

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM
2:00-00000

Chapter

#0.00 All hearings scheduled for today are now simultaneously 1) In person in Courtroom 1539; 2) Via ZoomGov Video; 3) Via ZoomGov Audio. Parties are free to choose any of these options, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. Parties electing to appear in person shall comply with all requirements regarding social distancing, use of face masks, etc. that are in effect at the time of the hearing.

Parties in interest may connect to the video and audio feeds, free of charge, using the connection information provided below. **MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY ONLY CONNECT TO THE AUDIO FEED USING THE TELEPHONE NUMBERS PROVIDED BELOW AND ARE NOT PERMITTED TO CONNECT TO THE VIDEO FEED.**

Individuals may participate by ZoomGov video and audio using a personal computer (equipped with camera, microphone and speaker), or a handheld mobile device (such as an iPhone or Android phone). Individuals may opt to participate by audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply).

Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account is necessary to participate and no pre-registration is required **but you must still notify Chambers at Chambers_SBluebond@cacb.uscourts.gov of your appearance.** The audio portion of each hearing will be recorded electronically by the Court and constitutes its official record.

For more information on appearing before Judge Bluebond by ZoomGov, please see the information on the Court's website at:
<https://www.cacb.uscourts.gov/judges/honorable-sheri-bluebond> under the tab, **"Phone/Video Appearances."**

Hearing conducted by ZOOMGov.

Video/audio web address: <https://cacb.zoomgov.com/j/16161090855>

ZoomGov meeting number: 161 6109 0855

Password: 148508

Telephone conference lines: 1 (669) 254 5252 or 1 (646) 828 7666

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

CONT...

Chapter

(when prompted, enter meeting number and password shown above)

Judge Bluebond seeks to maintain a courtroom environment (both online and in person) in which all persons are treated with dignity and respect, irrespective of their gender identity, expression or preference. To that end, individuals appearing before the Court are invited to identify their preferred pronouns (e.g., he, she, they, etc.) and their preferred honorific (e.g., Mr., Miss, Ms., Mrs., Mx, M, etc.). Individuals may do so by advising the Courtroom Deputy or Judge prior to any appearance and/or, in the case of remote hearings, by providing this information in the person's screen name in ZoomGov.

Docket 0

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

2:25-20445 FELICIA DENNIS

Chapter 7

#1.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: **2550 East Ave I, Sp #84, Lancaster, CA 93535 .**

MOVANT: Creditor 21st Mortgage Corporation

fr: 2-24-26

Docket 17

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

3/16/26 - Diane Weifenbach

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

Continue hearing to give movant an opportunity to brief whether or not the manufactured home that is the subject of this motion has been affixed to the land in a manner that qualifies it as real property and to provide evidence in support of its position.

If the home is real property, rather than personal property, section 362(h) will not apply and movant will have filed the wrong motion. Moreover, based on the representations made in the motion (which are in turn based on the debtor's schedules) there is an equity cushion of more than 100 percent, such that the motion should not be granted.

Final Ruling for February 24, 2026:

Continue hearing to March 17, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. Movant will file supplemental declaration to address issues raised in tentative ruling not later than March 10, 2026.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

CONT... FELICIA DENNIS

Chapter 7

Tentative Ruling for March 17, 2026:

Movant has filed the supplemental information requested by the Court, but, on March 2, 2026, a reaffirmation agreement relating to this collateral was filed with the Court. That agreement reflects a signature date for the debtor (in three places) of November 27, 2025 and a signature date for Josh Williamson (in two places) on behalf of movant of March 2, 2026.

According to the motion, movant sent debtor's attorney a reaffirmation agreement on November 24, 2025, but it was never returned and movant hadn't heard from debtor's counsel. The dates on the agreement suggest that the debtor did indeed sign the reaffirmation agreement in a timely manner but either that the attorney did not send it back to movant or that movant did not locate the signed agreement until March 2, 2026.

In any event, movant signed this reaffirmation agreement on March 2, 2026. There is no reason for the Court to grant relief from stay here if debtor did indeed sign the reaffirmation agreement (as the failure to either redeem, reaffirm or surrender was the basis for the requested relief -- there is plenty of equity in the collateral). In fact, why wasn't this disclosed in the supplemental papers that movant filed on March 10, 2026? Deny motion without prejudice.

