reversal on appeal, have held that the debt

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

Chapter 13

<u>9:00 AM</u>

CONT... Timothy Todd Delaney

arising from the judgment is not rendered contingent or unliquidated simply because the judgment is not final and non-appealable on the petition date. This Court adopts the majority view on this issue."). Similarly, the court in *In re Keenan*, 201 B.R. 263 (Bankr. S.D. Cal. 1996) held that although a California state law judgment is not final and is not given preclusive effect when an appeal is pending, that judgment debt is not unliquidated. *See also In re Casey*, 198 B.R. 910, 916 (Bankr. S.D. Cal 1996) ("This Court holds that the judgment of the family court is neither contingent nor unliquidated, even though not 'final').

Here, a good faith objection to the Debtor's eligibility for Chapter 13 under 11 U.S.C. § 109(e) has been filed. As such, the Court may consider the Debtor's original and amended schedules and look beyond the schedules to determine if the debts are estimated in good faith and whether the Debtor is eligible for chapter 13 relief. *See infra.*

First, the SBA Guaranty appears to be a contingent debt as the liability has yet to arise. The Court does not have any evidence before it that demonstrates that any debt on the SBA Guaranty is presently due. It appears to the Court that the SBA Guaranty obligation is contingent on a future event of default by the primary borrower on the SBA loan. As such, the \$400,000 scheduled by the Debtor relating to the SBA Guaranty should not be counted towards the debt limited for Chapter 13 under 11 U.S.C. § 109(e).

Second, state court judgments, even those on appeal, are fully liquidated and noncontingent as a matter of law when determining a debtor's eligibility under 11 U.S.C. §109(e). As such, the Judgment does count towards the debt limit.

Taking the Movant's assertion that that she is owed \$931,496.20 as true does not push the Debtor over the debt limit considering the SBA Guaranty is contingent and thus not considered in the 11 U.S.C. § 109(e) analysis. In total, including the SBA Guaranty, the Movant asserts the Debtor's debts total over \$2.8 million. However, once the over \$400,000 SBA Guaranty is excluded as a contingent debt, the Debtor does not exceed the debt limit of 11 U.S.C. § 109(e).

<u>Bad Faith</u>

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

Chapter 13

<u>9:00 AM</u>

CONT... Timothy Todd Delaney

A bankruptcy court may dismiss a chapter 13 petition that is filed in bad faith for cause under 11 U.S.C. § 1307(c). Dismissal of a chapter 13 petition with prejudice requires the application of the *totality of the circumstances* test. *In re Leavitt*, 171 F.3d 1219, 1224-25 (9th Cir. 1999). The following factors are generally considered: (1) whether the debtor misrepresented facts in his petition or plan, or unfairly manipulated the Bankruptcy Code; (2) the debtor's filing and dismissal history; (3) whether the debtor intended only to defeat state court litigation; and (4) whether egregious behavior is present. *Id.*

"[T]he burden of showing that a case was filed in bad faith so as to require conversion or dismissal under §1307(c) falls on the party seeking such conversion or dismissal." *In re Cluff*, 2012 WL 1552391, at *3 (Bankr. D. Idaho 2012) (citing *In re Werts*, 410 B.R. 677, 690 (Bankr. D. Kan. 2009)). *See also In re Leavitt*, 209 B.R. 935 (9th Cir. BAP 1997).

The Debtor's initially filed schedules provided that the Movant's claim, "based on calculations upon successful appeal," totaled \$79,223.50. See Docket No. 1, Schedule D: Creditors Who Have Claims Secured by Property, p. 3. The Debtor later scheduled the Movant's claim improperly as being contingent. See Docket No. 36, Schedule D: Creditors Who Have Claims Secured by Property, p. 3. The scheduling of the Movant's claim by the Debtor does not arise to the level of being a misrepresentation by the Debtor, at least not for purposes of a finding of bad faith. The Debtor scheduled what he believed the Movant's claim was worth taking into account his appeal of the Judgment. The Debtor should have scheduled the Movant's claim for the amount of the Judgment and noted that the Judgment was on appeal, and that he disputes the Judgment. Still, in reviewing the schedules it is clear that there is a judgment against the Debtor, and that the Debtor has appealed said judgment. The Debtor was not hiding these facts. As noted supra, scheduling the full amount of the Judgment would not change the Debtor's ability to qualify as a Chapter 13 debtor, at least as to debt limit limitations.

