Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

10:30 AM

8:20-11197 Jose Luis Solis and Reina Maria Solis

Chapter 13

#1.00 Trustee's Motion To Dismiss Case Failure To Complete The Plan Within Its

Terms

EH___

[Tele. appr. Nancy Clark, rep. Debtor]

Docket 129

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Jose Luis Solis Represented By

Barry E Borowitz Nancy B Clark

Joint Debtor(s):

Reina Maria Solis Represented By

Barry E Borowitz Nancy B Clark

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

10:30 AM

8:20-11663 **Dung Ngoc Tran**

Chapter 13

#2.00 Amended Motion to Modify Chapter 13 Plan

EH

Docket 90

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Dung Ngoc Tran Represented By

Phu D Nguyen

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

<u>10:30 AM</u>

8:21-13012 Jack David Swerda, Jr.

Chapter 13

#3.00 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss Case Due to Material Default of a Plan Provision

EH__

Docket 73

*** VACATED *** REASON: WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FILED

6/18/25

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Jack David Swerda Jr. Represented By

Anthony B Vigil

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

<u>10:30 AM</u>

8:22-10004 Barry Wright

Chapter 13

#4.00 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss Case for Failure to Make Plan Payments

EH

Docket 192

*** VACATED *** REASON: WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FILED

6/4/25

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Barry Wright Represented By

Sundee M Teeple

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

<u>10:30 AM</u>

8:23-10924 Elmer Morales

Chapter 13

#5.00 CONT. Motion Under Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1 (n) and (w) to Modify Plan or Suspend Plan Payments

EH

From: 4/17/25

[Tele. appr. Sundee M. Teeple, rep. Debtor]

Docket 170

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Elmer Morales Represented By

Sundee M Teeple

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room

10:30 AM

8:23-11462 Richard Garcia

Chapter 13

6C

#6.00 CONT. Trustee's Motion to Dismiss Case Due to Material Default of a Plan

Provision

EH

From: 5/22/25

Docket 160

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Richard Garcia Represented By

Andrew S Bisom

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

10:30 AM

8:23-11793 Judith Marie Guard

Chapter 13

#7.00 Trustee's Motion to Dismiss Case for Failure to Make Plan Payments

EΗ

Docket 48

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Judith Marie Guard Represented By

Anthony B Vigil

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

		B
10:30 AM 8:23-11862	Jose Oscar Magana	Chapter 13
#8.00	Trustee's Motion To Dismiss Case Failure To Make Plan Paymer (cont'd from 2-18-25) (cont'd from 3-20-25) (cont'd from 4-17-25) (cont'd from 5-21-25)	nts.
	Docket 74	
Tentative	e Ruling:	
Tenta	ative for May 21, 2025	
Dism	iss case if delinquency has not been cured.	
Appe	earance required.	
Tenta Delin	ative for April 17, 2025 quency not cured? Dismiss. <i>Appearance required.</i>	
Tenta Does	ative for March 20, 2025 2/10 modification order resolve this? <i>Appearance required.</i>	
Tenta Gran	ative for February 18, 2025 t unless order granting motion to modify entered February 10, 2025	5

Debtor(s):

Jose Oscar Magana

resolves this motion. Appearance required.

Represented By

6/26/2025 7:15:32 AM

Party Information

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

10:30 AM

CONT... Jose Oscar Magana Chapter 13

Michael D Franco

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

10:30 AM

8:23-12617 Christopher J. Donabedian

Chapter 13

#9.00 CONT. Trustee's Motion to Dismiss Case for Failure to Make Plan Payments

EH

From: 5/22/25

Docket 115

*** VACATED *** REASON: WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FILED

6/4/25

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Christopher J. Donabedian Represented By

Jenny L Doling

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

<u>10:30 AM</u>

8:24-10692 Paul Ronnie Adams

Chapter 7

#10.00 CONT. Motion for Reconsideration of Order of 2-13-25, Converting Case Back

to a Chapter 7 Petition

EH__

From: 5/8/25

[Tele. appr. A. Mina Tran, rep. Debtor]

Docket 65

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Paul Ronnie Adams Represented By

A Mina Tran

Trustee(s):

Karen S Naylor (TR) Represented By

Nanette D Sanders

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025	Hearing Room	6C

10:30 AM

8:25-10718 Soltonna P. Rizzi

Chapter 13

#11.00 Objection to Claims of Exemption

EH__

[Tele. appr. Nancy Clark, rep. Debtor]