NOTE: Under Bankruptcy Code section 524(c)(1), to be valid, a reaffirmation agreement needs to have been "made" before the granting of a discharge. Here, the discharge was issued on the same day that the reaffirmation agreement was filed; however, the statute says "made," not filed. The Court finds that the agreement was "made" when it was entered into by the parties. As the movant must necessarily have signed the agreement before it was filed, it is apparent that it was made sometime before it was filed at 1:01 p.m. And the debtor must have returned the agreement to the movant sometime before it was signed by the movant. Court is prepared to treat the agreement as having been made before the discharge was issued as movant offered to enter into the agreement when it sent that agreement to the debtor last November and the debtor entered into the agreement when the debtor signed it on November 27, 2026.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

CONT... FELICIA DENNIS

Chapter 7

It does not appear that a hearing has been scheduled on the motion for approval of the reaffirmation agreement. Debtor has certified that she was not represented by an attorney in connection with this agreement, so it should be set for hearing. (Court cannot ascertain whether or not there is a presumption of hardship as the income and expense information has not been completed.)

Party Information

Debtor(s):

FELICIA DENNIS

Represented By
Rory Vohwinkel

Movant(s):

21st Mortgage Corporation

Represented By
Diane Weifenbach

Trustee(s):

Timothy Yoo (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

2:26-10909 Gabriella Elise Marin

Chapter 7

#2.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: 2016 Mercedes-Benz CLA250, VIN: WDDSJ4EB0GN380631

MOVANT: Exeter Finance LLC

Docket 10

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

316/26 - Byron Bahr

Tentative Ruling:

Grant with waiver of Rule 4001(a)(3).

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Gabriella Elise Marin

Represented By
Lilly A Tejada

Movant(s):

Exeter Finance LLC

Represented By
Sheryl K Ith

Trustee(s):

John P Pringle (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

2:26-11030 Alvarado Investment Properties, LLC

Chapter 11

#3.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations REAL PROPERTY RE: **103 E. 118th Place, Los Angeles, CA 90061**

MOVANT: Bench Equity LLC

Docket 12

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

3/16/26 - Benjamin Yrungaray

Tentative Ruling:

Movant presents this case as if it were part of a scheme that included a transfer made for the purpose of hindering or delaying creditor. Debtor contends that the transfer was made at the insistence of the lender as part of the structure of the financing. Court will not presume on these facts that there is bad faith.

This is a chapter 11 and these properties appear necessary to a reorganization. It is too early in the case for the Court to be able to determine whether the property is necessary for an *effective* reorganization because the court does not yet know whether there is likely to be a reorganization within a reasonable period. Therefore, even if there is no equity in the property, the Court will not grant relief from stay under section 362(d)(2) at this point. Moreover, the fact that the loan has matured or that the debtor has not made loan payments are not grounds for relief from stay in this context.

Therefore, the real issue appears to be whether the property is declining in value. The lender claims that it is based on the condition of the property as evidenced by the code violations. The debtor has moved for authority to use cash collateral to maintain the property and make adequate protection payments to the lender. The lender seems to contend that there are no rents

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

CONT... Alvarado Investment Properties, LLC Chapter 11

being generated, but Exhibit A to the debtor's cash collateral motion reflects that the debtor is permitted to collect rents, but in a reduced amount. According to the debtor, once certain repairs have been made, it will again be permitted to collect the full amount of the rents.

Revisit this motion after conclusion of hearing on motion for authority to use cash collateral.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Alvarado Investment Properties,

Represented By
Onyinye N Anyama

Movant(s):

Bench Equity LLC

Represented By
Benjamin A Yrungaray

Bench Equity LLC

Represented By
Benjamin A Yrungaray

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

2:26-11030 Alvarado Investment Properties, LLC

Chapter 11

#4.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations REAL PROPERTY RE: **514 Pullman St., Los Angeles, CA 90042 .**

MOVANT: Bench Equity LLC

Docket 13

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

3/16/26 - Benjamin Yrungaray

Tentative Ruling:

Movant presents this case as if it were part of a scheme that included a transfer made for the purpose of hindering or delaying creditor. Debtor contends that the transfer was made at the insistence of the lender as part of the structure of the financing. Court will not presume on these facts that there is bad faith.

This is a chapter 11 and these properties appear necessary to a reorganization. It is too early in the case for the Court to be able to determine whether the property is necessary for an *effective* reorganization because the court does not yet know whether there is likely to be a reorganization within a reasonable period. Even if there were no equity in the property, the Court would not grant relief from stay under section 362(d)(2) at this point. Moreover, the fact that the loan has matured or that the debtor has not made loan payments are not grounds for relief from stay in this context.