The Debtor had no prior bankruptcy filings in the eight (8) years preceding the Petition Date. *See* Docket No. 1, p. 3.

The Movant asserts the Debtor's bankruptcy petition was filed solely to avoid posting an appeal bond related to the Judgment. The Debtor contends, among other things,

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

Chapter 13

<u>9:00 AM</u>

CONT... Timothy Todd Delaney

that he filed the petition to stay the Movant's foreclosure action against one of his properties. The Debtor asserts that he utilizes the rental income from some of his properties to support himself, and the loss of these properties would negatively impact his income. What is more, the Debtor asserts that he "has been severely affected financially due to the lengthy and cumbersome marital dissolution proceedings" with the Movant, and that absent bankruptcy he has "no clear strategy to stabilize his financial situation." *See* Docket No. 37, p. 9, lines 24-27. This is at least partially evidenced by the tens of thousands of dollars in taxes the Debtor owed on the Petition Date, and the \$4,219 in cash he held. Avoiding the cost of an appeal bond regarding the Judgment was certainly one of the Debtor's motivations for filing for bankruptcy, but that does not appear to be the sole reason the Debtor filed this Case.

The Court finds no egregious conduct of the Debtor to date.

Confirmable Plan

The Movant correctly points out that as proffered, the Debtor's plan of reorganization is not confirmable. However, the Debtor notes that he has retirement accounts totaling \$1,506,868, and equity in his real property that can be used to fund a plan. The Debtor has yet to even have a confirmation hearing. It seems premature to label this Case dead on arrival before the Debtor has had as much as a confirmation hearing to convince the Court and creditors that he has a viable exit strategy from Chapter 13. This of course is not to suggest that this Case is long for this world. If the Debtor cannot propose a confirmable plan, this case should be dismissed. The Court is reluctant to do so given the fact that this Case is in its early stages, and given the Debtor's stated willingness to utilize exempt assets and other assets to fill any income gaps that the current plan of reorganization poses.

Conclusion

The Court is inclined to deny the Motion, without prejudice.

[FN1]

This is only one of the many debts the Debtor scheduled. The Debtor scheduled over ten unsecured debts and three secured debts owed to different creditors other than the Movant. *See* Docket No. 1, pp. 23-31, *Schedule D* and *Schedule E/F*. *See also* Proof

Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u>			
CONT	Timothy Todd Delaney	Chap	ter 13
of Cl	aim 1-1 in which the Debtor provided a guaranty on a loan.		

June 18, 2024

Appearances waived.

This matter is continued to July 9, 2024, at 1:00 p.m.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Timothy Todd Delaney

Represented By Randall V Sutter

<u>Movant(s):</u>

Viviane Delaney

Represented By Carissa N Horowitz William C Beall

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

Chapter 13

<u>9:00 AM</u>

9:24-10479 Timothy Todd Delaney

#32.00 CONT'D Hearing RE: [27] Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations REAL PROPERTY RE: 3935 Foothill Lane, Santa Barbara, CA

FR. 6-18-24, 7-9-24, 7-18-24, 9-19-24, 10-17-24

Docket 27

Tentative Ruling:

July 9, 2024

Appearances required.

Viviane Delaney ("Movant") seeks a lifting of the automatic stay pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and (d)(2) in relation to the real property located at 3935 Foothill Lane, Santa Barbara, CA 93110 (the "Property") of Timothy Todd Delaney (the "Debtor") on the grounds that (1) Movant's interest is not adequately protected by an adequate equity cushion, (2) the bankruptcy case was filed in bad faith, and (3) pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2)(A), the Debtor has no equity in the Property; and, pursuant to § 362(d)(2)(B), the Property is not necessary to an effective reorganization. See Docket No. 27, Motion for Relief from Stay Under 11 U.S.C. § 362 (the "Motion"), pp. 3-4. [FN 1]. Concurrently with the filing of the Motion, Movant filed that Motion to Dismiss Chapter 13 Case with Prejudice (the "Motion to Dismiss"). See Docket No. 25.