Docket 20

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Soltonna P. Rizzi Represented By

Michael E Clark

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

10:30 AM

8:25-10797 Pierre Sawaya

Chapter 13

#12.00 Objection to Claims of Exemption

EH__

Docket 25

*** VACATED *** REASON: CONTINUED TO JULY 16, 2025 AT 11:00

AM

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Pierre Sawaya Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

10:30 AM

8:25-11116 Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes

Chapter 13

6C

#13.00 Motion RE: Objection to Claim Number 1 by Claimant LVNV Funding, LLC

EΗ

Docket 19

Tentative Ruling:

6/26/2025

BACKGROUND:

On April 28, 2025, Diana Silk-Paredes ("Debtor") filed a Chapter 13 voluntary petition.

On May 2, 2025, LVNV Funding, LLC ("Creditor") filed two proofs of claim. The first claim was for an unsecured claim in the amount of \$31,422.10 ("Claim 1"). The second claim was for an unsecured claim in the amount of \$4,252.22 ("Claim 2"). Three days later, Creditor filed a third proof of claim, this time for an unsecured claim in the amount of \$5,646.41 ("Claim 3"; collectively, with Claim 1 and Claim, the "Claims").

On May 27, 2025, Debtor filed objections to Claim 1, Claim 2, and Claim 3, asserting that the Claims were barred by the statute of limitations.

APPLICABLE LAW:

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

10:30 AM

CONT... Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes

Chapter 13

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(a), a proof of claim is deemed allowed unless a party in interest objects. Absent an objection, a proof of claim constitutes *prima facie* evidence of the validity and amount of the claim under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure ("FRBP") 3001(f). *See Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc.*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000). When a party files an objection to a proof of claim, that filing "creates a dispute which is a contested matter" within the meaning of FRBP 9014 and the Court must resolve the matter after notice and opportunity for hearing upon a motion for relief. *Id*.

When a creditor has filed a proof of claim that complies with the rules (thereby giving rise to the presumption of validity), the burden shifts to the objecting party who must "present evidence to overcome the prima facie case." In re Medina, 205 B.R. 216, 222 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1996). To defeat the claim, the objecting party must provide sufficient evidence and "show facts tending to defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of claim themselves." Lundell, 223 F.3d at 1039 (quoting In re Holm, 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991)). "The objector must produce evidence, which, if believed, would refute at least one of the allegations that is essential to the claim's legal sufficiency." Lundell, 223 F.3d at 1040 (quoting In re Allegheny Int'l, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992)). If the objecting party produces sufficient evidence to negate one or more of the sworn facts in the proof of claim, the burden reverts back to the claimant to prove the validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence. See In re Consol. Pioneer Mort, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. BAP 1995), aff'd, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996) (quoting Alleghenv Int'l, 954 F.2d at 173-74). The ultimate burden of persuasion remains at all times on the claimant. See Lundell, 223 F.3d at 1039; see also Holm, 931 F.2d at 623.

ANALYSIS:

11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1) states:

(b) Except as provided in subsections (e)(2), (f), (g), (h) and (i) of this section,

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

10:30 AM

CONT... Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes

Chapter 13

if such objection to a claim is made, the court, after notice and a hearing, shall determine the amount of such claim in lawful currency of the United States as of the date of the filing of the petition, and shall allow such claim in such amount, except to the extent that—

(1) such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured;

CAL. CODE CIV. P. § 337 provides a statute of limitations of four years for debts founded on written contracts, book accounts, accounts stated based upon account in writing, "balance of mutual, open and current account in writing," and rescission of written contract. Once the statute of limitations has passed, the claim is unenforceable. *See e.g.*, *Guaranty Trust Co. v. United States*, 304 U.S. 126 (1938).

Claim 1 is based on credit card debt. Therefore, it appears that Claim 2 fits within the category established by CAL. CODE CIV. P. § 337, and that the statute of limitations is four years. The proof of claim states that the account was charged off on March 29, 2008. Therefore, Claim 1 is outside of the statute of limitations and is unenforceable against Debtor in this bankruptcy proceeding.

Claim 2 is based on credit card debt. Therefore, it appears that Claim 2 fits within the category established by CAL. CODE CIV. P. § 337, and that the statute of limitations is four years. The proof of claim states that the account was charged off on July 23, 2008. Therefore, Claim 2 is outside of the statute of limitations and is unenforceable against Debtor in this bankruptcy proceeding.

