

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM
2:00-00000

Chapter

#0.00 All hearings scheduled for today are now simultaneously 1) In person in Courtroom 1539; 2) Via ZoomGov Video; 3) Via ZoomGov Audio. Parties are free to choose any of these options, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. Parties electing to appear in person shall comply with all requirements regarding social distancing, use of face masks, etc. that are in effect at the time of the hearing.

Parties in interest may connect to the video and audio feeds, free of charge, using the connection information provided below. **MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MAY ONLY CONNECT TO THE AUDIO FEED USING THE TELEPHONE NUMBERS PROVIDED BELOW AND ARE NOT PERMITTED TO CONNECT TO THE VIDEO FEED.**

Individuals may participate by ZoomGov video and audio using a personal computer (equipped with camera, microphone and speaker), or a handheld mobile device (such as an iPhone or Android phone). Individuals may opt to participate by audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply).

Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account is necessary to participate and no pre-registration is required **but you must still notify Chambers at Chambers_SBluebond@cacb.uscourts.gov of your appearance.** The audio portion of each hearing will be recorded electronically by the Court and constitutes its official record.

For more information on appearing before Judge Bluebond by ZoomGov, please see the information on the Court's website at:
<https://www.cacb.uscourts.gov/judges/honorable-sheri-bluebond> under the tab, "Phone/Video Appearances."

Hearing conducted by ZOOMGov.

Video/audio web address: <https://cacb.zoomgov.com/j/16161090855>

ZoomGov meeting number: 161 6109 0855

Password: 148508

Telephone conference lines: 1 (669) 254 5252 or 1 (646) 828 7666

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

CONT...

Chapter

(when prompted, enter meeting number and password shown above)

Judge Bluebond seeks to maintain a courtroom environment (both online and in person) in which all persons are treated with dignity and respect, irrespective of their gender identity, expression or preference. To that end, individuals appearing before the Court are invited to identify their preferred pronouns (e.g., he, she, they, etc.) and their preferred honorific (e.g., Mr., Miss, Ms., Mrs., Mx, M, etc.). Individuals may do so by advising the Courtroom Deputy or Judge prior to any appearance and/or, in the case of remote hearings, by providing this information in the person's screen name in ZoomGov.

Docket 0

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

2:25-14063 Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

#1.00 Order (i) To Show Cause Re Conversion Or Appointment Of Chapter 11 Trustee, (ii) Continuing Status Conference And (iii) Continuing Hearing On Sunflower Equitys Motion For Relief From The Automatic Stay

Docket 78

***** VACATED *** REASON: CONTINUED TO 2-24-26 AT 11AM**

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Moved to 11 am calendar.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Gabriel David Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Joint Debtor(s):

Olivia Luna Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

2:25-14063 Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

#2.00 Scheduling and Case Management Conference in a Chapter 11 Case

fr: 7-16-25; 10-8-25; 12-11-25; 1-20-26

Docket 1

***** VACATED *** REASON: CONTINUED TO 2-24-26 AT 11AM**

Courtroom Deputy:

Tentative Ruling:

Set deadline for serving notice of bar date and bar date. Debtor refers to income that the debtor receives from a development project. The Court would like more information about that project and the income that the debtor receives or will receive from that. Hearing required.

7/21/25 -- Court approved scheduling order with following dates:

L/D to serve notice of bar date -- July 21, 2025

Bar date -- September 30, 2025

Cont'd case status conference -- October 8, 2025 at 11:00 a.m.

L/D to serve updated status report -- October 1, 2025.

Tentative Ruling for October 8, 2025:

Have debtors identified a tax professional that they would like to employ?
Have there been any discussions/negotiations with the IRS? Hearing required.

Tentative Ruling for December 11, 2025:

Revisit status of case after conclusion of hearing on motion for relief from stay.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

CONT... Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

Final Ruling for December 11, 2025:

Continue hearing to January 20, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. Debtor should file and serve updated status report not later than January 9, 2026.

Tentative Ruling for January 20, 2026:

Where is the status report that should have been filed by January 9, 2026?
Hearing required.

Moved to 11 am calendar.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Gabriel David Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Joint Debtor(s):

Olivia Luna Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Movant(s):

Gabriel David Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Olivia Luna Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

2:25-14063 Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

#3.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations REAL PROPERTY RE: **1373 El Mirador, Pasadena, CA 91103 .**

MOVANT: Sunflower Equity LLC

fr: 8-19-25; 10-8-25; 12-11-25; 1-20-26

Docket 54

***** VACATED *** REASON: CONTINUED TO 2-24-26 AT 11AM**

Courtroom Deputy:

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative Ruling for August 19, 2025:

Court is not yet ready to conclude that there is no realistic prospect of a reorganization within a reasonable period and, if debtor's representations are true with regard to the origins of the tax lien, there may in fact be substantial equity for the estate in the property. There is no evidence that the value of the property is declining and, at present, movant has a sizeable equity cushion. Court is not ready to grant relief from stay at this point in the case. Set continued hearing on motion for date of continued status conference in main case.

What is the status of the debtor's efforts to refinance?

Final Ruling for August 19, 2025:

Continue hearing to October 8, 2025 at 11:00 a.m. No additional briefing is required other than a status report.

Tentative Ruling for October 8, 2025:

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

CONT... Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

What, if any, additional progress has been made in negotiations with IRS since August hearing? Hearing required.

Final Ruling for October 8, 2025:

Debtor is trying to find financing. Continue hearing to December 11, 2025 at 10:00 a.m. Court instructed debtor that it needed to pay the real property taxes that become delinquent on December 10, 2025 or it would grant relief from stay.

Tentative Ruling for December 11, 2025:

At prior hearing, debtor's counsel reported that recent appraisal showed there was not enough value in the property to satisfy the liens against it and produce equity for the estate. The status report that the debtor filed in connection with matter no. 6 shows the same thing. Debtor notes that the IRS failed to file a proof of claim, but it also states that the IRS has a secured tax LIEN for more than \$4.2M. According to that same report, the value of the property is approximately \$4.3M and the senior lien is for approximately \$2.5M. Thus, there is no equity in the property. Debtor has been trying to refinance, but does not appear to have made any progress and the Court takes judicial notice of the positions advanced by the IRS in response to the defendant's sentencing memorandum. It does not appear likely that the IRS will be reducing the amount of its claim at any point in the near future.

Grant motion for relief under section 362(d)(2). Court is persuaded that there is no reasonable likelihood of a reorganization within a reasonable period and that there is no equity in the property for the estate.

Final Ruling for December 11, 2025:

Property taxes were paid. The IRS filed proof of claim December 9 or 10 in which it said that claim was unsecured. Continue hearing to January 20, 2026 at 10:00 a.m.

Tentative Ruling for January 20, 2026:

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

10:00 AM

CONT... Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

In light of amended proof of claim, is debtor now in a position to file a plan or does debtor intend to proceed in a different manner? If debtor intends to file a plan, set deadline for filing of plan and disclosure statement and continue hearing on motion for relief from stay to date and time of hearing on disclosure statement.

Moved to 11 am calendar.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Gabriel David Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Joint Debtor(s):

Olivia Luna Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:24-19771 JASON McCULLOUGH

Chapter 7

#100.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: **2022 Dodge Challenger, VIN: 2C3CDZFJXNH226267 .**

MOVANT: Santander Consumer USA Inc. dba Chrysler Capital as servicer for CCAP Auto Lease Ltd.

Docket 35

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/19/26 - Thomas Ure

2/23/26 - Byron Bahr

Tentative Ruling:

Grant with waiver of Rule 4001(a)(3).

Party Information

Debtor(s):

JASON McCULLOUGH

Represented By
Misty Wilks

Movant(s):

Santander Consumer USA Inc. dba

Represented By
Sheryl K Ith

Trustee(s):

Peter J Mastan (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-20445 FELICIA DENNIS

Chapter 7

#101.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: **2550 East Ave I, Sp #84, Lancaster, CA 93535 .**

MOVANT: Creditor 21st Mortgage Corporation

Docket 17

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/19/26 - Nicholas Lazzarini

2/19/26 - Diane Weifenbach

Tentative Ruling:

Continue hearing to give movant an opportunity to brief whether or not the manufactured home that is the subject of this motion has been affixed to the land in a manner that qualifies it as real property and to provide evidence in support of its position.

If the home is real property, rather than personal property, section 362(h) will not apply and movant will have filed the wrong motion. Moreover, based on the representations made in the motion (which are in turn based on the debtor's schedules) there is an equity cushion of more than 100 percent, such that the motion should not be granted.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

FELICIA DENNIS

Represented By
Rory Vohwinkel

Movant(s):

21st Mortgage Corporation

Represented By

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

CONT... FELICIA DENNIS

Chapter 7

Diane Weifenbach

Trustee(s):

Timothy Yoo (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-21195 Benjamin William Nobile

Chapter 7

#102.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: **2021 Kia Forte, VIN: 3KPF24AD2ME404458** .

MOVANT: TD Bank, N.A.

Docket 10

***** VACATED *** REASON: 1/27/26 - VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF MOTION FILED**

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Motion has been withdrawn by movant. Off calendar. No appearance necessary.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Benjamin William Nobile

Represented By
David H Chung

Movant(s):

TD Bank, N.A.

Represented By
Sheryl K Ith

Trustee(s):

Sam S Leslie (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-21488 Archie Lee Thompson

Chapter 7

#103.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: **2025 BMW X2 xDrive28i Sport Utility 4D, VIN: WBX63GM0XS5078461 .**

MOVANT: BMW Bank of North America

Docket 15

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Grant without waiver of Rule 4001(a)(3).