In addition to lifting the stay, Movant requests relief to (1) proceed under applicable nonbankruptcy law to enforce its remedies to foreclose upon and obtain possession of the Property, (2) waiver of the 14-day stay pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 4001(a)(3), (3) the order be binding and effective in any bankruptcy case commenced by or against any debtor who claims any interest in the Property for a period of 180 days from the hearing on this Motion, and (4) if relief from stay is not granted, adequate protection be ordered. *See id.* at p. 5.

Notice

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

Chapter 13

<u>9:00 AM</u>

CONT... Timothy Todd Delaney

The Motion and notice thereof were served upon the Debtor via U.S. Mail First class, postage prepaid on May 24, 2024, notifying the Debtor that pursuant to this Court's Local Rule 9013-1(d), any opposition to the Motion must be filed and served no less than fourteen (14) days prior to the hearing on the Motion. *See id.*, *Proof of Service of Document*, pp. 12-13.

On June 4, 2024, the Debtor filed *Debtor Timothy Todd Delaney's Response to Creditor Viviane Delaney's Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay* (the "Response"). *See* Docket No. 38. In the Response, the Debtor asserts that (1) Movant's interest is adequately protected with an equity cushion, (2) the Property is necessary for an effective reorganization, and (3) the bankruptcy case was not filed in bad faith. *See id.*

Analysis

<u>11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1)</u>

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1), "[o]n request of a party in interest and after notice and a hearing, the court shall grant relief from the stay provided under subsection (a) of this section, such as by terminating, annulling, modifying, or conditioning such stay [] for cause, including the lack of adequate protection of an interest in property of such party in interest." Failure to make postpetition mortgage payments as they become due in a Chapter 13 case may constitute "cause" for relief from the automatic stay under § 362(d)(1). See In re Marks, 2012 WL 6554705, at *11 (9th Cir. BAP Dec. 14, 2012), aff'd, 624 F. App'x 963 (9th Cir. 2015) (citing In re Ellis, 60 B.R. 432, 435 (9th Cir. BAP 1985). While the term "adequate protection" is not defined in the Code, 11 U.S.C. § 361 sets forth three non-exclusive examples of what may constitute adequate protection: 1) periodic cash payments equivalent to decrease in value, 2) an additional or replacement lien on other property, or 3) other relief that provides the indubitable equivalent. See In re Mellor, 734 F.2d 1396, 1400 (9th Cir. 1984). "Equity cushion" is defined as the value in the property, above the amount owed to the creditor with a secured claim, that will shield that interest from loss due to any decrease in the value of the property during the time the automatic stay remains in effect. Id. at 1397. "Equity," as opposed to "equity cushion," is the value, above all secured claims against the property that can be realized from the sale of the property for the benefit of the unsecured creditors. *Id.*

Thursday, January 23, 2025

9:00 AM CONT... Timothy Todd Delaney

"Although the existence of an equity cushion as a method of adequate protection is not specifically mentioned in § 361, it is the classic form of protection for a secured debt justifying the restraint of lien enforcement by a bankruptcy court." *Id.* (internal citations omitted). "In fact, it has been held that the existence of an equity cushion alone, can provide adequate protection." *Id.* (internal citations omitted). "A sufficient equity cushion has been found to exist although not a single mortgage payment had been made." *Id.* (internal citations omitted). "A 20% cushion has been held to be an adequate protection for a secured creditor." *Id.* at 1401. (internal citations omitted).

Here, Movant first contends that their interest in the Property is not adequately protected by an adequate equity cushion. *See* Motion., p. 3. Movant asserts a secured claim against the Property in the amount of \$931,496.20. *See id.*, p. 7. As of the petition date of July 4, 2023, Movant asserts that the fair market value of the Property is \$2,300,000.00 per the Debtor's *Schedule A/B*. *Id.* at *Exhibit A*, p. 14. Movant maintains an equity cushion in the Property. The equity cushion in the Property exceeding Movant's lien and the senior lien of Chase in the amount of \$234,485.00 is \$1,134,018.80 or 49.3% of the fair market value of the Property. *Id.*, pp. 8-9. The 49.3% equity cushion that Movant enjoys wells exceeds the 20% cushion that the Ninth Circuit finds sufficient to be adequate protection for a secured creditor. Therefore, Movant has not established that its interest in the Property is not protected by an adequate equity cushion.