Claim 3 is based on credit card debt. Therefore, it appears that Claim 3 fits within the category established by CAL. CODE CIV. P. § 337, and that the statute of limitations is four years. The proof of claim states that the account was charged off on September 1,

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

10:30 AM

CONT... Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes

Chapter 13

2008. Therefore, Claim 3 is outside of the statute of limitations and is unenforceable against Debtor in this bankruptcy proceeding.

TENTATIVE RULING

The Court is inclined to SUSTAIN the objections, DISALLOWING the Claims in their entirety.

APPEARANCES WAIVED. Movant to lodge order within seven days. If oral or written opposition is presented at the hearing, the hearing may be continued.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes Represented By

Mariano A Alvarez

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room

10:30 AM

8:25-11116 Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes

Chapter 13

6C

#14.00 Motion RE: Objection to Claim Number 2 by Claimant LVNV FUNDING, LLC

EΗ

Docket 17

Tentative Ruling:

6/26/2025

BACKGROUND:

On April 28, 2025, Diana Silk-Paredes ("Debtor") filed a Chapter 13 voluntary petition.

On May 2, 2025, LVNV Funding, LLC ("Creditor") filed two proofs of claim. The first claim was for an unsecured claim in the amount of \$31,422.10 ("Claim 1"). The second claim was for an unsecured claim in the amount of \$4,252.22 ("Claim 2"). Three days later, Creditor filed a third proof of claim, this time for an unsecured claim in the amount of \$5,646.41 ("Claim 3"; collectively, with Claim 1 and Claim, the "Claims").

On May 27, 2025, Debtor filed objections to Claim 1, Claim 2, and Claim 3, asserting that the Claims were barred by the statute of limitations.

APPLICABLE LAW:

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

10:30 AM

CONT... Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes

Chapter 13

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(a), a proof of claim is deemed allowed unless a party in interest objects. Absent an objection, a proof of claim constitutes *prima facie* evidence of the validity and amount of the claim under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure ("FRBP") 3001(f). *See Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc.*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000). When a party files an objection to a proof of claim, that filing "creates a dispute which is a contested matter" within the meaning of FRBP 9014 and the Court must resolve the matter after notice and opportunity for hearing upon a motion for relief. *Id*.

When a creditor has filed a proof of claim that complies with the rules (thereby giving rise to the presumption of validity), the burden shifts to the objecting party who must "present evidence to overcome the prima facie case." In re Medina, 205 B.R. 216, 222 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1996). To defeat the claim, the objecting party must provide sufficient evidence and "show facts tending to defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of claim themselves." Lundell, 223 F.3d at 1039 (quoting In re Holm, 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991)). "The objector must produce evidence, which, if believed, would refute at least one of the allegations that is essential to the claim's legal sufficiency." Lundell, 223 F.3d at 1040 (quoting In re Allegheny Int'l, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992)). If the objecting party produces sufficient evidence to negate one or more of the sworn facts in the proof of claim, the burden reverts back to the claimant to prove the validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence. See In re Consol. Pioneer Mort, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. BAP 1995), aff'd, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996) (quoting Allegheny Int'l, 954 F.2d at 173-74). The ultimate burden of persuasion remains at all times on the claimant. See Lundell, 223 F.3d at 1039; see also Holm, 931 F.2d at 623.

ANALYSIS:

11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1) states:

(b) Except as provided in subsections (e)(2), (f), (g), (h) and (i) of this section,

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

10:30 AM

CONT... Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes

Chapter 13

if such objection to a claim is made, the court, after notice and a hearing, shall determine the amount of such claim in lawful currency of the United States as of the date of the filing of the petition, and shall allow such claim in such amount, except to the extent that—

(1) such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured;

CAL. CODE CIV. P. § 337 provides a statute of limitations of four years for debts founded on written contracts, book accounts, accounts stated based upon account in writing, "balance of mutual, open and current account in writing," and rescission of written contract. Once the statute of limitations has passed, the claim is unenforceable. *See e.g.*, *Guaranty Trust Co. v. United States*, 304 U.S. 126 (1938).

Claim 1 is based on credit card debt. Therefore, it appears that Claim 2 fits within the category established by CAL. CODE CIV. P. § 337, and that the statute of limitations is four years. The proof of claim states that the account was charged off on March 29, 2008. Therefore, Claim 1 is outside of the statute of limitations and is unenforceable against Debtor in this bankruptcy proceeding.