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Archie Lee Thompson

Represented By
John D Sarai

Movant(s):

BMW Bank of North America

Represented By
Kristin A Schuler-Hintz

Trustee(s):

David M Goodrich (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-21784 Mike B Medina and Merissa Merinda Medina

Chapter 7

#104.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: **2021 NISSAN SENTRA: VIN: 3N1AB8DV7MY305576**

MOVANT: Global Lending Services LLC

Docket 11

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/19/26 - David Brownstein

2/19/26 - Kirsten Martinez

Tentative Ruling:

Grant without waiver of Rule 4001(a)(3).

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Mike B Medina Pro Se

Joint Debtor(s):

Merissa Merinda Medina Pro Se

Movant(s):

Global Lending Services LLC Represented By
Kirsten Martinez

Trustee(s):

Howard M Ehrenberg (TR) Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-21824 Dannilo Rhenyer Natanauan Masicat

Chapter 7

#105.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: **2024 BMW X5 xDrive40i Sport Utility 4D, VIN: 5UX23EU03R9T70793**

MOVANT: BMW Bank of North America

Docket 10

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Grant with waiver of Rule 4001(a)(3).

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Dannilo Rhenyer Natanauan Masicat

Represented By
David H Chung

Movant(s):

BMW Bank of North America

Represented By
Kristin A Schuler-Hintz

Trustee(s):

Jason M Rund (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:26-10218 Jose Luis Serrata, Jr.

Chapter 7

#106.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: **2024 Nissan Kicks S Sport Utility 4D, VIN: 3N1CP5BV3RL544395 .**

MOVANT: Capital One Auto Finance, a division of Capital One, N.A.

Docket 9

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/23/26 - Kristin Schuler-Hintz

Tentative Ruling:

Grant without waiver of Rule 4001(a)(3).

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Jose Luis Serrata Jr.

Represented By
Kevin Tang

Movant(s):

Capital One Auto Finance, a division

Represented By
Kristin A Schuler-Hintz

Trustee(s):

Peter J Mastan (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-19627 Hyundai Capital Management, Inc.

Chapter 11

#107.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations REAL PROPERTY RE: **554 S. Hobart Blvd. and 550 S. Hobart Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90020 .**

MOVANT: Mega Asset, LLC

fr: 12-16-25; 1-14-26; 2-3-26

Docket 6

Courtroom Deputy:

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative Ruling from December 16, 2025:

In its original motion, movant did not provide admissible evidence as to the value of the property and the debtor objected on that ground. Movant has now remedied that problem in its reply brief, but that evidence should have been in the motion. In the context of a chapter 11 case (that was filed on October 29, 2025), lack of a sufficient equity cushion or failure to make payments does not necessarily amount to cause to lift the automatic stay unless the property is declining in value. Motion does not even assert that this is the case. Even if there is no equity in the property (although there is a small amount of equity based on the BPO movant has provided), relief from stay is not available under section 362(d)(2) if the property is necessary to an effective reorganization. This is the debtor's only meaningful asset, so the property is necessary, but is there a realistic prospect of reorganization (or another solution to the debtor's financial problems) within a reasonable period. The debtor does not even discuss this in its opposition (presumably due to the lack of admissible evidence as to the property's value).

Now that movant has provided admissible evidence in its reply instead of in the motion itself, continue the hearing to give the debtor a further opportunity to persuade the court that there is a reasonable likelihood of reorganization

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

CONT... **Hyundai Capital Management, Inc.**

Chapter 11

within a reasonable period.

Final Ruling for December 16, 2025:

Continue hearing to January 14, 2026 at 1:00 p.m. Debtor should serve and file supplemental papers not later than December 30, 2025. Replies should be filed by January 8, 2026.

Tentative Ruling for January 14, 2026:

Debtor reported at case management conference held January 7, 2026 that there will be a hearing on January 21, 2026 at which the City is to approve the proposed contract with the debtor for the purchase of the Hobart lots. Continue the hearing to the same date and time as the continued case management conference to give debtor an opportunity to obtain City approval for the sale contract.

Final Ruling for January 14, 2026:

Continue hearing to February 3, 2026 at 10:00 a.m.

Tentative Ruling for February 3, 2026:

What happened at the January 21, 2026 meeting? Hearing required.

Final Ruling for February 3, 2026:

Hearing was continued to February 18, 2026. Continue hearing on this motion to February 24, 2026 at 11:00 a.m.

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

What happened at the February 18, 2026 hearing? Hearing required.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Hyundai Capital Management, Inc.

Represented By

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

CONT... Hyundai Capital Management, Inc.

Chapter 11

Stella A Havkin

Movant(s):

Mega Asset, LLC

Represented By
Arnold L Graff

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-20775 Marmar Bedford, LLC

Chapter 11

#108.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations REAL PROPERTY RE: **1226 S Bedford Street, Unit 502, Los Angeles, CA 90035 .**

MOVANT: U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association, not in its individual capacity, but solely as trustee on behalf of PRPM 2024-NQM2 Trust

Docket 27

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/19/26 - Thomas Ure

Tentative Ruling:

There is no equity in the property, but this is a chapter 11 case and the Court is not yet in a position to determine whether there is a reasonable likelihood of reorganization within a reasonable period. However, the motion only relates to one unit (making it unlikely that this property is necessary to an effective reorganization) and the debtor (which has the burden of proof on this issue) has not opposed the motion. Grant without waiver of Rule 4001(a)(3).

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Marmar Bedford, LLC

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Movant(s):

U.S. Bank Trust Company, National

Represented By
Shannon A Doyle

U.S. Bank Trust Company, National

Represented By
Shannon A Doyle

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

CONT... Marmar Bedford, LLC

Chapter 11

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-21116 Howard's Appliances, Inc.

Chapter 11

#109.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from automatic stay with supporting declarations ACTION IN NON-BANKRUPTCY FORUM RE: **111 N. Baldwin Park Blvd., City of Industry, California 91746**

MOVANT: Harvard Label LLC

Docket 104

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/24/26 - Thomas Shuck

Tentative Ruling:

Why didn't the parties simply lodge an order approving the stipulation?

Grant with waiver of Rule 4001(a)(3) on the terms set forth in the stipulation.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Howard's Appliances, Inc.

Represented By
David M Goodrich

Movant(s):

Harvard Label LLC

Represented By
Marshall J Hogan

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-21116 Howard's Appliances, Inc.

Chapter 11

#110.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations PERSONAL PROPERTY RE: **COMMERCIAL EQUIPMENT (FORKLIFTS AND ATTACHMENTS).**

MOVANT: De Lage Landen Financial Services, Inc.

Docket 112

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/19/26 - Katie Mason

2/19/26 - Randy Pflum

Tentative Ruling:

Condition continuation of the automatic stay on the debtor's making the payments due under the lease(s) to the extent required by Bankruptcy Code section 365(d)(5). That section requires the debtor in possession to timely perform all obligations of the debtor that first arise from or after 60 days after the order for relief. The case was filed on December 10, 2025. Therefore, the debtor is required to begin making payments that fall due under the lease after February 8, 2026.

This means that the debtor will be required to commence making monthly payments at the full rental rate under the lease starting with the February 28, 2026 payment as a condition to continuation of the automatic stay. (Is that correct? Are the payments due on the last day of each calendar month?)

All amounts attributable to the post-petition period that fell due prior to that are entitled to be treated as administrative claims but need not be paid as a condition to maintenance of the automatic stay.

Enter adequate protection order to this effect.

Party Information

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

CONT... Howard's Appliances, Inc.

Chapter 11

Debtor(s):

Howard's Appliances, Inc.

Represented By
David M Goodrich

Movant(s):

De Lage Landen Financial Services,

Represented By
Kenrick W Young

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-21233 1047 Corning LLC

Chapter 11

#111.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations REAL PROPERTY RE: **1047 S. Corning Street, Los Angeles, CA 90035**

MOVANT: LSBH7 INVEST INC., a California Corporation as to an undivided 785,000.00/1,570.000.00 interest, and VIG Private Lending, Inc., a California Corporation as to an undivided 785,000.00/1,570.000.00 interest

Docket 12

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/19/26 - Thomas Ure

Tentative Ruling:

Debtor claims the property has a value of \$4,000,000, but has not filed an opposition to the motion and has not provided any evidence to support this valuation. The movant, on the other hand, has provided a declaration from an appraiser estimating the value of the property as of January 15, 2026 of \$1,975,000. (The debtor's status report says that the location is 1047 Corning and that the land is vacant. The motion says that it is 1047 S. Corning and, at least according to Google and Zillow, there appears to be a duplex on the property. Which is accurate?) Where is the adjacent parking garage? Which building adjoining the debtor's land has a parking garage? And is it really the debtor's position that the existence of these parking privileges more than doubles the value of the property?

Therefore, it does not appear that the debtor has any equity in the property or that the lender has any equity cushion. However, there is no evidence in the motion that the property is declining in value (with the exception of any interest accruing on the unpaid property taxes of \$33,277 and any post-petition taxes that fall due). The court is prepared to assume that the property is necessary to the debtor's reorganization, as it is the debtor's only

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

CONT... 1047 Corning LLC

Chapter 11

asset, but the Court is not yet in a position to determine whether there is a reasonable likelihood of reorganization within a reasonable period. (NOTE: There is a case status conference scheduled for February 25, 2026 at 10:00 a.m.)