<u>11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2)</u>

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2), "[o]n request of a party in interest and after notice and a hearing, the court shall grant relief from the stay provided under subsection (a) of this section, such as by terminating, annulling, modifying, or conditioning such stay with respect to a stay of an act against property under subsection (a) of this section, if (A) the debtor does not have an equity in such property and (B) such property is not necessary to an effective reorganization."

Subtracting the total liens on the Property (including Movant's lien in the amount of \$931,496.20 and the lien of Chase in the amount of \$234,485.00), the Debtor's equity in the Property is \$1,134,018.80. Since the Debtor has equity in the Property, cause has not been shown sufficient to lift the automatic stay pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)

Hearing Room 201

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

9:00 AM CONT... (2). Timothy Todd Delaney

Chapter 13

<u>Bad Faith</u>

"The debtor's lack of good faith in filing a bankruptcy petition has often been used as cause for removing the automatic stay." *In re Arnold*, 806 F.2d 937, 939 (9th Cir. 1986). "The existence of good faith depends on an amalgam of factors and not upon a specific fact." *Id.* "The bankruptcy court should examine the debtor's financial status, motives, and the local economic environment." *Id.* The Ninth Circuit cited the Ninth Circuit Bankruptcy Appellate Panel regarding bad faith as follows:

If it is obvious that a debtor is attempting unreasonably to deter and harass creditors in their bona fide efforts to realize upon their securities, good faith does not exist. But if it is apparent that the purpose is not to delay or defeat creditors but rather to put an end to long delays, administration expenses ... to mortgage foreclosures, and to invoke the operation of the [bankruptcy law] in the spirit indicated by Congress in the legislation ... good faith cannot be denied. *Id*.

"Good faith is lacking only when the debtor's actions are a clear abuse of the bankruptcy process." *Id.* (citing *In re Thirtieth Place, Inc.*, 30 B.R. 503, 505 (9th Cir. BAP 1983) (quotation omitted).

Movant contends that bad faith exists in that the Debtor's timing of the filing of the petition indicates that it was intended to delay or interfere with the sheriff's sale of the Property. *See* Motion, *Points and Authorities in Support of Motion for Relief from Stay*, p. 1. Specifically, Movant asserts that "[t]he Debtor's only purpose in the filing was to substitute a Chapter 13 petition for a supersedes bond on appeal." *Id.* at 2.

The Debtor's primary motivation in filing bankruptcy may have been to stop the sheriff's sale of the Property. However, the Debtor lists \$13,000.00 in priority claims and \$140,309.02 in non-priority claims on his *Schedule E/F*, which he largely seeks to repay through that *Original Chapter 13 Plan* (the "Plan"). *See* Docket No. 12, *Schedule E/F*, pp. 1-7; *See also* Docket No. 20, *Original Chapter 13 Plan*. The Debtor also seeks to repay the debt owed to Movant through the Plan. *See* Docket No. 20, p. 7. What is more, the Debtor filed the case, in part, to avid a sheriff's sale of the

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

<u>9:00 AM</u>

CONT... Timothy Todd Delaney Property. Chapter 13

The Court is inclined to deny the Motion without prejudice.

[FN 1] Under paragraph 4 of the Motion entitled "Grounds for Relief from Stay", Movant indicates that stay relief should be granted under 11 U.S.C. §§ 362(d)(1) and (d)(2). *See id.*, pp. 3-4. However, Movant only technically requests relief under 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2). *Id.*, p. 5.

June 18, 2024

Appearances waived.