Claim 2 is based on credit card debt. Therefore, it appears that Claim 2 fits within the category established by CAL. CODE CIV. P. § 337, and that the statute of limitations is four years. The proof of claim states that the account was charged off on July 23, 2008. Therefore, Claim 2 is outside of the statute of limitations and is unenforceable against Debtor in this bankruptcy proceeding.

Claim 3 is based on credit card debt. Therefore, it appears that Claim 3 fits within the category established by CAL. CODE CIV. P. § 337, and that the statute of limitations is four years. The proof of claim states that the account was charged off on September 1,

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

10:30 AM

CONT... Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes

Chapter 13

2008. Therefore, Claim 3 is outside of the statute of limitations and is unenforceable against Debtor in this bankruptcy proceeding.

TENTATIVE RULING

The Court is inclined to SUSTAIN the objections, DISALLOWING the Claims in their entirety.

APPEARANCES WAIVED. Movant to lodge order within seven days. If oral or written opposition is presented at the hearing, the hearing may be continued.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes Represented By

Mariano A Alvarez

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

10:30 AM
8:25-11116 Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes Chapter 13
#15.00 Motion RE: Objection to Claim Number 3 by Claimant LVNV FUNDING, LLC

EH___

Docket 18

Tentative Ruling:
6/26/2025

BACKGROUND:

On April 28, 2025, Diana Silk-Paredes ("Debtor") filed a Chapter 13 voluntary petition.

On May 2, 2025, LVNV Funding, LLC ("Creditor") filed two proofs of claim. The first claim was for an unsecured claim in the amount of \$31,422.10 ("Claim 1"). The second claim was for an unsecured claim in the amount of \$4,252.22 ("Claim 2"). Three days later, Creditor filed a third proof of claim, this time for an unsecured claim in the amount of \$5,646.41 ("Claim 3"; collectively, with Claim 1 and Claim, the "Claims").

On May 27, 2025, Debtor filed objections to Claim 1, Claim 2, and Claim 3, asserting that the Claims were barred by the statute of limitations.

APPLICABLE LAW:

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

10:30 AM

CONT... Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes

Chapter 13

Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(a), a proof of claim is deemed allowed unless a party in interest objects. Absent an objection, a proof of claim constitutes *prima facie* evidence of the validity and amount of the claim under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure ("FRBP") 3001(f). *See Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc.*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2000). When a party files an objection to a proof of claim, that filing "creates a dispute which is a contested matter" within the meaning of FRBP 9014 and the Court must resolve the matter after notice and opportunity for hearing upon a motion for relief. *Id*.

When a creditor has filed a proof of claim that complies with the rules (thereby giving rise to the presumption of validity), the burden shifts to the objecting party who must "present evidence to overcome the prima facie case." In re Medina, 205 B.R. 216, 222 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1996). To defeat the claim, the objecting party must provide sufficient evidence and "show facts tending to defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of claim themselves." Lundell, 223 F.3d at 1039 (quoting In re Holm, 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991)). "The objector must produce evidence, which, if believed, would refute at least one of the allegations that is essential to the claim's legal sufficiency." Lundell, 223 F.3d at 1040 (quoting In re Allegheny Int'l, Inc., 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992)). If the objecting party produces sufficient evidence to negate one or more of the sworn facts in the proof of claim, the burden reverts back to the claimant to prove the validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence. See In re Consol. Pioneer Mort, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. BAP 1995), aff'd, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996) (quoting Alleghenv Int'l, 954 F.2d at 173-74). The ultimate burden of persuasion remains at all times on the claimant. See Lundell, 223 F.3d at 1039; see also Holm, 931 F.2d at 623.

ANALYSIS:

11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1) states:

(b) Except as provided in subsections (e)(2), (f), (g), (h) and (i) of this section,

6/26/2025 7:15:32 AM

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

10:30 AM

CONT... Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes

Chapter 13

if such objection to a claim is made, the court, after notice and a hearing, shall determine the amount of such claim in lawful currency of the United States as of the date of the filing of the petition, and shall allow such claim in such amount, except to the extent that—

(1) such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured;

CAL. CODE CIV. P. § 337 provides a statute of limitations of four years for debts founded on written contracts, book accounts, accounts stated based upon account in writing, "balance of mutual, open and current account in writing," and rescission of written contract. Once the statute of limitations has passed, the claim is unenforceable. *See e.g.*, *Guaranty Trust Co. v. United States*, 304 U.S. 126 (1938).