However, it appears that there is a very large equity cushion here. Movant claims the debt is \$421,189. The fair market value of the property was scheduled at \$1,142,500.

There is no evidence in the motion that the property is declining in value. The

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

CONT... Alvarado Investment Properties, LLC Chapter 11

movant's omnibus reply only refers to code violations and prohibitions on collection of rent with regard to the property that is the subject of the motion for relief on calendar as matter no. 3.

The debtor's motion for authority to use cash collateral does not provide information concerning the rents generated by this property. Are there any rents being generated? (Court notes that debtor reports it plans to sell the property.) Deny motion without prejudice.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Alvarado Investment Properties,

Represented By
Onyinye N Anyama

Movant(s):

Bench Equity LLC

Represented By
Benjamin A Yrungaray

Bench Equity LLC

Represented By
Benjamin A Yrungaray

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

2:26-11030 Alvarado Investment Properties, LLC

Chapter 11

#5.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations REAL PROPERTY RE: **12321 Alexander Lane, Santa Ana, CA 92705**

MOVANT: Bench Equity LLC

Docket 14

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

3/16/26 - Benjamin Yrungaray

Tentative Ruling:

Movant presents this case as if it were part of a scheme that included a transfer made for the purpose of hindering or delaying creditor. Debtor contends that the transfer was made at the insistence of the lender as part of the structure of the financing. Court will not presume on these facts that there is bad faith.

This is a chapter 11 and these properties appear necessary to a reorganization. It is too early in the case for the Court to be able to determine whether the property is necessary for an *effective* reorganization because the court does not yet know whether there is likely to be a reorganization within a reasonable period. Therefore, even if there were no equity in the property, the Court would not grant relief from stay under section 362(d)(2) at this point. Moreover, the fact that the loan has matured or that the debtor has not made loan payments are not grounds for relief from stay in this context. However, based on the debtor's amended schedules, it appears that there is a sizeable equity cushion.

There is no evidence in the motion that the property is declining in value. The movant's omnibus reply only refers to code violations and prohibitions on collection of rent with regard to the property that is the subject of the motion

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

CONT... Alvarado Investment Properties, LLC Chapter 11

for relief on calendar as matter no. 3. Moreover, the debtor has moved for authority to use cash collateral to maintain the property and make adequate protection payments to the lender.

Deny motion without prejudice.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Alvarado Investment Properties,

Represented By
Onyinye N Anyama

Movant(s):

Bench Equity LLC

Represented By
Benjamin A Yrungaray

Bench Equity LLC

Represented By
Benjamin A Yrungaray

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

2:26-11030 Alvarado Investment Properties, LLC

Chapter 11

#6.00 Motion to Use Cash Collateral

[OST]

Docket 21

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

3/16/26 - Benjamin Yrungaray

3/16/26 - David Shevitz

Tentative Ruling:

Ordinarily, using the rents generated by a piece of property to maintain that property and pay the expenses necessary to continue collecting rents itself provides adequate protection for a lender with an interest in the property. This is true regardless of whether the property is worth more or less than the amount of the debt, whether or not the loan has matured and whether or not the debtor has been making monthly payments to the lender.

Is the debtor seeking authority to use rents generated by the Pullman property? The first part of the motion seems to reflect that it is, but there are no budgets concerning that property. Are there rents being generated? And what will it cost the debtor to be able to make the repairs necessary to be entitled to collect the full rents on the 118th Street property? And where will those funds come from?

Hearing required.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Alvarado Investment Properties,

Represented By
Onyinye N Anyama

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

CONT... Alvarado Investment Properties, LLC

Chapter 11

Movant(s):

Alvarado Investment Properties,

Represented By
Onyinye N Anyama

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

2:25-14063 Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

#7.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: **2024 BMW i5 M60 xDrive, VIN: WBY43FK0XRCS25724 .**

MOVANT: BMW Financial Services NA, LLC

fr: 1-20-26; 2-10-26; 3-10-26

Docket 74

***** VACATED *** REASON: 3/16/26 - COURT APPROVED APO. NO APPEARANCE REQUIRED.**

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

3/9/26 - JaVonne Philips

3/9/26 - Thomas Ure

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative Ruling from January 6, 2026:

Opposition says that debtor will have worked out an agreement with movant or cured deficiency by time of hearing. Has either occurred? If not, grant motion without waiver of Rule 4001(a)(3), as debtor has not fulfilled his obligations under bankruptcy code section 365(d)(5).