This matter is continued to July 9, 2024, at 1:00 p.m.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Timothy Todd Delaney

Movant(s):

Viviane Delaney

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Represented By Randall V Sutter

Represented By Carissa N Horowitz William C Beall

Thursday, J	anuary 23, 2025	Hearing Room	201	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10614	Darla June Garcia and Paul Frederic Garcia	Chap	ter 13	
#33.00	00 CONT'D Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan			
	FR. 9-19-24, 11-14-24			
	Docket 1			
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -			
	Party Information			
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>			
Darla	June Garcia Represented By Reed H Olmstead			

Joint Debtor(s):

Paul Frederic Garcia

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Represented By Reed H Olmstead

Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10688	Jorge Gutierrez Gonzalez	Chapt	ter 13
#34.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 9-19-24, 11-14-24, 12-12-24		
	Docket 1		

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Jorge Gutierrez Gonzalez

Represented By Matthew D. Resnik

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025		201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10711	April Leigh Gutierrez-Almaguer	Char	oter 13
#35.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 9-19-24, 11-14-24		
	Docket 1		
Tentative - NONE	e Ruling:		
	Party Information		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>		

April Leigh Gutierrez-Almaguer

Represented By Michael B Clayton

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025			201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10750	Arnold Raza Ramos		Chaj	pter 13
#36.00	CONT'D Hearing on Conf	irmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 9-19-24, 11-14-24			
	Docket	: 1		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling:			
	Part	ty Information		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>			
Arno	ld Raza Ramos	Represented By Janet A Lawson		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10756	Peter David Slingerland	Chap	ter 13
#37.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 9-19-24, 11-14-24, 12-12-24		
	Docket 1		
Tentative - NONE	e Ruling:		
	Party Information		

Debtor(s):

Peter David Slingerland

Represented By Kevin Tang

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025		201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10765	Helen Jeanne Borland	Chap	ter 13
#38.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 9-19-24, 10-17-24, 12-12-24		
	Docket 1		
Tentative - NONE	e Ruling:		
	Party Information		1

Debtor(s):

Helen Jeanne Borland

Represented By Louis J Esbin

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10788	Dalton Sebastian Cullors and Jennifer Lynn Cullors	Char	oter 13
#39.00	CONT'D. Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 10-17-24, 11-14-24		
	Docket 1		
Tentative	e Ruling:		
- NONE	LISTED -		

Party Information Debtor(s): Represented By Dalton Sebastian Cullors Represented By Joint Debtor(s): Represented By Jennifer Lynn Cullors Represented By Rabin Pournazarian Represented By Debtor(s): Represented By Jennifer Lynn Cullors Represented By Rabin Pournazarian Represented By

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025		201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10840	Jesus Alberto Arroyo	Chaj	pter 13
#40.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 10-17-24, 12-12-24		
	Docket 1		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -		
	Party Information		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>.</u>		
Jesus	Alberto Arroyo Represented By Joshua Sternberg		
<u>Trustee(s</u>):		

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10892	Jeffery David Manory		Chaj	pter 13
#41.00	CONT'D Hearing on Con	firmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 10-17-24			
	Docke	t 1		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling:			
	Par	ty Information		
<u>Debtor(s</u>	<u>:</u>			
Jeffer	ry David Manory	Represented By Stephen L Burton		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10920	Todd A Vargas and Guad	lalupe Nunez-Vargas	Cha	pter 13
#42.00	CONT'D Hearing on Con	firmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 11-14-24			
	Docke	et 1		
Tentative	E LISTED -			_
	Par	rty Information		
<u>Debtor(s</u>)	<u>):</u>			
Todd	A Vargas	Represented By John K Rounds		
<u>Joint Del</u>	<u>otor(s):</u>			
Guad	lalupe Nunez-Vargas	Represented By John K Rounds		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>s):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025		
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10979	Donte L. Davis	Cha	pter 13
#43.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 11-14-24		
Tentative	C C	d 1/17/25/	
- NONE	LISTED -		_
	Party Information		
<u>Debtor(s</u>)	<u>):</u>		
Donte	e L. Davis Represented By Karen Ware		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>		