Claim 1 is based on credit card debt. Therefore, it appears that Claim 2 fits within the category established by CAL. CODE CIV. P. § 337, and that the statute of limitations is four years. The proof of claim states that the account was charged off on March 29, 2008. Therefore, Claim 1 is outside of the statute of limitations and is unenforceable against Debtor in this bankruptcy proceeding.

Claim 2 is based on credit card debt. Therefore, it appears that Claim 2 fits within the category established by CAL. CODE CIV. P. § 337, and that the statute of limitations is four years. The proof of claim states that the account was charged off on July 23, 2008. Therefore, Claim 2 is outside of the statute of limitations and is unenforceable against Debtor in this bankruptcy proceeding.

Claim 3 is based on credit card debt. Therefore, it appears that Claim 3 fits within the category established by CAL. CODE CIV. P. § 337, and that the statute of limitations is four years. The proof of claim states that the account was charged off on September 1,

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

10:30 AM

CONT... Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes

Chapter 13

2008. Therefore, Claim 3 is outside of the statute of limitations and is unenforceable against Debtor in this bankruptcy proceeding.

TENTATIVE RULING

The Court is inclined to SUSTAIN the objections, DISALLOWING the Claims in their entirety.

APPEARANCES WAIVED. Movant to lodge order within seven days. If oral or written opposition is presented at the hearing, the hearing may be continued.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes Represented By

Mariano A Alvarez

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

<u>10:30 AM</u>

8:25-11414 Patricia Elaine Anderson-Hooper

Chapter 13

#16.00 Motion to Dismiss Bankruptcy Case for Cause Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section

1307(c) and 105(a)

EH__

Docket 7

*** VACATED *** REASON: OST ADVANCED HEARING TO JUNE 3,

2025 AT 2:00 PM

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Patricia Elaine Anderson-Hooper Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

<u>10:30 AM</u>

8:23-12447 Robert Matthew Hughes

Chapter 13

#16.10 Motion under Local Bankruptcy Rule 3015-1 (n) and (w) to Modify plan or Suspend Plan Payments

EH

[Tele. appr. Norma Duenas, rep. Debtor]

Docket 85

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Robert Matthew Hughes Represented By

Norma Duenas

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

<u>10:30 AM</u>

8:23-12447 Robert Matthew Hughes

Chapter 13

#16.20 CONT. Trustee's Motion to Dismiss Case for Failure to Make Plan Payments

EH__

From: 6/12/25

[Tele. appr. Norma Duenas, rep. Debtor]

Docket 80

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Robert Matthew Hughes Represented By

Norma Duenas

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-10383 Michael G. Carpenter and Natalie K. Carpenter

Chapter 13

#17.00 CONT. Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

From: 5/8/25

[Tele. appr. Fritz Firman, rep. Debtor]

Docket 15

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Michael G. Carpenter Represented By

Joseph A Weber

Joint Debtor(s):

Natalie K. Carpenter Represented By

Joseph A Weber

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-10414 Cynthia Sipaseuth

Chapter 13

#18.00 CONT. Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

From: 5/8/25

[Tele. appr. Iris Kwon, rep. Debtor]

Docket 17

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Cynthia Sipaseuth Represented By

Iris Kwon

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-10523 David James Suscavage

Chapter 13

#19.00 CONT. Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

From: 5/8/25

[Tele. appr. Bert Briones, rep. Debtor]

[Tele. appr. Michael B. Seuylemezian, rep. Creditor, Hajoca Corporation]

Docket 36

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

David James Suscavage Represented By

Bert Briones

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-10601 Charles G Bill, Jr.

Chapter 13

#20.00 CONT. Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

From: 5/22/25

[Tele. appr. Bert Briones, rep. Debtor]

Docket 33

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Charles G Bill Jr. Represented By

Bert Briones

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room

11:00 AM

8:25-10635 Trinna Mong Trinh Nguyen

Chapter 13

6C

#21.00 CONT. Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

From: 5/21/25

Docket 36

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Trinna Mong Trinh Nguyen Represented By

Christopher J Langley

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-10656 Joseph Ernest Morgan

Chapter 13

#22.00 CONT. Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

From: 5/22/25

[Tele. appr. Nancy Clark, rep. Debtor]

Docket 27

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Joseph Ernest Morgan Represented By

Michael E Clark

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-10843 Elizabeth Andrea Bales

Chapter 13

#23.00 CONT. Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

From: 5/21/25

[Tele. appr. Bert Briones, rep. Debtor]

Docket 3

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Elizabeth Andrea Bales Represented By

Bert Briones

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room

11:00 AM

8:25-10920 William Froloff, Jr.