Enter adequate protection order requiring debtor to remain current on any real property tax payments that fall due or become delinquent from this point forward (regardless of the time period to which such taxes relate). Continue hearing on balance of relief requested to date and time of case management conference -- February 25, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. as a holding date. Court will set a further continued hearing date at that time.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

1047 Corning LLC

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Movant(s):

LSBH7 INVEST INC., a California

Represented By
Aslan Khodorovsky

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-21725 AVFUND Capital Group, Inc.

Chapter 11

#112.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations REAL PROPERTY RE: **2443 W. Beverly Blvd., Montebello, CA 90640** .

MOVANT: Jacol, LLC, an Arizona Limited Liability Company; Stephanie Evic; SJT, LLC and H and M Kushings FamilyTrust

Docket 28

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/23/26 - Gregory Jones

2/23/26 - Daniel Singer

2/23/26 - Robert Altagen

Tentative Ruling:

Movant has submitted a reply declaration from Jeff Bleecker disputing the accuracy of the factual representations made in the February 9, 2026 declaration of Raul Avila.

Based on the motion, it appears that the moving party does have a sizeable equity cushion to provide adequate protection (although the debtor lacks equity in the property) and there is no evidence that the value of the property is declining, other than from the accrual of interest and penalties on unpaid real property taxes. The court does not yet have enough information from which to determine whether there is a reasonable likelihood of reorganization within a reasonable period and it is very early in this (second) chapter 11 case for the court to make this determination.

However, if the debtor would like an opportunity to try to reorganize, it will need to preserve the value of the lender's equity position. It need not make

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

CONT... AVFUND Capital Group, Inc.

Chapter 11

the monthly mortgage payments in order to do this, but it does need to pay the lender sufficient funds to offset the accrual of interest and penalties on the outstanding real property taxes (can the parties calculate how much accrues each month?) and pay all future installments of real property taxes. Enter adequate protection order that requires payment of these amounts.

(NOTE: Case management conference is set for February 25, 2026 at 10:00 a.m.)

Party Information

Debtor(s):

AVFUND Capital Group, Inc.

Represented By
Robert S Altagen
Daniel I Singer

Movant(s):

AVFUND Capital Group, Inc.

Represented By
Robert S Altagen
Daniel I Singer

Trustee(s):

Gregory Kent Jones (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-14063 Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

#113.00 Order (i) To Show Cause Re Conversion Or Appointment Of Chapter 11 Trustee, (ii) Continuing Status Conference And (iii) Continuing Hearing On Sunflower Equitys Motion For Relief From The Automatic Stay

Docket 78

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/19/26 - David Brownstein

2/23/26 - David Shevitz

Tentative Ruling:

At the January 20, 2026 hearing on Sunflower's motion for relief from stay, the debtors made all of the same representations that are set forth in their reply to the OSC (filed February 11, 2026). The only new information is that Mr. Guerrero has accepted an offer from the government to drop all evasion charges in exchange for his acceptance of a lesser charge of an over act. At that time, the Court instructed the debtors to file a plan and disclosure statement by February 17, 2026 and to set the hearing on the disclosure statement for February 24, 2026, and advised that it would issue this OSC, set it for hearing on February 24, 2026, and that oppositions would be due on February 10, 2026.

Mr. Guerrero may be making progress with regard to his criminal sentencing, but he has not shown any meaningful progress in this chapter 11 case. He still has the looming issue of the \$4.2M tax claim that he claims prevents him from refinancing. It should not prevent him from proceeding with a sale free and clear and it should not stand in the way of his proposing a plan, yet he has done neither.

Convert case to chapter 7. Perhaps a chapter 7 trustee, who isn't distracted by sentencing issues, can get this case moving forward.

Party Information

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

CONT... Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

Debtor(s):

Gabriel David Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Joint Debtor(s):

Olivia Luna Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-14063 Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

#114.00 Notice of motion and motion for relief from the automatic stay with supporting declarations REAL PROPERTY RE: **1373 El Mirador, Pasadena, CA 91103 .**

MOVANT: Sunflower Equity LLC

fr: 8-19-25; 10-8-25; 12-11-25; 1-20-26

Docket 54

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/19/26 - David Brownstein

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative Ruling for August 19, 2025:

Court is not yet ready to conclude that there is no realistic prospect of a reorganization within a reasonable period and, if debtor's representations are true with regard to the origins of the tax lien, there may in fact be substantial equity for the estate in the property. There is no evidence that the value of the property is declining and, at present, movant has a sizeable equity cushion. Court is not ready to grant relief from stay at this point in the case. Set continued hearing on motion for date of continued status conference in main case.

What is the status of the debtor's efforts to refinance?

Final Ruling for August 19, 2025:

Continue hearing to October 8, 2025 at 11:00 a.m. No additional briefing is required other than a status report.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

CONT... Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

Tentative Ruling for October 8, 2025:

What, if any, additional progress has been made in negotiations with IRS since August hearing? Hearing required.

Final Ruling for October 8, 2025:

Debtor is trying to find financing. Continue hearing to December 11, 2025 at 10:00 a.m. Court instructed debtor that it needed to pay the real property taxes that become delinquent on December 10, 2025 or it would grant relief from stay.

Tentative Ruling for December 11, 2025:

At prior hearing, debtor's counsel reported that recent appraisal showed there was not enough value in the property to satisfy the liens against it and produce equity for the estate. The status report that the debtor filed in connection with matter no. 6 shows the same thing. Debtor notes that the IRS failed to file a proof of claim, but it also states that the IRS has a secured tax LIEN for more than \$4.2M. According to that same report, the value of the property is approximately \$4.3M and the senior lien is for approximately \$2.5M. Thus, there is no equity in the property. Debtor has been trying to refinance, but does not appear to have made any progress and the Court takes judicial notice of the positions advanced by the IRS in response to the defendant's sentencing memorandum. It does not appear likely that the IRS will be reducing the amount of its claim at any point in the near future.

Grant motion for relief under section 362(d)(2). Court is persuaded that there is no reasonable likelihood of a reorganization within a reasonable period and that there is no equity in the property for the estate.

Final Ruling for December 11, 2025:

Property taxes were paid. The IRS filed proof of claim December 9 or 10 in which it said that claim was unsecured. Continue hearing to January 20, 2026 at 10:00 a.m.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

CONT... Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

Tentative Ruling for January 20, 2026:

In light of amended proof of claim, is debtor now in a position to file a plan or does debtor intend to proceed in a different manner? If debtor intends to file a plan, set deadline for filing of plan and disclosure statement and continue hearing on motion for relief from stay to date and time of hearing on disclosure statement.

Final Ruling for January 20, 2026:

Court instructed the debtors to file a plan and disclosure statement by February 17, 2026 and to set the hearing on the disclosure statement for February 24, 2026, and advised that it would issue an OSC re conversion or appointment of a chapter 7 trustee and set it for hearing on February 24, 2026, and that oppositions would be due on February 10, 2026.

In the interim, Court continued hearing on motion for relief from stay to February 24, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. (Later moved to 11:00 a.m.)

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

If court converts case to chapter 7, continue hearing for approximately an additional 60 to 90 days to give trustee an opportunity to evaluate whether he wants to proceed with a sale or whether to abandon the property.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Gabriel David Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Joint Debtor(s):

Olivia Luna Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

2:25-14063 Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

#115.00 Scheduling and Case Management Conference in a Chapter 11 Case

fr: 7-16-25; 10-8-25; 12-11-25; 1-20-26

Docket 1

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/19/26 - David Brownstein

2/23/26 - David Shevitz

Tentative Ruling:

Set deadline for serving notice of bar date and bar date. Debtor refers to income that the debtor receives from a development project. The Court would like more information about that project and the income that the debtor receives or will receive from that. Hearing required.

7/21/25 -- Court approved scheduling order with following dates:

L/D to serve notice of bar date -- July 21, 2025

Bar date -- September 30, 2025

Cont'd case status conference -- October 8, 2025 at 11:00 a.m.

L/D to serve updated status report -- October 1, 2025.

Tentative Ruling for October 8, 2025:

Have debtors identified a tax professional that they would like to employ?
Have there been any discussions/negotiations with the IRS? Hearing
required.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

11:00 AM

CONT... Gabriel David Guerrero and Olivia Luna Guerrero

Chapter 11

Tentative Ruling for December 11, 2025:

Revisit status of case after conclusion of hearing on motion for relief from stay.

Final Ruling for December 11, 2025:

Continue hearing to January 20, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. Debtor should file and serve updated status report not later than January 9, 2026.

Tentative Ruling for January 20, 2026:

Where is the status report that should have been filed by January 9, 2026?
Hearing required.

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

Revisit status of case after conclusion of hearings on related matters.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Gabriel David Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Joint Debtor(s):

Olivia Luna Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Movant(s):

Gabriel David Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

Olivia Luna Guerrero

Represented By
Thomas B Ure

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:19-14066 David Gomez

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:19-01221 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ex rel. ILWU-PMA v. Gomez

#200.00 Status Conference re: Plaintiff's Motion For Summary Judgment

fr. 2-9-21, 11-9-21, 11-18-21; 5-31-22; 10-25-22; 1-31-23; 5-9-23; 10-17-23;
7-2-24| 1-28-25; 7-29-25; 9-9-25; 1-6-26

Docket 66

Courtroom Deputy:

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative Ruling from February 9, 2021:

Deny motion without prejudice as premature, or continue hearing until state court action has been resolved and debtor's liabilities have been liquidated. Court cannot make a determination that a judgment that has not yet been entered, once entered, will be nondischargeable. Court needs to see what the state court actually decides to ascertain whether or not any judgment for plaintiff that may be produced is nondischargeable. In the alternative, plaintiff could prove up its damages in this adversary proceeding, but plaintiff has not attempted to do so in this motion. At this point, there aren't any debts that can be declared nondischargeable.