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, J	anuary 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11003	Haroution Papazian		Chaj	pter 13
#44.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmatio	n of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 11-14-24	Consent Calendar (Per T	rustee)	
	Docket 1			
Tentative	LISTED -			
	Party Infor	mation		
<u>Debtor(s</u>)	<u>:</u>			
Haro	ution Papazian	Represented By James G. Beirne		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025			201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11080	Bradley James Sparks		Chaj	oter 13
#45.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmatio	n of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 12-12-24			
	Docket 1			
Tentative - NONE	e Ruling: E LISTED -			
	Party Infor	mation		
<u>Debtor(s</u>)	<u>):</u>			
Brad	ey James Sparks	Represented By David S Hagen		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	anuary 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11102	Christina Cathleen Laughton		Chaj	oter 13
#46.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmatio	n of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 12-12-24			
	Docket 1			
Tentative - NONE	Ruling:			
	Party Infor	mation		
<u>Debtor(s</u>)	<u>:</u>			
Chris	tina Cathleen Laughton	Represented By Gregory M Shanfeld		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025			201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11150	Rosa Araceli Contrer	as	Chap	oter 13
#47.00	CONT'D Hearing on	Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 12-12-24			
		ocket 1 REASON: Order dismissing case w	vith a 1-year bar	
Tentative - NONE	e Ruling: E LISTED -			
		Party Information		
<u>Debtor(s</u>)	<u>):</u>			
Rosa	Araceli Contreras	Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>s):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	anuary 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:19-11385	Gerardo Jaimes		Char	oter 13
#48.00	Hearing RE: [77] Trustee's Mot	tion to Dismiss Case		
	Docket	77		
Tentative	e Ruling: ELISTED - Party Info	ormation		
<u>Debtor(s</u>) Gerai	<u>r</u> do Jaimes	Represented By Reed H Olmstead		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:20-10331	Brenton Gregory Davis and Dania Beleiro-Davis	Cha	npter 13
#49.00	CONT'D Hearing RE: [76] Motion to Dismiss Case for Failure to Make Plan Payments		
FR. 10-17-24, 11-14-24, 12-12-24			
	Docket 76 *** VACATED *** REASON: Voluntary dismissal of mo 12/30/2024	tion filed	
Tentative	e Ruling:		
- NONE	LISTED -		
	Party Information		
Debtor(s)	<u>):</u>		

Brenton Gregory Davis

Represented By Joseph A Weber Fritz J Firman

> Joseph A Weber Fritz J Firman

Joint Debtor(s):

Dania Beleiro-Davis

Movant(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Represented By

Pro Se

Thursday, January 23, 2025

9:00 AM

9:21-10989 Rory Steven Kaplan

#50.00 CONT'D Hearing RE: [102] Trustee's Motion to Dismiss Case Due to Material Default of the Plan Pursuant to §1307(c)(6) Failure to Submit all Tax Returns and/or Refunds

FR. 6-13-24, 8-15-24, 10-17-24, 12-12-24

Docket 102

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Rory Steven Kaplan

Represented By Richard L. Sturdevant

Movant(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Pro Se

Pro Se

Hearing Room 201

Chapter 13

Thursday, J	anuary 23, 2025		Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:21-10989	Rory Steven Kaplan		Chap	oter 13
#51.00	CONT'D Hearing RE: [1 Failure to Make Plan Pa	09] Motion to Dismiss Case for yments		
	FR. 11-14-24, 12-12-24			
	Dock	et 109		
Tentative	E LISTED -	rty Information		-
Debtor(s)				
	<u>r</u> Steven Kaplan	Represented By Richard L. Sturdevant		
<u>Movant(s</u>	<u>s):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025		Heari	Hearing Room 2	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:22-10171	Zara Zaratsyan		Chaj	pter 13
#52.00	Hearing RE: [56] M Failure to Make Pla	otion to Dismiss Case for n Payments		
] *** VACATED *** 12/30/2024	Docket 56 REASON: Voluntary dismissal of motion file	ed	
Tentative - NONE	e Ruling: E LISTED -			
		Party Information		
<u>Debtor(s</u>)	<u>):</u>			
Zara	Zaratsyan	Represented By		