Chapter 13

6C

#24.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH

[Tele. appr. Russ Stong, rep. Debtor]

Docket 2

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

William Froloff Jr. Represented By

Rex Tran

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-10986 Elizabeth Villa

Chapter 13

#25.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

Docket

*** VACATED *** REASON: CASE DISMISSED 5/5/25

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Elizabeth Villa Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025	Hearing Room	6C
-------------------------	--------------	----

11:00 AM

8:25-10997 Eric Nevarez and Yesica Chavez

Chapter 13

#26.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

[Tele. appr. Nancy Clark, rep. Debtor]

Docket 23

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Eric Nevarez Represented By

Barry E Borowitz

Joint Debtor(s):

Yesica Chavez Represented By

Barry E Borowitz

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11008 Subject Kaur Singh

Chapter 13

#27.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

[Tele. appr. Russ Stong, rep. Debtor]

Docket 22

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Subject Kaur Singh Represented By

Rex Tran

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room

11:00 AM

8:25-11017 Brittany Nichol Guy

Chapter 13

6C

#28.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH

Docket 4

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Brittany Nichol Guy Represented By

Sara E Razavi

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

11:00 AM

 Chapter 13

#29.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

[Tele. appr. Kevin Kunde, rep. Debtor]

Docket 2

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Carmen Garcia Represented By

Kevin J Kunde

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hea

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11028 Clifford James Frey, Jr. and Rebecca Jane Frey

Chapter 13

#30.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

[Tele. appr. Halli Heston, rep. Debtor]

Docket 2

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Clifford James Frey Jr. Represented By

Halli B Heston

Joint Debtor(s):

Rebecca Jane Frey Represented By

Halli B Heston

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hear

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11037 George Albert Gallardo and Lynn Karla Stoeppler

Chapter 13

#31.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

Docket 16

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

George Albert Gallardo Represented By

Christopher J Langley

Joint Debtor(s):

Lynn Karla Stoeppler Represented By

Christopher J Langley

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11038 Akash Kumar Gupta

Chapter 13

#32.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH

Docket 0

*** VACATED *** REASON: CASE DISMISSED 5/28/25

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Akash Kumar Gupta Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11056 Garrett J Bradford and Melinda L Bradford

Chapter 13

#33.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

[Tele. appr. Kristin Schuler-Hintz, rep. Creditor HomeTrust Bank]

[Tele. appr. Merdaud Jafarnia, rep. Creditor ServiceMac]

Docket 2

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Garrett J Bradford Represented By

Larry D Simons

Joint Debtor(s):

Melinda L Bradford Represented By

Larry D Simons

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11060 Candace Roberts

Chapter 13

#34.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EΗ

[Tele. appr. Makoto Shuttleworth, rep. Debtor]

Docket 2

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Candace Roberts Represented By

Steven A Alpert

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11080 Martha Diaz Adame

Chapter 13

#35.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH

Docket 0

*** VACATED *** REASON: CASE DISMISSED 5/13/25

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Martha Diaz Adame Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11083 Deepa Misra

Chapter 13

#36.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

[Tele. appr. Diane Weifenbach, rep. Creditor, U.S. Bank, NA]

Docket 3

*** VACATED *** REASON: CASE DISMISSED 6/26/25

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Deepa Misra Represented By

Anerio V Altman

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11085 Tomas Sandoval

Chapter 13

#37.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH

[Tele. appr. Joanne Andrew, rep. Debtor]

Docket 11

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Tomas Sandoval Represented By

Jaime A Cuevas Jr.