Final Ruling for February 9, 2021:

Continue hearing to November 9, 2021 at 2:00 p.m. as a status conference.
(Date later moved by Court to November 18, 2021.)

Tentative Ruling for May 31, 2022:

Plaintiff filed a unilateral status report on May 24, 2022. Where did this form come from? Plaintiff reports that state court action has been stayed until at least November of 2022. Continue status conference until late November, 2022.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... David Gomez

Chapter 7

Final Ruling for May 31, 2022:

Continue status conference to October 25, 2022 at 2:00 p.m. Parties are to file a joint status report by October 11, 2022.

Tentative Ruling for October 25, 2022:

Nothing has been filed since June of 2022. What is the status of the state court action? Hearing required.

Final Ruling for October 25, 2022:

Continue hearing to January 31, 2023 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should serve notice of continuance. Criminal sentencing scheduled to happen on November 22, 2022.

Tentative Ruling for January 31, 2023:

Plaintiff is using the wrong status report form. Parties should use the bankruptcy court's mandatory form for a status report. Perhaps the form that plaintiff used was a district court form?

Discuss with plaintiff an appropriate date for continued status conference.

Final Ruling for January 31, 2023:

Continue status conference to May 9, 2023 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file and serve an updated status report not later than April 25, 2023.

Tentative Ruling for May 9, 2023:

Where is the status report that should have been filed by April 25, 2023? What, if any, progress has been made in the state court action? Hearing required.

Status report was filed May 4, 2023. Counsel for plaintiff reports that the state court matter has been stayed pending criminal sentencing and that

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT...

David Gomez

Chapter 7

sentencing has been scheduled for September 21, 2023. At plaintiff's request, continue status conference on summary judgment motion until October 17, 2023 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file updated status report not later than October 3, 2023. APPEARANCES WAIVED ON MAY 9, 2023.

Tentative Ruling for October 17, 2023:

Court has reviewed plaintiff's status report. If this Court understands correctly, in light of additional continuances granted in state court, no resolution of the related state court matter will occur until June of 2024. Accordingly, continue hearings in this matter to July 2, 2024 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file updated status report not later than June 18, 2024. APPEARANCES WAIVED ON OCTOBER 17, 2023.

Tentative Ruling for July 2, 2024:

Where is the status report that should have been filed by June 18, 2024? Did trial go forward in state court? If so, what was the outcome? If not, why not? Hearing required.

Final Ruling for July 2, 2024:

Continue hearing to January 28, 2025 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file updated status report not later than January 14, 2025. Plaintiff should serve and file notice of continuance.

Tentative Ruling for January 28, 2025:

Status report that should have been filed by January 14, 2025 was filed on January 21, 2025. In light of continuance of hearing in state court action, continue adversary status conference to July 29, 2025 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file an updated status report not later than July 15, 2025.

Tentative Ruling for July 29, 2025:

Plaintiff reports that defendant has been sentenced and that a restitution order has been entered. ILWU-PMA Welfare Plan has until August 10, 2025

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT...

David Gomez

Chapter 7

to seek reconsideration of that order and then the criminal matter will be deemed complete. A status conference has been set for August 11, 2025 in the state court action, at which point the Court will likely set a trial date. In light of this, plaintiff asks that the Court continue this action.

In light of the foregoing, continue this hearing and related status conference to September 9, 2025 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file an updated status report not later than August 26, 2025. APPEARANCES WAIVED ON JULY 29, 2025.

Tentative Ruling for September 9, 2025:

Plaintiff reports that it has filed a motion for reconsideration of the restitution order entered in state court that is set for hearing on October 30, 2025 and that the defendant is likely to seek a continuance of that hearing until December given his schedule. The state court has set a status conference for October 21, 2025. Plaintiff recommends that this court continue its status conference in this matter to December of 2025 or even early 2026.

In light of the foregoing, continue hearing to January 6, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file updated status report not later than December 23, 2025. APPEARANCES WAIVED ON SEPTEMBER 9, 2025.

Tentative Ruling for January 6, 2026:

Where is the updated status report that should have been filed by December 23, 2025? What is the status of this matter? Hearing required.

Final Ruling for January 6, 2026:

There is a hearing set in the debtor's criminal matter for February 13, 2026, to address whether or not that action should remain stayed. Continue hearing to February 24, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file and serve updated status report not later than February 19, 2026. Plaintiff should serve and file notice of continuance.

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... David Gomez

Chapter 7

Plaintiff has served and filed notice of continuance. Did plaintiff file updated status report? What happened at February 13, 2026 hearing?

Party Information

Debtor(s):

David Gomez

Represented By
Raj T Wadhvani

Defendant(s):

David Gomez

Represented By
Warren M Stanton

Movant(s):

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF

Represented By
Michael R Pinkston
Maisie C Sokolove
Christine S Hwang
S Bradley Perkins
Thomas E Fraysse
Elizabeth Medrano
Peter W Saltzman
Justin T Curley
D Ward Kallstrom

ILWU-PMA WELFARE PLAN

Represented By
Michael R Pinkston
Maisie C Sokolove
Christine S Hwang
S Bradley Perkins
Thomas E Fraysse
Elizabeth Medrano
Peter W Saltzman
Justin T Curley
D Ward Kallstrom

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... David Gomez

Chapter 7

Plaintiff(s):

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF

Represented By
Michael R Pinkston
Maisie C Sokolove
Christine S Hwang
S Bradley Perkins
Thomas E Fraysse
Elizabeth Medrano
Peter W Saltzman
Justin T Curley
D Ward Kallstrom

ILWU-PMA WELFARE PLAN

Represented By
Michael R Pinkston
Maisie C Sokolove
Christine S Hwang
S Bradley Perkins
Thomas E Fraysse
Elizabeth Medrano
Peter W Saltzman
Justin T Curley
D Ward Kallstrom

Trustee(s):

Peter J Mastan (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:19-14066 David Gomez

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:19-01221 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ex rel. ILWU-PMA v. Gomez

#201.00 Status Conference re: 62 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)) (68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury)) Complaint by PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ex rel. ILWU-PMA WELFARE PLAN, ILWU-PMA WELFARE PLAN against David Gomez

fr. 9-17-19, 11-5-19, 2-11-20, 4-7-20, 7-14-20, 10-20-20, 1-19-21, 2-9-21, 11-9-21, 11-18-21; 5-31-22; 10-25-22; 1-31-23; 5-9-23; 10-17-23; 7-2-24; 1-28-25; 7-29-25; 9-9-25; 1-6-26

Docket 1

Courtroom Deputy:

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative Ruling for September 17, 2019:

Plaintiff obtained and served alias summons. Response to complaint is not due until September 26, 2019. Continue status conference to November 5, 2019 at 2:00 p.m. APPEARANCES WAIVED ON SEPTEMBER 17, 2019.

Tentative Ruling for November 5, 2019:

A status report is not required when the defendant has not responded to the complaint, and, in any event, counsel should not use Judge Zurzolo's form of status report for this judge. Counsel should also be aware that it is inappropriate for an attorney to file a declaration attesting to his personal knowledge of facts that are not within his personal knowledge. Counsel should have prepared this declaration for his paralegal's signature.

Set deadline for plaintiff to file request for entry of default and motion for entry of default judgment. Continue status conference to coincide with hearing on default judgment motion.

11/6/19 -- Court entered scheduling order setting status conference for February 11, 2020 at

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT...

David Gomez

Chapter 7

2:00 p.m. and setting deadline of January 21, 2020 for defendant to file motion to set aside default.

Tentative Ruling for February 11, 2020:

Continue status conference for approximately 90 days. If defendant fails to file response to complaint within time limit set forth in response to motion on calendar as number 207, plaintiffs should take defendant's default, serve and file a motion for default judgment and set it for hearing at same date and time as continued status conference.

Tentative Ruling for April 7, 2020:

At request of parties, continue status conference to July 14, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. Parties should file joint status report not later than June 30, 2020.
APPEARANCES WAIVED ON APRIL 7, 2020.

Tentative Ruling on July 14, 2020:

This action has been pending for a year, and yet the parties have not yet met and conferred in compliance with LBR 7026-1? Court appreciates that parties may wish to avoid costs associated with discovery, but it is time for this matter to either be resolved or to move forward. Set continued status conference. Require parties to complete a day of mediation prior to date of continued status conference. (There are mediators willing to conduct mediations via Zoom.)

Final Ruling from July 14, 2020:

Defendant did not appear at status conference. Court continued status conference to October 20, 2020 at 2:00 p.m. and directed parties to file joint status report by October 6, 2020. Plaintiff should file and serve a notice of the continued status conference.

Tentative Ruling for October 20, 2020:

Is there any difference between the joint status report filed on October 6, 2020 and that filed on October 7, 2020?

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT...

David Gomez

Chapter 7

Continue status conference to date that can serve as hearing date on motions that plaintiff intends to file.

12/9/20 -- Court approved stipulation continuing hearing to February 9, 2021 at 2:00 p.m. OFF CALENDAR FOR JANUARY 19, 2021.

Tentative Ruling for January 31, 2023:

Revisit status of action after conclusion of hearing on matter no. 203.