<u>Trustee(s):</u>

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Pro Se

Thomas B Ure

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025			201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:22-10192	Ezio Augusto Van Horst		Chaj	pter 13
#53.00	CONT'D Hearing RE: [9 Failure to Make Plan Pa	7] Motion to Dismiss Case for yments		
	FR. 10-17-24, 11-14-24			
	Dock	et 97		
Tentative - NONE	e Ruling:			
	Pa	rty Information		
<u>Debtor(s</u>)	<u>):</u>			
Ezio	Augusto Van Horst	Represented By Kevin T Simon		
<u>Movant(s</u>	<u>s):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025		Hearing Room 201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:22-10340	Michael H. Lesseos	Chapter 13
#54.00	CONT'D Hearing RE: [108] Motion Failure to Make Plan Payments	to Dismiss Case for
	FR. 3-14-24, 5-16-24, 7-18-24, 8-7	15-24, 9-19-24, 10-17-24, 11-14-24
	Docket 108	
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -	
	Party Inform	ation
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>.</u>	
Mich	ael H. Lesseos	Represented By Michael F Chekian
	、 、	

Pro Se

Pro Se

Movant(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursda	Thursday, January 23, 2025			201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:23-103	13 Katherine Eileen Kennedy		Chaj	oter 13
#55.0	0 Hearing RE: [48] Motion to Failure to Make Plan Paym			
	Docket	48		
	tive Ruling: ONE LISTED -			
	Party	Information		
<u>Debto</u>	<u>r(s):</u>			
K	atherine Eileen Kennedy	Represented By Rabin Pournazarian		
<u>Trust</u>	<u>ee(s):</u>			
El	izabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	Thursday, January 23, 2025 Hearing		201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:23-10324	Ariadna David	Char	oter 13
#56.00	Hearing RE: [37] Motion to Dismiss Case for Failure to Make Plan Payments		
	Docket 37 *** VACATED *** REASON: Voluntary dismissal of mot 01/09/2025	tion filed	
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -		
	Party Information		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>		

Ariadna David

Represented By Rabin Pournazarian

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201		
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:23-10373	Kenton Ray Wood	lard and Patricia Ann Woodard	Chapt	ter 13		
#57.00	-	CONT'D Hearing RE: [49] Motion to Dismiss Case for Failure to Make Plan Payments				
	FR. 9-19-24, 11-1	4-24				
	*** VACATED **	Docket 49 ** REASON: Case converted to a Cha	apter 7 on 1/21/2025.			
Tentative	Ruling:					
- NONE	LISTED -					
		Party Information]		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>):</u>					
Kento	on Ray Woodard	Represented By Christian J Younger				
<u>Joint Deb</u>	otor(s):					
Patric	cia Ann Woodard	Represented By Christian J Younger				
<u>Movant(s</u>	<u>s):</u>					

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Pro Se

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10363	Daniel Orychiwski			Chaj	pter 13
#58.00		CONT'D Hearing RE: [32] Motion to Dismiss Case for Failure to Make Plan Payments			
	FR. 12-12-24				
	Dock	et	32		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -				
	Pa	rty In	nformation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>				
Danie	el Orychiwski		Represented By Christian J Younger		
<u>Movant(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Elizal	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)		Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:22-10437	Cristina Marie Pagan Nowli	ing	Char	oter 13
#59.00	CONT'D Hearing RE: [106] Failure to Make Plan Paym			
	FR. 8-15-24, 9-19-24, 11-14	4-24		
	Docket	106		
Tentative - NONE	e Ruling: E LISTED -			
	Party	Information		
<u>Debtor(s</u>)	<u>):</u>			
Crist	ina Marie Pagan Nowling	Pro Se		
<u>Movant(s</u>	<u>s):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>s):</u>			
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, J	Hearing Room	201		
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10814	Yasser Girgis		Char	oter 13
#60.00	Hearing RE: [24] Motion to Disn Failure to Make Plan Payments			
	Docket	24		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -			
	Party Info	rmation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>.</u>			
Yasse	er Girgis	Represented By Raj T Wadhwani		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Elizal	oeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025		earing Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10943	Gerardo Herrera Ramirez and Sintya Herrera Rodriguez	Cha	pter 13
#61.00	CONT'D Hearing on Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan		
	FR. 11-14-24		
	Docket 1 *** VACATED *** REASON: Case dismissed on 12/23/24 ba Debtor's Request for Dismissal filed 12/22/24.	used on	
Tentative	Ruling:		
- NONE	LISTED -		
	Party Information		

Debtor(s):