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11090 Marjorie Lopera Capawa

Chapter 13

#38.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

[Tele. appr. H. Jasmine Papian, rep. Debtor]

Docket 16

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Marjorie Lopera Capawa Represented By

H. Jasmine Papian

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11097 Tyrone McNeil

Chapter 13

#39.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH

[Tele. appr. Allan Cate, rep. Debtor]

Docket 17

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Tyrone McNeil Represented By

Allan Otis Cate Jr

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11113 Stephen LeRoy Garis

Chapter 13

#40.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH

[Tele. appr. Makoto Shuttleworth, rep. Debtor]

Docket 2

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Stephen LeRoy Garis Represented By

Steven A Alpert

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11116 Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes

Chapter 13

#41.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH

[Tele. appr. Robert Chen, rep. Debtor]

[Tele. appr. Nichole Glowin, rep. Creditor, ZBS Law, LLP]

Docket 5

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Diana Maureen Silk-Paredes Represented By

Mariano A Alvarez

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room

11:00 AM

8:25-11134 Kirk Patrick Howland

Chapter 13

6C

#42.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

Docket 3

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Kirk Patrick Howland Represented By

Christopher J Langley

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11135 Giovanni Molina Ariciaga

Chapter 13

#43.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

Docket 2

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Giovanni Molina Ariciaga Represented By

LeRoy Roberson

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11141 Stephen Ray McClain

Chapter 13

#44.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

[Tele. appr. Brian A. Paino, rep. Creditor WBL SPO I, LLC]

Docket 16

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Stephen Ray McClain Represented By

Leonard Pena

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025	Hearing Room	6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11146 Alberto Godinez

Chapter 13

#45.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH

Docket 2

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Alberto Godinez Represented By

Anthony B Vigil

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11147 Elaine Justine Kavanagh

Chapter 13

#46.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH

Docket 2

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Elaine Justine Kavanagh Represented By

Anthony B Vigil

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11162 Denisa Marie Vronkova

Chapter 13

#47.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

[Tele. appr. Nathan A. Berneman, rep. Creditor Wells Fargo Bank]

Docket 12

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Denisa Marie Vronkova Represented By

Michael D Franco

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11177 Francis Denis Doody

Chapter 13

#48.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

[Tele. appr. Joseph C. Delmotte, rep. Creditor Onslow Bay Financial LLC]

Docket 19

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Francis Denis Doody Represented By

Ethan Kiwhan Chin

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11178 Adel Bakir Chapter 13

#49.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH

[Tele. appr. Joanne Andrew, rep. Debtor]

Docket 2

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Adel Bakir Represented By

Ethan Kiwhan Chin

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11179 Christian Huu Cuong Nguyen

Chapter 13

#50.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH__

[Tele. appr. Mary R. Robberson, rep. Creditor Monsterpeeps LLC & Wozniak LLC]

Docket 34

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Christian Huu Cuong Nguyen Represented By

Christopher J Langley

Trustee(s):

Santa Ana Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

11:00 AM

8:25-11180 Shim Garrett

Chapter 13

#51.00 Confirmation Of Chapter 13 Plan

EH

Docket

*** VACATED *** REASON: CASE DISMISSED 5/19/25

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Shim Garrett Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

1:30 PM

8:23-12765 Matthew Mckinley Barger and Beth Ann Barger

Chapter 13

#52.00

Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: 2022 CHEVROLET SILVERADO 3500HD VIN No.: 1GC4YUEY9NF263253

MOVANT: WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., DBA WELLS FARGO AUTO

EH___

Docket 38

*** VACATED *** REASON: WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FILED 6/2/25

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Matthew Mckinley Barger Represented By

Norma Duenas

Joint Debtor(s):

Beth Ann Barger Represented By

Norma Duenas

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

1:30 PM

8:24-10762 Sharon Linell Byrd

Chapter 13

#53.00

Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: 2012 Nissan Altima, VIN No.: 1N4AL2AP1CC131157

MOVANT: AMERICAN CREDIT ACCEPTANCE LLC

EH

[Tele. appr. Sheryl K. Ith rep. Movant]

Docket 28

Tentative Ruling:

6/26/2025

Service: Proper Opposition: None

Having reviewed the motion, service being proper, no opposition having been filed, which the Court deems consent to the relief requested pursuant to § 362(g)(2), and good cause appearing, the Court is inclined to:

- -GRANT relief from stay pursuant to § 362(d)(1);
- -GRANT waiver of Rule 4001(a)(3) stay;
- -GRANT request under ¶ 2; and
- -DENY alternative request under ¶ 11 as moot.