Tentative Ruling for May 9, 2023:

Continue status conference to October 17, 2023 at 2:00 p.m. to be heard concurrently with status conference on summary judgment motion. APPEARANCES WAIVED ON MAY 9, 2023.

Tentative Ruling for October 17, 2023:

Court has reviewed plaintiff's status report. If this Court understands correctly, in light of additional continuances granted in state court, no resolution of the related state court matter will occur until June of 2024. Accordingly, continue hearings in this matter to July 2, 2024 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file updated status report not later than June 18, 2024. APPEARANCES WAIVED ON OCTOBER 17, 2023.

Tentative Ruling for January 28, 2025:

Status report that should have been filed by January 14, 2025 was filed on January 21, 2025. In light of continuance of hearing in state court action, continue adversary status conference to July 29, 2025 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file an updated status report not later than July 15, 2025.

Tentative Ruling for July 29, 2025:

Plaintiff reports that defendant has been sentenced and that a restitution order has been entered. ILWU-PMA Welfare Plan has until August 10, 2025 to seek reconsideration of that order and then the criminal matter will be

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT...

David Gomez

Chapter 7

deemed complete. A status conference has been set for August 11, 2025 in the state court action, at which point the Court will likely set a trial date. In light of this, plaintiff asks that the Court continue this action.

In light of the foregoing, continue this hearing and related status conference to September 9, 2025 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file an updated status report not later than August 26, 2025. APPEARANCES WAIVED ON JULY 29, 2025.

Tentative Ruling for September 9, 2025:

Plaintiff reports that it has filed a motion for reconsideration of the restitution order entered in state court that is set for hearing on October 30, 2025 and that the defendant is likely to seek a continuance of that hearing until December given his schedule. The state court has set a status conference for October 21, 2025. Plaintiff recommends that this court continue its status conference in this matter to December of 2025 or even early 2026.

In light of the foregoing, continue hearing to January 6, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file updated status report not later than December 23, 2025. APPEARANCES WAIVED ON SEPTEMBER 9, 2025.

Tentative Ruling for January 6, 2026:

Where is the updated status report that should have been filed by December 23, 2025? What is the status of this matter? Hearing required.

Final Ruling for January 6, 2026:

There is a hearing set in the debtor's criminal matter for February 13, 2026, to address whether or not that action should remain stayed. Continue hearing to February 24, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. Plaintiff should file and serve updated status report not later than February 19, 2026. Plaintiff should serve and file notice of continuance.

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... David Gomez

Chapter 7

Plaintiff has served and filed notice of continuance. Did plaintiff file updated status report? What happened at February 13, 2026 hearing?

Party Information

Debtor(s):

David Gomez

Represented By
Raj T Wadhvani

Defendant(s):

David Gomez

Represented By
Warren M Stanton

Plaintiff(s):

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF

Represented By
Michael R Pinkston
Maisie C Sokolove
Christine S Hwang
S Bradley Perkins
Thomas E Fraysse
Elizabeth Medrano
Peter W Saltzman
Justin T Curley
D Ward Kallstrom

ILWU-PMA WELFARE PLAN

Represented By
Michael R Pinkston
Maisie C Sokolove
Christine S Hwang
S Bradley Perkins
Thomas E Fraysse
Elizabeth Medrano
Peter W Saltzman
Justin T Curley
D Ward Kallstrom

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... David Gomez

Chapter 7

Trustee(s):

Peter J Mastan (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:20-11101 Jessika Andrea Barreto

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01390 Barreto v. Martinez et al

#202.00 Motion to Dismiss Complaint Pursuant to FRCP 12(b)(6) (as Incorporated by FRBP 7012)

Docket 9

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Grant in part and deny in part.

(First claim for relief) Grant motion without leave to amend insofar as it seeks damages for willful violation of the automatic stay. That isn't really what this lawsuit is about. It's about violation of the discharge injunction. Yes, actions taken earlier by defendants in the bankruptcy court were violations of the automatic stay, but the Court remedied those violations by dismissing the action defendants filed. That was more than 5 years ago. If the debtor wanted compensation for damages proximately caused by that stay violation it should have sought it earlier.

(Second claim for relief) Grant motion without leave to amend with regard to claim for damages under section 524(a). That section by itself does not give rise to a claim for damages. The debtor can seek a declaration under this section, but any claim for damages should be brought under section 105.

(Third Claim for Relief) Deny motion. Defendants do not have any "property rights" in the fraudulent transfer claim. This ability to pursue a fraudulent transfer claim is not an interest in property, particularly where, as here, the people trying to prosecute that claim lack standing to do so. The ability to bring an action to avoid an alleged fraudulent transfer is a remedy that a creditor can commence in an effort to enforce a claim, much like an effort to attach a bank account. Once the underlying claim has been discharged, the creditor loses the right to utilize enforcement mechanisms available to

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... **Jessika Andrea Barreto**

Chapter 7

judgment creditors in an effort to enforce their judgments. (The lis pendens doesn't change this result, as, even if a lis pendens gave rise to a lien, the lis pendens wasn't recorded until after the claim was discharged.) All claims that the defendants held were discharged when the debtor received a discharge in bankruptcy on May 11, 2020. There were no "in rem" claims at that point -- only in personam claims. As the Court previously explained, because the transfer alleged to be fraudulent was not scheduled, it was not abandoned to the creditor or to anyone when the case was closed. The court reopened the case because defendants claimed that the trustee was prepared to stipulate to permit them to prosecute the fraudulent transfer claim (and because reopening a case is a purely ministerial act that should only be denied if no useful purpose could be served). However, either the defendants misrepresented the trustee's willingness to stipulate to permitting them to prosecute the claim or the trustee changed his mind, but, in any event, no such stipulation was ever forthcoming, and the case was reclosed. Therefore, the claim remains an asset of the debtor's bankruptcy estate and was never abandoned to defendants, and the defendants' claims were never excepted from the discharge.

The bankruptcy court has exclusive jurisdiction to determine the scope of a bankruptcy discharge and what claims have been discharged by it. To the extent that the state court wrongly interpreted the scope of the debtor's discharge, its ruling is void.

There is no problem with the debtor's asserting that the creditors lack standing to prosecute the fraudulent transfer claim. The defendant in any action can challenge a plaintiff's ability to prosecute a given claim on the ground that the plaintiff lacks standing to prosecute that claim. The defendant need not be the party with standing to prosecute the claim in order to assert this defense. Stated differently, the debtor does not need to be the one with standing to prosecute a fraudulent transfer claim in order to defend against such a claim on the ground that the creditor lacks standing.

(Fourth and Fifth Claims for Relief) Bankruptcy code section 105(a) does permit a bankruptcy court to impose consequential damages and, in an appropriate case, some quantity of punitive damages for a willful violation of the discharge injunction. Here, where the operative principles of law were

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT...

Jessika Andrea Barreto

Chapter 7

explained to the defendants when they first attempted to prosecute this action during the pendency of the bankruptcy and when they sought to reopen the case, it seems highly likely that the debtor will be able to demonstrate that a violation of the discharge injunction occurred and that such violation was knowing and willful.

The Court expresses no view on whether, even though their claims have now been discharged, the trustee might be able to abandon a fraudulent transfer claim to defendants and thereby enable them to prosecute that claim. It is clear, however, that such an abandonment never occurred. And in the absence of that abandonment, defendants have no standing to prosecute the claim.

Further, the court expresses no view as to whether it is now too late under any applicable statutes of limitations for anyone to prosecute the fraudulent transfer claim.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Jessika Andrea Barreto

Represented By

Donald Iwuchukwu

Anthony Obehi Egbase

Defendant(s):

Claudia Margarita Martinez

Represented By

Eamon Jafari

Eamon Jafari

Represented By

Eamon Jafari

DOES 1 Through 50, inclusive

Pro Se

Movant(s):

Claudia Margarita Martinez

Represented By

Eamon Jafari

Eamon Jafari

Represented By

Eamon Jafari

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Jessika Andrea Barreto

Chapter 7

Plaintiff(s):

Jessika Andrea Barreto

Represented By
Anthony Obehi Egbase

Trustee(s):

Sam S Leslie (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:20-11101 Jessika Andrea Barreto

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01390 Barreto v. Martinez et al

#203.00 Status Conference re: 72 (Injunctive relief - other),(91 (Declaratory judgment) Complaint by Jessika Andrea Barreto against Claudia Margarita Martinez, Eamon Jafari.

fr: 2-3-26

Docket 1

Courtroom Deputy:

Tentative Ruling:

Revisit status of action after conclusion of hearing on motion to dismiss.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Jessika Andrea Barreto

Represented By

Donald Iwuchukwu

Anthony Obehi Egbase

Defendant(s):

Claudia Margarita Martinez

Pro Se

Eamon Jafari

Pro Se

DOES 1 Through 50, inclusive

Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Jessika Andrea Barreto

Represented By

Anthony Obehi Egbase

Trustee(s):

Sam S Leslie (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:24-13076 Wanda International Freight (USA) Inc

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01404 Avery v. Wanda International Freight (U.S.A.) Inc. et al

#204.00 Status Conference re: 14 (Recovery of money/property - other),(13 (Recovery of money/property - 548 fraudulent transfer)),(02 (Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy))),(02 (Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy))),(72 (Injunctive relief - other) Complaint by Wesley H. Avery against Wanda International Freight (U.S.A.) Inc., Xiaoyu Liu, Tuger, LP, Yida RL LLC, Ziming Ren, Xiaoying Liu.