Final Ruling from January 6, 2026:

Parties want to continue hearing to see if parties can resolve matter consensually.

Tentative Ruling for February 10, 2026:

Have the parties made any progress in their efforts to resolve this matter consensually?

Final Ruling from February 10, 2026:

Parties reported that they were working on an adequate protection order. Court continued

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

CONT... Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

hearing to March 10, 2026 at 10:00 a.m.

Tentative Ruling for March 10, 2026:

Have the parties made any progress in their efforts to resolve this matter consensually?

Final Ruling for March 10, 2026:

Movant sent draft of APO to debtor's counsel. Debtor's counsel reports that draft is fine, but debtor would like to start making payments in March, rather than February. Movant's counsel needs to confer with her client. Continue hearing to March 17, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. to give parties an opportunity to come to terms.

Tentative Ruling for March 17, 2026:

Note: Court appointed chapter 11 trustee by order entered March 4, 2026.

Has any progress been made with regard to this matter?

3/16/2026 -- Court approved APO. NO APPEARANCE REQUIRED. MATTER HAS BEEN RESOLVED.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Gabriel David Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Joint Debtor(s):

Olivia Luna Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Movant(s):

BMW Financial Services NA, LLC

Represented By
Jennifer C Wong

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:24-18372 Krikor R. Bardakjian

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01046 Israyelyan v. Bardakjian

#200.00 Status Conference re: 62 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)),(41 (Objection / revocation of discharge - 727(c),(d),(e) Complaint by Mikayel Israyelyan against Krikor R. Bardakjian

fr: 4-15-25; 7-15-25; 11-4-25; 2-10-26

Docket 1

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative Ruling for April 15, 2025:

Status report (filed March 5, 2025) represents that defendant's answer is due March 17, 2025 and that parties will meet and confer as soon as practicable. Answer has now been filed. Have parties met and conferred?

Is this an appropriate matter to be sent to an early mediation or do the parties need to conduct some discovery first? Hearing required.

Tentative Ruling for July 15, 2025:

When will defendant be in a position to move for partial summary adjudication? Set discovery cutoff for approximately 120 days and continue status conference to date that can serve as date of hearing on motion for partial summary adjudication.

Final Ruling for July 15, 2025:

Set discovery cutoff for November 21, 2025. Continue status conference to November 4, 2025 at 2:00 p.m. Parties should file joint status report by October 21, 2025. Plaintiff should lodge scheduling order.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT...

Krikor R. Bardakjian

Chapter 7

Tentative Ruling for November 4, 2025:

Where is the scheduling order that plaintiff should have lodged within 7 days after July status conference? Impose sanctions of \$250 on counsel for plaintiff for failing to lodge scheduling order in a timely manner.

Parties now report that they would like until March 2026 to complete discovery. Parties may stipulate to extension of November 21 discovery cutoff or, if both parties agree, may lodge the required scheduling order belatedly setting discovery cutoff for this date.

Why doesn't the defendant want this matter sent to mediation?

Hearing required.

Final Ruling for November 4, 2025:

Continue status conference to February 10, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. Parties should file a joint status report not later than January 27, 2026. Extend discovery cutoff to March 27, 2026. Plaintiff should lodge a scheduling order with these dates.

Tentative Ruling for February 10, 2026:

Impose sanctions of \$250 on counsel for plaintiff for failing to lodge scheduling order in a timely manner. Parties are now reporting that they need until April 9 or this summer to complete discovery. What, if anything, have the parties done with regard to discovery (or anything else for that matter) in this adversary proceeding since the November status conference? Hearing required.

Final Ruling for February 10, 2026:

Set discovery cutoff for March 27, 2026. Continue status conference to March 17, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. Parties should file joint status report not later than March 3, 2026. Court will prepare an order imposing sanctions of \$250 on counsel for plaintiff for failure to lodge scheduling order in a timely manner.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... **Krikor R. Bardakjian**

Chapter 7

Court will include rest of dates in scheduling order.

Tentative Ruling for March 17, 2026:

It appears that Court failed to prepare scheduling order as well. Court will not sanction itself, but it seems inappropriate to sanction plaintiff for the same offense that the Court itself committed. Court vacates its oral ruling imposing sanctions on plaintiff for failing to lodge the order.