APPEARANCES WAIVED. Movant to lodge order within seven days. If oral or written opposition is presented at the hearing, the hearing may be continued.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Sharon Linell Byrd

Represented By Paul Y Lee

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025 Hearing Room 6C

1:30 PM

CONT... Sharon Linell Byrd Chapter 13

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

1:30 PM

8:25-11207 Alexander George Schulga

Chapter 13

#54.00

CONT. Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations UNLAWFUL DETAINER RE: 9831 Acacia Ave. #C, Garden Grove CA 92841

MOVANT: GARDEN VILLAS, LLC

From: 5/22/25

[Tele. appr. Kevin Tang, rep. Debtor]

[Tele. appr. Richard Sontag, rep. Movant]

Docket 13

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Alexander George Schulga Represented By

Kevin Tang

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

1:30 PM

8:25-10165 Hung Phuc Nguyen

Chapter 13

#55.00

Motion for Authority to Sell Estate Property (13929 Magnolia St. Garden Grove, CA 92844) Free and Clear of All Liens, Claims, and Interests; and Granting Certain Other Related Relief (Set per OST on 6/9/25)

EH

[Tele. appr. Kevin Tang, rep. Debtor]

Docket 54

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Hung Phuc Nguyen Represented By

Kevin Tang

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

1:30 PM

8:25-10458 Maria Angelica Alvarez

Chapter 13

#56.00 Order to Show Cause Why Khushwant Sean Singh Should Not Be Sanctioned

(OSC Set on 6/11/25)

EH__

[Tele. appr. K. Sean Singh, rep. Debtor]

Docket 97

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Maria Angelica Alvarez Represented By

Khushwant Sean Singh

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

1:30 PM

8:25-11519 Tammy Thi Dang

Chapter 13

#57.00 Amended Motion in Individual Case for Order Imposing a Stay or Continuing the Automatic Stay as the Court Deems Appropriate 13282 Monroe Street, Garden Grove, CA 92844

EH

[Tele. appr. Arnold L. Graff, rep. Creditor Don F. King MD Incorporated Profit Sharing Plan]

[Tele. appr. Robert Chen, rep. Debtor]

Docket 16

Tentative Ruling:

6/26/2025

Service: Improper Opposition: Yes

11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3)(A) provides that the automatic stay terminates after thirty days in this case because Debtor had a prior case dismissed in the preceding 1-year period. Section 362(c)(3)(B) provides that the Court can extend the automatic stay if the debtor "demonstrates that the filing of the later case is in good faith as to the creditors to be stayed." A presumption of bad faith arises in this case pursuant to the operation of 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(3)(C)(i)(II)(aa). Section 362(c)(3)(C) requires that a debtor rebut the presumption of bad faith "by clear and convincing evidence."

Here, the Court notes the following procedural and substantive issues with the motion:

- (1) The motion states that it is being heard on regular notice when it was set on shortened notice. As a result, the opposition deadline stated in the motion is the day before the motion was filed;
- (2) The motion itself requests that the automatic stay be continued as to secured creditors (only), yet the request for relief requests that the automatic stay be

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

1:30 PM

CONT... Tammy Thi Dang

Chapter 13

continued as to all creditors;

- (3) The secured creditors were served at PO boxes, in violation of Judge Houle's self-calendaring instructions and miscellaneous instructions, and FED. R. BANKR. P. Rule 7004; and
- (4) The only factual basis in support of the motion is the Debtor's lack of knowledge regarding bankruptcy law, but the declaration is from counsel.

For the above reasons, in addition to those in the filed opposition, the Court is inclined to DENY the motion.

APPEARANCES REQUIRED.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Tammy Thi Dang Represented By

Juanita V Miller

Trustee(s):

Mark Houle, Presiding Courtroom 6C Calendar

Thursday, June 26, 2025

Hearing Room

6C

1:30 PM

8:25-11623 Mustafa Kamal Qadiri Chapter 13

#58.00

Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations UNLAWFUL DETAINER RE: 35 Ridgeview Irvine CA 92603

MOVANT: ALVIN COX

EH

[Tele. appr. Ashley Clinkenbeard, rep. Movant]

Docket 7

Tentative Ruling:

6/26/2025

Service: Improper **Opposition: None**

FED. R. BANKR. P. Rules 4001(a)(1) and 9014 and Local Rule 4001-1(c)(1)(A) require that motions for relief from the automatic stay be served on a debtor's attorney and on the debtor. Here, Debtor was not served with the motion or notice and was not provided with telephonic notice of the hearing.

As a result, the Court is inclined to CONTINUE the matter for proper service.

APPEARANCES REQUIRED.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Mustafa Kamal Qadiri

Represented By Bahram Madaen