Docket 1

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/23/26 - Edward Tu

Tentative Ruling:

2/11/2026 -- Court approved stipulation giving defendant until February 9, 2026 to respond to complaint.

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

Parties have agreed on the following dates:

Discovery cutoff -- May 30, 2026

L/D to file pretrial motions -- July 15, 2026

Pretrial conference -- September 15, 2026 at 2:00 p.m.

In light of the foregoing, set discovery cutoff for May 30, 2026 and continue case status conference until sometime shortly before that date. Refrain from scheduling additional dates at this time.

Parties responded "no" to the question about whether all motions addressed to the claim documents had been resolved. What motions are the parties

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Wanda International Freight (USA) Inc
currently contemplating?

Chapter 7

Hearing required.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Wanda International Freight (USA)	Represented By Vincent Y Lin
-----------------------------------	---------------------------------

Defendant(s):

Wanda International Freight (U.S.A.)	Pro Se
Xiaoyu Liu	Pro Se
Tuger, LP	Pro Se
Yida RL LLC	Pro Se
Ziming Ren	Pro Se
Xiaoying Liu	Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Wesley H. Avery	Represented By Aram Ordubegian Ronghua Wang Shannon Rieger
-----------------	---

Trustee(s):

Wesley H Avery (TR)	Represented By M Douglas Flahaut Ronghua Wang
---------------------	---

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:24-16517 Moto Holding, LLC

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01207 MBL Administrative Agent II LLC et al v. Salter et al

#205.00 Chapter 7 Trustee's Motion for Preliminary Injunction

Docket 34

***** VACATED *** REASON: CONTINUED TO 3-10-26 AT 2PM**

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

2/17/2026 -- Court approved stipulation continuing hearing to March 10, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. OFF CALENDAR FOR FEBRUARY 24, 2026.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Moto Holding, LLC

Represented By
Marc Weitz

Defendant(s):

Maurice Salter

Pro Se

Emre Ucer

Represented By
Dylan J Yamamoto

Ucer LLC

Pro Se

ALADDIN TWO, LLC

Pro Se

FOCUS BUILDERS, INC.

Represented By
Lisa Patel
Matthew A Lesnick

John Does 1-100

Pro Se

Maurice Salter, individually and as

Pro Se

Movant(s):

Peter J Mastan (TR)

Represented By

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Moto Holding, LLC

Chapter 7

Hugh M Ray

Plaintiff(s):

Peter J Mastan

Represented By
Hugh M Ray

MBL Administrative Agent II LLC

Represented By
Robert J Labate
Andrew Michael Cummings
Olivia J. Scott
Andrew M. Cummings

Trustee(s):

Peter J Mastan (TR)

Represented By
Ronald Cheng
Hugh M Ray

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:24-16517 Moto Holding, LLC

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01207 MBL Administrative Agent II LLC v. Salter et al

#205.10 Status Conference re: 02 (Other (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court if unrelated to bankruptcy) Complaint by MBL Administrative Agent II LLC against Maurice Salter, Emre Ucer.

fr: 8-26-25, 9-30-25; 12-2-25; 2-10-26

Docket 1

***** VACATED *** REASON: CONTINUED TO 3-10-26 AT 2PM.
APPEARANCES WAIVED.**

Courtroom Deputy:

ZoomGov Appearance by:

2/23/26 - Andrew Cummings

Tentative Ruling:

Has the summons been served? Responses were due July 28, 2025, and no answer was filed. Hearing required.

8/27/25 -- Court issued order to show cause why action should not be dismissed based on plaintiff's failure to file return of summons (which is now stale) and failure to attend status conference. Hearing on OSC set for September 30, 2025 at 2:00 p.m.

Tentative Ruling for September 30, 2025:

Amended complaint has now been filed and summons has been issued and served, but response to complaint is not due until October 17, 2025. Continue case status conference to December 2, 2025 at 2:00 p.m. Parties should file a joint status report not later than November 18, 2025. Plaintiff should serve a notice of continuance of the status conference that sets forth these dates. APPEARANCES WAIVED ON SEPTEMBER 30, 2025.

Tentative Ruling for December 2, 2025:

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Moto Holding, LLC

Chapter 7

Revisit status of action after conclusion of hearings on motions to dismiss.
Set deadline for parties to complete a day of mediation and date for
continued status conference.

12/15/2025 -- Court approved order on defendants' motions to dismiss and
scheduled following dates:

Parties are to complete a day of mediation by February 13, 2026;
Status conference continued to February 10, 2026 at 2:00 p.m.;
Parties are to file joint status report not later than January 27, 2026;
Plaintiffs shall file second amended complaint by March 2, 2026; and
Defendants shall file and serve a response to the second amended complaint
by March 30, 2026.

2/5/2026 -- Court approved stipulation continuing deadline to complete
mediation to March 2 and extending deadline to file second amended
complaint to March 16. Response will be due 30 days from service of
amended complaint.

Tentative Ruling for February 10, 2026:

The second amended complaint is not due until March 2, 2026 (and the
parties have filed a stipulation to continue this deadline to March 16, 2026).
Continue status conference (as a holding date) to date of hearing on
preliminary injunction -- February 24, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. APPEARANCES
WAIVED ON FEBRUARY 10, 2026.

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

Court continued status conference to date of hearing on preliminary injunction
as a holding date, but hearing on preliminary injunction has now been
continued to March 10, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. Continue status conference to
March 10, 2026 at 10:00 a.m. to be heard concurrently with motion for
preliminary injunction. APPEARANCES WAIVED ON FEBRUARY 24, 2026.

Party Information

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Moto Holding, LLC

Chapter 7

Debtor(s):

Moto Holding, LLC

Represented By
Marc Weitz

Defendant(s):

Maurice Salter

Pro Se

Emre Ucer

Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

MBL Administrative Agent II LLC

Represented By
Robert J Labate
Andrew Michael Cummings
Olivia J. Scott
Andrew M. Cummings

Trustee(s):

Peter J Mastan (TR)

Represented By
Ronald Cheng
Hugh M Ray

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:25-13809 Andrew Michael Autin

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01215 S & G US, Inc. v. Autin et al

#206.00 Yuxuan Yang's Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint

Docket 29

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

(First claim for relief) Section 523(a)(14)(A) excepts from discharge any debt incurred to pay a nondischargeable tax TO a state governmental unit. The debt described in the complaint is one to reimburse the plaintiff for any amounts the plaintiff has to pay arising from or on account of seller's operation of the business. The purpose of this section is to except from discharge a loan that the debtor obtained and used to pay a governmental claim that would otherwise have been nondischargeable. (As explained in the court's prior tentative rulings, this was necessary because a nongovernmental unit was not subrogated to the government's rights when a debtor borrowed money from it and used that money to pay a nondischargeable debt to the government.)

Here, the plaintiff does not allege that the debtor ever used any money that plaintiff lent, paid or gave him to pay a debt to a governmental entity. In fact, there is no allegation that any amounts were ever paid to a governmental entity on account of such a liability. The Court gave the plaintiff a number of opportunities to amend this complaint to properly plead a claim under this section, but plaintiff has failed to do so. Grant motion without leave to amend with regard to claim under section 523(a)(14A).

With regard to second claim for relief, grant motion without leave to amend with regard to the claim that debtor failed to disclose that he owed a substantial amount of taxes. The problem with this claim, in addition to the failure to plead fraud with particularity (there are no details: to whom were the representations made? plaintiff is not a human and plaintiff never identifies the human to whom these representations were made), is that there is no

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT...

Andrew Michael Autin

Chapter 7

writing. The plaintiff's allegations on this subject are as follows:

14. During the negotiation, Plaintiff asked Defendant Yuxuan Yang repeatedly about whether the business owed any debts to any third parties or taxes owed to the government.

15. Defendant Yuxuan Yang repeatedly assured and guaranteed that the restaurant and he did not owe any debts or taxes to anybody in connection with this restaurant.

There is no mention in the complaint anywhere of any written financial statement or any other piece of paper on or through which defendant made these misrepresentations. It does not appear that there was ever a writing of any kind that discussed either the existence or nonexistence of the restaurant's liabilities. Plaintiff has pleaded only oral representations that there were no such obligations. It does not appear from the complaint that the debtor ever provided ANY writings containing any representations about the financial condition of the business.

Bankruptcy Code section 523(a)(2)(A) excepts from discharge a debt for money, property, services, etc. to the extent obtained by "false pretenses, a false representation, or actual fraud, **other than a statement respecting the debtor's or an insider's financial condition.**" A statement that the business doesn't owe anyone any money and doesn't owe any taxes is a statement concerning the financial condition of the business. To form the basis of an exception to discharge, such a statement needs to be in writing, in which event, the creditor can proceed under section 523(a)(2)(B). There is no writing here, and a writing is required as the statements are about the debtor's financial condition, so there is no available exception to discharge. (To be clear, a written statement such as a balance sheet showing assets and liabilities or otherwise purporting to describe the financial condition of the business that omitted the existence of this liability would suffice, but the plaintiff has never even mentioned such a writing and has not provided a copy as an exhibit.)

With regard to plaintiff's contention that the debtor falsely represented that the business had a liquor license, although the existence of such a license may

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT...