Court had set (but has not documented) a discovery cutoff of March 27, 2026. In their status report, the parties now predict a later completion of discovery. Are the parties' requesting an extension of the March 27 discovery cutoff? Why doesn't the defendant want this matter sent to mediation?

Hearing required.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Krikor R. Bardakjian

Represented By
Steven R Fox

Defendant(s):

Krikor R. Bardakjian

Represented By
Steven R Fox

Movant(s):

Mikayel Israyelyan

Represented By
Talin V Yacoubian

Plaintiff(s):

Mikayel Israyelyan

Represented By
Talin V Yacoubian

Trustee(s):

Timothy Yoo (TR)

Represented By
Anthony A. Friedman

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Krikor R. Bardakjian

Chapter 7

Levene, Neale, Bender, Yoo & Golubchik

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:25-13490 David Benjamin Keosababian

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01330 Timothy J Yoo, Chapter 7 Trustee of David Benjamin v. Munoz et al

#201.00 Motion for Default Judgment Under LBR 7055-1

Docket 16

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

The transfer of the debtor's one-half interest in the property was clearly for less than reasonably equivalent value. However, the motion does not contain sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the debtor was insolvent or rendered insolvent at the time of the transfer. Therefore, the motion should be denied insofar as it seeks a judgment for a constructive fraud fraudulent transfer. However, on these facts, in light of the timing of the transfer, the fact that the transferee was the debtor's sister and the enormous discrepancy between the value of the property transferred and the consideration promised, the transfer should be avoided as an actual fraud fraudulent transfer.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

David Benjamin Keosababian

Represented By
David R Haberbush

Defendant(s):

America Munoz

Pro Se

DOES 1-20, Inclusive

Pro Se

Joint Debtor(s):

Martha Keosababian

Represented By
David R Haberbush

Movant(s):

Timothy J Yoo, Chapter 7 Trustee of

Represented By

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... David Benjamin Keosababian

Chapter 7

Alexander H Haberbush

Plaintiff(s):

Timothy J Yoo, Chapter 7 Trustee of

Represented By

Alexander H Haberbush

Trustee(s):

Timothy Yoo (TR)

Represented By

David R Haberbush

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:25-13490 David Benjamin Keosababian

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01330 Timothy J Yoo, Chapter 7 Trustee of David Benjamin v. Munoz et al

#202.00 Status Conference re: 13 (Recovery of money/property - 548 fraudulent transfer)),(14 (Recovery of money/property - other) Complaint by Timothy J Yoo against America Munoz

Fr. 9-30-25; 1-6-26

Docket 1

Courtroom Deputy:

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative Ruling for January 6, 2026:

At last status conference, counsel for defendant appeared, claimed defendant had never been served with complaint and represented that he would be moving to set aside default. Plaintiff reports in unilateral status report (not supported by declaration) that defendant has failed to respond to requests to meet and confer and has not responded to requests to participate in preparation of joint status report. (No response to complaint or motion to set aside default has been filed.)

Set deadline for plaintiff to file motion for default judgment. Continue status conference to coincide with hearing on default judgment motion.

Final Ruling for January 6, 2026:

Continue status conference to March 17, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file and serve motion for default judgment not later than February 24, 2026 and set it for hearing on March 17, 2026 at 2:00 p.m.

Tentative Ruling for March 17, 2026:

Revisit status of action after conclusion of hearing on motion for default judgment. (Docket still does not reflect the filing of a motion to vacate the default.)

Party Information

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... David Benjamin Keosababian

Chapter 7

Debtor(s):

David Benjamin Keosababian

Represented By
David R Haberbush

Defendant(s):

America Munoz

Pro Se

DOES 1-20, Inclusive

Pro Se

Joint Debtor(s):

Martha Keosababian

Represented By
David R Haberbush

Plaintiff(s):

Timothy J Yoo, Chapter 7 Trustee of

Represented By
Alexander H Haberbush

Trustee(s):

Timothy Yoo (TR)

Represented By
David R Haberbush

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:21-10826 Kfir Gavrieli

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:21-01034 Gavrieli et al v. Gavrieli et al

#203.00 Plaintiff Dikla Gavrieli Unatin's Motion for Protective Order re: Debtor Kfir Gavrieli's Attendance At Plaintiff's Deposition

Docket 304

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Kfir Gavrieli is a party and the standard for excluding a party from attending a deposition is high. The Trustee disputes that Mr. Gavrieli engaged in threatening or intimidating behavior during the deposition or during breaks. The Court recognizes that the parties have a lifetime of history between them, but the fact that Mr. Gavrieli's mere presence may be enough to make the plaintiff uncomfortable is not sufficient grounds to exclude him.