Gerardo Herrera Ramirez

Represented By Karen Ware

Joint Debtor(s):

Sintya Herrera Rodriguez

Represented By Karen Ware

Trustee(s):

Elizabeth (ND) F Rojas (TR)

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201		
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10943	Gerardo Herrera Ram	irez and Sintya Herrera Rodriguez	Cha	pter 13		
#62.00	Order to Show Cause Why Bankruptcy Case Should Not Be Converted					
	Docket 22 *** VACATED *** REASON: Case dismissed on 12/23/24 based on Debtor's Request for Dismissal filed 12/22/24.					
Tentative	Ruling:					
- NONE	LISTED -					
]	Party Information				
Debtor(s)	<u>:</u>					
Gerar	do Herrera Ramirez	Represented By Karen Ware				
Joint Deb	otor(s):					
Sinty	a Herrera Rodriguez	Represented By Karen Ware				
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>					
Eliza	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se				

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Hearing Room	201	
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-11320	Gary Edward Hauens	stein and	l Gwen Jansen Hauenstein	Cha	apter 13
#63.00	.	Order setting request to dismiss chapter 13 case for failure to appear and make pre-confirmation plan payments			
	De	ocket	16		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -				
		Party Ir	nformation		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>				
Gary	Edward Hauenstein		Pro Se		
<u>Joint Deb</u>	otor(s):				
Gwer	Jansen Hauenstein		Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>				
Elizal	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)		Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025			Room	201
<u>9:00 AM</u> 9:24-10698	Felipa Ruthe Richland		Chaj	oter 13
#64.00		attorney for debtor for allowance of fees smissal or conversion of chapter 13 cas ponsibilities agreement		
	Docket	93		
Tentative - NONE	Ruling: LISTED -			
	Party	/ Information		
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>:</u>			
Felipa	a Ruthe Richland	Represented By Matthew D. Resnik		
<u>Movant(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Felipa	a Ruthe Richland	Represented By Matthew D. Resnik		
<u>Trustee(s</u>	<u>):</u>			
Elizal	beth (ND) F Rojas (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

Chapter 7

<u>12:00 PM</u>

9:23-10784 Asia Krystall Kirven Adv#: 9:23-01064 Gupton v. Kirven

 #65.00 CONT'D Trial Date Set RE: [1] Adversary case 9:23-ap-01064. Complaint by Rodnisha Gupton against Asian Krystall Kirven. Nature[s] of Suit: (41 (Objection / revocation of discharge -727(c),(d),(e)), (65 (Dischargeability - other)) (Watkins, Ashton)

FR. 9-18-24

Docket 1

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Asia Krystall Kirven

Represented By Reed H Olmstead

Defendant(s):

Asia Krystall Kirven

Plaintiff(s):

Rodnisha Gupton

Trustee(s):

Sandra McBeth (TR)

Represented By Reed H Olmstead

Represented By Ashton R Watkins

Pro Se

1/21/2025 9:26:31 AM

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

<u>1:00 PM</u>

9:20-10359 Clayton Dow Hunt

Adv#: 9:23-01070 Hunt et al v. INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Chapter 7

#66.00 Trial Date Set

RE: [1] Adversary case 9:23-ap-01070. Complaint by Clayton Dow Hunt, Autumn Sweetsage Hunt against INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE. (\$350.00 Fee Not Required). (Attachments: # 1 Adversary Cover Sheet) Nature of Suit: (66 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims)) (Faucher, John)

Docket 1 *** VACATED *** REASON: Order dismissing adversary proceeding was entered on 8/2/24.

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Clayton Dow Hunt

Represented By Reed H Olmstead

Defendant(s):

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Joint Debtor(s):

Autumn Sweetsage Hunt

Plaintiff(s):

Autumn Sweetsage Hunt

Clayton Dow Hunt

Trustee(s):

Sandra McBeth (TR)

1/21/2025 9:26:31 AM

Pro Se

Page 79 of 80

Represented By Angela Gill

Represented By Reed H Olmstead

Represented By John D Faucher

Represented By John D Faucher

Thursday, January 23, 2025

Hearing Room 201

1:00 PMCONT...Clayton Dow Hunt

Chapter 7