Andrew Michael Autin

Chapter 7

have an impact on the debtor's financial condition, the Court is not prepared to conclude that such a representation qualifies as a statement concerning the debtor's financial condition that needs to be in writing. Therefore, the motion should be denied with regard to this theory, at least in part, but is plaintiff saying that it would not have bought the business had it known that it didn't have a liquor license or just that it ended up having to spend money to procure a liquor license? If the latter, the damages suffered are not the purchase price of the business but the damages proximately caused by the misrepresentation, which would be the additional cost that the plaintiff incurred because it had to obtain its own liquor license. And it appears that plaintiff did ultimately obtain a liquor license. (See page 8, at paragraph 42: "Plaintiff has been encountering the slowdown of the market and has difficulty paying the taxes. But the CDTFA has been threatening to revoke his liquor license") On the other hand, paragraph 43 makes it sound as if plaintiff has not yet obtained that license but will need to spend \$20,000 to do so: "Thus, Plaintiff must spend around \$20,000 on additional expenses to obtain a light liquor license from the California government."

Plaintiff has had numerous opportunities to plead its claims for relief clearly and has failed to do so. Based on the manner in which the complaint is currently drafted, the only claim that should survive the motion to dismiss is plaintiff's claim for \$20,000 in damages resulting from its reliance on an allegedly false oral representation that the business had a liquor license, when it did not. Grant motion to dismiss without leave to amend with regard to the balance of the claims asserted in the complaint.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Andrew Michael Autin

Represented By
Nancy Hanna

Defendant(s):

Andrew Michael Autin

Represented By
Fritz J Firman

Yuxuan Yang

Represented By
Fritz J Firman

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... **Andrew Michael Autin**
DOES - 1 through 10, inclusive

Pro Se

Chapter 7

Joint Debtor(s):

Yuxuan Yang

Represented By
Nancy Hanna

Movant(s):

Yuxuan Yang

Represented By
Fritz J Firman

Plaintiff(s):

S & G US, Inc.

Represented By
Steve Luan

Trustee(s):

John P Pringle (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:25-13809 Andrew Michael Autin

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01215 S & G US, Inc. v. Autin et al

#207.00 Status Conference re: 41 (Objection / revocation of discharge - 727(c),(d),(e)) ,(66 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims)) ,(62 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud)) ,(67 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny)) ,(13 (Recovery of money/property - 548 fraudulent transfer) Complaint by S & G US, Inc. against Andrew Michael Autin , Yuxuan Yang , and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

fr: 8-26-25; 9-9-25; 11-25-25

Docket 1

Courtroom Deputy:

Tentative Ruling:

Revisit status of action after conclusion of hearing on motion to dismiss.

9/19/2025 -- Court approved order on motion to dismiss:

1. The claim for relief under 523(a)(4) is hereby dismissed without leave to amend;
2. The claim for relief under section 727 of the Bankruptcy Code is hereby dismissed without leave to amend;
3. The claim under section 523 (a)(1) is dismissed with leave to amend to allege relief under section 532(a)(14) to be filed and served by October 14, 2025.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT...

Andrew Michael Autin

Chapter 7

4. The claim under section 532 (a)(2)(A) is dismissed with leave to amend by filing and serving an amended complaint under 523(a)(2)(A) and or 523(a)(2)(B) on or before October 14, 2025;
5. Defendants have until November 4, 2025 to file a responsive pleading to any amended complaint filed by plaintiff;
6. Status conference continued to November 25, 2025 at 2:00 p.m.;
7. Requirement of a status report is waived.

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

Revisit status of action after conclusion of hearing on motion to dismiss.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Andrew Michael Autin

Represented By
Nancy Hanna

Defendant(s):

Andrew Michael Autin

Pro Se

Yuxuan Yang

Pro Se

DOES - 1 through 10, inclusive

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Andrew Michael Autin

Chapter 7

Joint Debtor(s):

Yuxuan Yang

Represented By
Nancy Hanna

Plaintiff(s):

S & G US, Inc.

Represented By
Steve Luan

Trustee(s):

John P Pringle (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:25-13838 Ashot Gevork Egiazarian

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01197 Menchaca v. Compagnie Monegasque De Banque et al

#208.00 Status Conference re: 12 (Recovery of money/property - 547 preference),(13 (Recovery of money/property - 548 fraudulent transfer),(14 (Recovery of money/property - other)),(72 (Injunctive relief - other)),(91 (Declaratory judgment)

Complaint by John J. Menchaca against Compagnie Monegasque De Banque, Mitra Holdings SA, Lex Thielen, Lex Thielen & Associes, PB Montaigne Attorneys-At-Law Ltd., Suren Yegiarzaryan, Artem Yegiarzaryan

fr: 7-29-25; 11-25-25

Docket 1

***** VACATED *** REASON: CONTINUED TO 6-2-26 AT 2PM.
APPEARANCES WAIVED.**

Courtroom Deputy:

Tentative Ruling:

6/11/2025 -- Court approved stipulation continuing defendant's deadline to respond to complaint to July 21, 2025.

7/23/2025 -- Court approved stipulation continuing deadline for defendant Suren Egiazaryan only to respond to complaint to August 20, 2025.

Tentative Ruling for July 29, 2025:

Suren has been given an extension to respond to August 20. Defendants outside the US have not yet been served. Artem has been served but has not answered. His response to the complaint was due June 20, 2025. Does plaintiff intend to take his default?

Hearing required.

8/21/2025 -- Court approved stipulation extending Suren's deadline to respond to complaint to September 10, 2025.

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Ashot Gevork Egiazarian

Chapter 7

Tentative Ruling for November 25, 2025:

A default has been entered against Artem. Suren has answered the complaint. Set deadline for CMB to file answer to complaint. Does court need to set deadline for PBM to file answer to complaint?

Set deadline for CMB to file motion to compel arbitration and continue status conference to date of hearing on that motion.

12/8/2025 -- Court approved stipulation extending deadline for CMB to respond to complaint February 2, 2026.

2/2/2026 -- Court approved stipulation extending deadline for CMB to respond to complaint March 2, 2026.

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

As of 4 p.m. on February 19, 2026, CMB had not filed a response to the complaint and CMB's motion for leave to file an interlocutory appeal is still pending at the district court. At trustee's request, continue status conference to June 2, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. Parties should file updated status report not later than May 19, 2026. APPEARANCES WAIVED ON FEBRUARY 24, 2026.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Ashot Gevork Egiazarian

Represented By
David B Golubchik

Defendant(s):

Compagnie Monegasque De Banque

Pro Se

Mitra Holdings SA

Pro Se

Lex Thielen

Pro Se

Lex Thielen & Associes

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Ashot Gevork Egiazarian Chapter 7

PB Montaigne Attorneys-At-Law Pro Se

Suren Yegiarzaryan Pro Se

Artem Yegiarzaryan Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

John J. Menchaca Represented By
Aaron J Malo

Trustee(s):

John J Menchaca (TR) Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:25-14421 Aaron Glenn Wilson Hills

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01349 Integrity Newspapers, Inc. v. Hills

#209.00 Status Conference re: 67 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny)),(68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury) Complaint by Integrity Newspapers, Inc. against Aaron Glenn Wilson Hills.

fr: 11-4-25; 1-27-26

Docket 1

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative Ruling for November 4, 2026:

The docket does not reflect the filing of a proof of service demonstrating that the summons and complaint were ever served. If the summons and complaint were not served within 7 days after issuance, the summons has become stale and plaintiff will need to obtain a replacement summons. (Note: Plaintiff does not have a right to a jury trial. Actions to have claims excepted from the discharge under section 523 are inherently equitable in nature as to which there would not have been a right to a jury trial in the courts of England prior to the merger of law and equity.)

This hearing has been continued to January 27, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. in accordance with the *Shutdown Plan*, which was adopted by the Court pursuant to Volume 13, Chapter 2, Section 230.50 of the *Guide to Judiciary Policy* and the Office of General Counsel's memorandum dated October 13, 2023, to address a lapse in appropriations for the Judiciary. This hearing may be continued further, from time to time, in accordance with the *Shutdown Plan*. Pursuant to the Anti-Deficiency Act, certain work may continue during a lapse of appropriations if it involves an "emergenc[y] involving the safety of human life or the protection of property." If you believe that this matter constitutes such an emergency, you may file a written application to be

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Aaron Glenn Wilson Hills

Chapter 7

heard by the Court sooner than the specified continuation date.

OFF CALENDAR FOR NOVEMBER 4, 2025.

Tentative Ruling for January 27, 2026:

Defendant (acting in propria persona) has filed a motion requesting a 60 day extension of time to respond to the complaint. Defendant contends that he did not learn about the existence of this action until he received the court's November 10, 2025 notice of continuance due to government shut down.

In other words, as of the date of the hearing, defendant has already had approximately two and a half months to file and serve a response to the complaint. Court will not give the defendant an additional 60 days. Grant motion in part. Give defendant to and including February 20, 2026 to file and serve a response to the complaint and continue status conference until approximately 30 to 45 days thereafter.

Final Ruling for January 27, 2026:

Plaintiff did not appear at status conference. Court will issue an order granting defendant until March 13, 2026 to respond to complaint and will issue an order to show cause why the action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute based on plaintiff's failure to attend status conference. Hearing on OSC will be February 24, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. Responses will be due by February 10, 2026. Status conference will be continued to same date and time. Requirement that a status report be filed is waived for the February 24 conference.

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

Revisit status of action after conclusion of hearing on Order to Show Cause.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Aaron Glenn Wilson Hills

Represented By
Raj T Wadhvani

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Aaron Glenn Wilson Hills

Chapter 7

Defendant(s):

Aaron Glenn Wilson Hills

Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Integrity Newspapers, Inc.