However, court is prepared to impose a few groundrules that it appears that Mr. Gavrieli (based on the trustee's description of his prior conduct) is already willing to accept. First, obviously, Mr. Gavrieli should not sit next to or even near the plaintiff. He should be seated if possible at the far end of the table. He should not speak during the deposition (other than to whisper to his attorney should he be inclined to do so). Future sessions of the deposition should be videotaped so that the Court can ascertain whether Mr. Gavrieli has behaved in a threatening or intimidating manner. Court will impose sanctions if it concludes that he has done so.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Kfir Gavrieli

Represented By
Jeffrey M. Reisner
Kerri A Lyman
William N Lobel

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Kfir Gavrieli

Chapter 11

Defendant(s):

Kfir Gavrieli

Represented By
William N Lobel
Kerri A Lyman
Allison L Libeu

Gavrieli Brands LLC

Represented By
Gregory K Jones

Movant(s):

Dikla Gavrieli

Represented By
Christopher E Prince
Christopher E Prince
Kaitlyn M. Husar
Kaitlyn M. Husar

Plaintiff(s):

Dikla Gavrieli

Represented By
Christopher E Prince
Kaitlyn M. Husar

Dikla Gavrieli, derivatively on

Pro Se

Dean Unatin

Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Robert Allan Kors (TR)

Represented By
William Schumacher
Mark Shinderman
Mohammad Tehrani

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:21-10826 Kfir Gavrieli

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:21-01034 Gavrieli et al v. Gavrieli et al

#204.00 Plaintiff Dikla Gavrieli Unatin's Motion For Protective Order Re Damages Evaluations And Discussions With Experts

Docket 306

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Grant in part. Grant to the extent that questions posed specifically asked plaintiff what she discussed with her counsel or what her attorney discussed with nontestifying experts. Such communications would be protected by the attorney/client privilege and the work product rule, but questions concerning the types of damages she is requesting, the factual basis for those claims, the information that she is relying on in asserting that she has been damaged in those respects, etc. are appropriate.

It may well be that plaintiff will need to respond to such questions that she doesn't know and has no information concerning what her damages, how they were calculated, what evidence there is to support them, etc. other than what her attorney has told her. If this is the case, she will not be able to testify to such matters at trial. How does plaintiff intend to prove up the underlying facts upon which any expert testimony will be based? The trustee is entitled to know this.

Hearing required.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Kfir Gavrieli

Represented By
Jeffrey M. Reisner
Kerri A Lyman
William N Lobel

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Kfir Gavrieli

Chapter 11

Defendant(s):

Kfir Gavrieli

Represented By
William N Lobel
Kerri A Lyman
Allison L Libeu

Gavrieli Brands LLC

Represented By
Gregory K Jones

Movant(s):

Dikla Gavrieli

Represented By
Christopher E Prince
Christopher E Prince
Kaitlyn M. Husar
Kaitlyn M. Husar

Plaintiff(s):

Dikla Gavrieli

Represented By
Christopher E Prince
Kaitlyn M. Husar

Dikla Gavrieli, derivatively on

Pro Se

Dean Unatin

Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Robert Allan Kors (TR)

Represented By
William Schumacher
Mark Shinderman
Mohammad Tehrani

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:21-10826 Kfir Gavrieli

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:21-01034 Gavrieli et al v. Gavrieli et al

#205.00 Motion Plaintiff Dikla Gavrieli Unatin's Motion To Compel Post-Effective Date Trustee's Amended Responses And Production Of Documents In Response To Second Set Of Requests For Production of Documents

Docket 308

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Request for production nos. 22 through 30:

If the trustee has declared that he has already produced all documents that fall within this category that are within his possession, custody or control, this request must be denied. Court has already ruled that trustee need not go out and procure such documents from the company or other third parties. Moreover, the scope of these requests is excessively broad and goes well beyond the scope of what is permitted under Fed.R.Bankr.Proc. 7026(b)(1). Deny motion with regard to request for production nos. 22 through 30.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Kfir Gavrieli

Represented By
Jeffrey M. Reisner
Kerri A Lyman
William N Lobel

Defendant(s):