Represented By
Jeffrey M Cohon

Trustee(s):

Brad D Krasnoff (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:25-14421 Aaron Glenn Wilson Hills

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01349 Integrity Newspapers, Inc. v. Hills

#209.10 Order (i) To Show Cause Why Adversary Proceeding Should Not Be Dismissed;
(ii) Continuing Status Conference; And (iii) Granting In Part Defendants Motion
To Extend Time To Respond To Complaint

Docket 7

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

NOTE: Defendant's motion to dismiss is not on calendar for February 24, 2026 in that defendant did not file its motion until February 13, 2026.

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

Plaintiff has not filed a response to the Court's Order to Show Cause.
Dismiss action for failure to prosecute based on plaintiff's failure to attend
prior status conference and failure to respond to court's order to show cause.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Aaron Glenn Wilson Hills

Represented By
Raj T Wadhvani

Defendant(s):

Aaron Glenn Wilson Hills

Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Integrity Newspapers, Inc.

Represented By
Jeffrey M Cohon

Trustee(s):

Brad D Krasnoff (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:25-14577 David W Allan

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01350 Justice v. Allan et al

#210.00 Order (i) To Show Cause Why Adversary Proceeding Should Not Be Dismissed and (ii) Continuing Status Conference

Docket 6

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

As of status conference held January 27, 2026, plaintiff had failed to serve the summons and complaint, and plaintiff failed to appear at the status conference. The court therefore issued this order to show cause.

As of 4 p.m. on February 19, 2026, docket still does not reflect service of the summons and complaint and does not reflect that a response to the OSC was filed by the deadline established by the Court (February 10, 2026) or at all. Dismiss action for failure to prosecute.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

David W Allan

Represented By
Joseph L Pittera

Defendant(s):

David W Allan

Pro Se

DOES 1-20, Inclusive

Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Robert William Justice

Represented By
Nathan Soleimani, Esq

Trustee(s):

Carolyn A Dye (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... David W Allan

Chapter 7

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:25-14577 David W Allan

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01350 Justice v. Allan et al

#211.00 Status Conference re: 68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury; Complaint by Robert William Justice against David W Allan

fr: 11-4-25; 1-27-26

Docket 1

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Tentative Ruling for November 4, 2026:

The docket does not reflect the filing of a proof of service demonstrating that the summons and complaint were ever served. If the summons and complaint were not served within 7 days after issuance, the summons has become stale and plaintiff will need to obtain a replacement summons.

This hearing has been continued to January 27, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. in accordance with the *Shutdown Plan*, which was adopted by the Court pursuant to Volume 13, Chapter 2, Section 230.50 of the *Guide to Judiciary Policy* and the Office of General Counsel's memorandum dated October 13, 2023, to address a lapse in appropriations for the Judiciary. This hearing may be continued further, from time to time, in accordance with the *Shutdown Plan*. Pursuant to the Anti-Deficiency Act, certain work may continue during a lapse of appropriations if it involves an "emergenc[y] involving the safety of human life or the protection of property." If you believe that this matter constitutes such an emergency, you may file a written application to be heard by the Court sooner than the specified continuation date.

OFF CALENDAR FOR NOVEMBER 4, 2025.

Tentative Ruling for January 27, 2026:

Nothing appears on the docket after the Court's November 10, 2026 Notice of

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... David W Allan

Chapter 7

Continuance due to Government Shutdown and the certificate of service thereof. The Court's original tentative ruling from November 4, 2025 remains unchanged: *The docket does not reflect the filing of a proof of service demonstrating that the summons and complaint were ever served. If the summons and complaint were not served within 7 days after issuance, the summons has become stale and plaintiff will need to obtain a replacement summons.*

Final Ruling for January 27, 2026:

Plaintiff did not appear at status conference. Court will issue an order to show cause why the action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute based on plaintiff's failure to attend status conference. Hearing on OSC will be February 24, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. Responses will be due by February 10, 2026. Status conference will be continued to same date and time. Requirement that a status report be filed is waived for the February 24 conference.

Tentative Ruling for February 24, 2026:

Revisit status of action after conclusion of hearing on order to show cause.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

David W Allan

Represented By
Joseph L Pittera

Defendant(s):

David W Allan

Pro Se

DOES 1-20, Inclusive

Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Robert William Justice

Represented By
Nathan Soleimani, Esq

Trustee(s):

Carolyn A Dye (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT...

David W Allan

Chapter 7

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:25-15052 Kevin D. Colten

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01409 Dye, Chapter 7 Trustee v. Schwartz et al

#212.00 Status Conference re: 14 (Recovery of money/property - other),(21 (Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property)),(91 (Declaratory judgment) Complaint by Carolyn A. Dye, Chapter 7 Trustee against Eddie Shlomo Schwartz, Ahavah Schwartz.

Docket 1

***** VACATED *** REASON: CONTINUED TO 3-31-26 AT 2PM.**

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

1/14/26 -- Court approved stipulation continuing status conference to March 31, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. and giving defendants until February 13, 2026 to file an answer to the complaint. OFF CALENDAR FOR FEBRUARY 24, 2026.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Kevin D. Colten

Represented By
Lazaro E Fernandez

Defendant(s):

Eddie Shlomo Schwartz

Pro Se

Ahavah Schwartz

Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Carolyn A. Dye, Chapter 7 Trustee

Represented By
Eric P Israel

Trustee(s):

Carolyn A Dye (TR)

Represented By
Eric P Israel

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:25-17828 Ben Armando Benavides

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01402 Wong v. Benavides

#213.00 Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings to Complaint to Determine Dischargeability of Debt

Docket 8

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Grant motion (with leave to amend) with regard to claims under section 523(a)(2)(A). Complaint needs to plead fraud with particularity and does not allege what representations were made to the plaintiff when, that defendant knew they were false, that plaintiff justifiably relied on these representations, what damage was proximately caused by any such representations, and so on.

Although the judgment obtained prepetition sets a damage amount for the breach of contract claims, it does not, for example, include a determination of how much money was advanced for materials in reliance on a false representation. Complaint just says that all or part of the judgment amount is nondischargeable under section 523(a)(2)(A). This is not sufficient. How much did the debtor advance when in reliance on what representations? And does this claim seek to recover any of the rents debtor is alleged to have collected? If so, how much and on what theory? Is the plaintiff also claiming that she was induced to enter into the contract through false representations?

With regard to second claim for relief (under section 523(a)(4)), court agrees with movant that the complaint does not plead and plaintiff cannot establish on these facts a nondischargeable claim for breach of fiduciary duty within the meaning of this section. There is no fiduciary duty as between a property owner and a contractor and no agreement attached contains language sufficient to create an actual trust res.

However, the conversion/diversion of rents from the plaintiff could amount to

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... **Ben Armando Benavides**

Chapter 7

a claim for embezzlement or larceny, but the complaint does not provide sufficient information for the court to make this determination. Was the contractor authorized to collect rents on the landlord's behalf, but then kept the rents that he collected? (That could amount to embezzlement.) Did he represent to tenants that he had authority to collect the rents when he didn't and then retain collections for his own benefit? (That could amount to larceny.) And how much did the debtor wrongfully collect? For what period(s)? From which tenants? Grant motion with leave to amend with regard to claims based on larceny and embezzlement.

Grant the motion with leave to amend with regard to the claim under section 523(a)(6). This claim incorporates the prior allegations and claims that these allegations support the nondischargeability of the debt evidenced by its judgment. However, the allegations incorporated lack any specificity as to the amounts attributable to the allegedly willful and malicious conduct and, although the state court judgment reflects (and appears to be entitled to preclusive effect) as to the amounts owed for breach of contract, there are no specific factual findings that the Court can use to determine whether any or all of these amounts were proximately caused by nondischargeable conduct.

Note 1: Plaintiff is not precluded at this point from attempting to state claims for fraud or other conduct that can give rise to nondischargeable liability merely because her claims were not pleaded in this manner in the state court action.

Note 2: If the damages awarded by the state court are for breach of contract and plaintiff was attempting to prove fraud in the inducement (that is, that, but for specified false representations made by the defendant on which plaintiff relied *in entering into the contract*, she would not have entered into the contract), the damages awarded by the state court might well be the appropriate measure of plaintiff's damages, but that does not seem to be what is going on here.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Ben Armando Benavides

Represented By
Raj T Wadhvani

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

CONT... Ben Armando Benavides

Chapter 7

Defendant(s):

Ben Armando Benavides

Represented By
Baruch C Cohen

Movant(s):

Ben Armando Benavides

Represented By
Baruch C Cohen

Plaintiff(s):

Stephanie Wong

Represented By
Thomas A. Buckley

Trustee(s):

Jason M Rund (TR)

Pro Se

**United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Los Angeles
Sheri Bluebond, Presiding
Courtroom 1539 Calendar**

Tuesday, February 24, 2026

Hearing Room 1539

2:00 PM

2:25-17828 Ben Armando Benavides

Chapter 7

Adv#: 2:25-01402 Wong v. Benavides

#214.00 Status Conference re: 67 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny)),(68 (Dischargeability - 523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury) Complaint by Stephanie Wong against Ben Armando Benavides

Docket 1

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

Revisit status of action after conclusion of hearing on motion for judgment on the pleadings.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Ben Armando Benavides

Represented By
Raj T Wadhvani

Defendant(s):

Ben Armando Benavides

Pro Se

Plaintiff(s):

Stephanie Wong

Represented By
Thomas A. Buckley

Trustee(s):

Jason M Rund (TR)

Pro Se