Kfir Gavrieli

Represented By
William N Lobel
Kerri A Lyman
Allison L Libeu

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... **Kfir Gavrieli**
Gavrieli Brands LLC

Chapter 11

Represented By
Gregory K Jones

Movant(s):

Dikla Gavrieli

Represented By
Christopher E Prince
Christopher E Prince
Kaitlyn M. Husar
Kaitlyn M. Husar

Plaintiff(s):

Dikla Gavrieli

Represented By
Christopher E Prince
Kaitlyn M. Husar

Dikla Gavrieli, derivatively on

Pro Se

Dean Unatin

Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Robert Allan Kors (TR)

Represented By
William Schumacher
Mark Shinderman
Mohammad Tehrani

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:21-10826 Kfir Gavrieli

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:21-01034 Gavrieli et al v. Gavrieli et al

#206.00 Motion to Compel Continued Deposition and For Sanctions for Mrs. Unatin's Unilateral Termination of Her Deposition

Docket 315

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Grant in part. Grant motion insofar as it seeks an order compelling the Unatins to appear for a continued deposition. However, inasmuch as the Court is not in a position to determine on this record the extent to which the circumstances of the prior deposition made termination appropriate, deny request for sanctions. Deposition should resume in accordance with the instructions given by the Court in response to the matter on calendar as motion no. 203.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Kfir Gavrieli

Represented By
Jeffrey M. Reisner
Kerri A Lyman
William N Lobel

Defendant(s):

Kfir Gavrieli

Represented By
William N Lobel
Kerri A Lyman
Allison L Libeu

Gavrieli Brands LLC

Represented By
Gregory K Jones

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Kfir Gavrieli

Chapter 11

Movant(s):

J. Michael Issa, Post-Effective Date

Represented By
Allison L Libeu
Richard Lee Wynne

Plaintiff(s):

Dikla Gavrieli

Represented By
Christopher E Prince
Kaitlyn M. Husar

Dikla Gavrieli, derivatively on

Pro Se

Dean Unatin

Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Robert Allan Kors (TR)

Represented By
William Schumacher
Mark Shinderman
Mohammad Tehrani

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:21-10826 Kfir Gavrieli

Chapter 11

Adv#: 2:21-01034 Gavrieli et al v. Gavrieli et al

#207.00 Motion To Compel Discovery Responses, Privilege Log, And Document Productions

Docket 316

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

As the Court stated an earlier tentative ruling, it is not inappropriate for the trustee to ask the plaintiff to articulate the facts and identify the documents that support her contentions. If she doesn't know of any such documents or if she has no such documents within her possession custody or control, she needs to say so under penalty of perjury. If she has responsive documents but contends that they are privileged or otherwise protected from discovery, she should nevertheless identify them and disclose their existence on a privilege log.

If responsive documents have already been produced, plaintiff may identify the documents by Bates number instead of producing them again. Plaintiff bears the burden of proof here and the trustee is entitled to know what facts and documents the plaintiff intends to use to prove up her case. If she is not yet in a position to identify all of the documents or underlying facts that exist, she should identify/produce the documents and facts that she currently has and supplement her response as she becomes aware of additional documents and facts.

Court agrees that documents concerning the financial benefits plaintiff has received from the company are relevant here, as plaintiff's case rests on the contention that, due to misconduct by defendant, she received less than she would otherwise have received. To be able to test that hypothesis, the court will need to know not only what she should have received but what she actually received. However, court needs clarification as to the parties'

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... **Kfir Gavrieli**
positions with regard to the timeliness of this request.

Chapter 11

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Kfir Gavrieli

Represented By
Jeffrey M. Reisner
Kerri A Lyman
William N Lobel

Defendant(s):

Kfir Gavrieli

Represented By
William N Lobel
Kerri A Lyman
Allison L Libeu

Gavrieli Brands LLC

Represented By
Gregory K Jones

Movant(s):

J. Michael Issa, Post-Effective Date

Represented By
Allison L Libeu
Richard Lee Wynne

Plaintiff(s):

Dikla Gavrieli

Represented By
Christopher E Prince
Kaitlyn M. Husar

Dikla Gavrieli, derivatively on

Pro Se

Dean Unatin

Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Robert Allan Kors (TR)

Represented By
William Schumacher
Mark Shinderman

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, March 17, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT...

Kfir Gavrieli

Mohammad Tehrani

Chapter 11