Tuesday, December 1, 2020	Hearing Room	225

<u>2:00 PM</u>

6:03-22114 Michael Gene Gallup and Debra Ann Gallup

Chapter 7

#1.00

CONT Hrg. on Order to Show Cause why this case should not be re-closed on the ground that no valid cause of action regarding an implanted medical device existed on the petition date of August 13, 2003

(Cont. from 9/22/20)

Docket 0 *** VACATED *** REASON: NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF MOTION FILED 11/09/20 - SLH

Tentative Ruling:

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Michael Gene Gallup

Represented By Judy Driver - INACTIVE -

Joint Debtor(s):

Debra Ann Gallup

Trustee(s):

Lynda T. Bui (TR)

Represented By Judy Driver - INACTIVE -

Pro Se

Tuesday, De	cember 1, 2020	Hearing Room	225
<u>2:00 PM</u> 6:17-17195	Luis A. Jimenez and Maria T. Jimenez	Cha	apter 7
#2.00	Hrg. on trustee's final report; applications for compensation	on	
	[Larry D. Simons, chapter 7 trustee] [Fees; \$988.25; Expenses; \$19.25]		

Docket 0

Tentative Ruling:

In view of the Pandemic, in-person appearances at hearings are expressly prohibited at the time, and any person who is required to appear at a hearing or who desires to appear at a hearing must appear telephonically through CourtCall. If a tentative ruling states "Appearances not required", this does not mean that appearances are prohibited, but if a person desires to appear, such appearance must be telephonic and cannot be in person. Persons appearing telephonically are highly encouraged to use a landline for higher reception and clarity, and the use of speaker phones is prohibited.

APPEARANCES NOT REQUIRED.

In a case under chapter 7 or 11, the court may allow reasonable compensation under § 330 to the trustee for the trustee's services, payable after the trustee renders such services, not to exceed 25% on the first \$5,000 or less, 10% on any amount in excess of \$5,000 but not in excess of \$50,000, 5% on any amount in excess of \$50,000 but not in excess of \$1,000,000, and reasonable compensation not to exceed 3% of such moneys in excess of \$1,000,000, upon all moneys disbursed or turned over in the case by the trustee to parties in interest, excluding the debtor, but including holders of secured claims. 11 U.S.C. § 326(a).

The Court finds that the Trustee's requested compensation meets the requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 326(a) and represents reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered in the administration of this estate.

Tuesday, December 1, 2020

Hearing Room 225

Chapter 7

<u>2:00 PM</u>

CONT... Luis A. Jimenez and Maria T. Jimenez

The compensation is approved as to the Trustee, with fees in the amount of \$988.25 and expenses in the amount of \$19.25.

Bond payments to International sureties are approved.

CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE TO LODGE ORDER VIA LOU WITHIN 7 DAYS.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Luis A. Jimenez

Joint Debtor(s):

Maria T. Jimenez

Represented By C Scott Rudibaugh

C Scott Rudibaugh

Represented By

Trustee(s):

Larry D Simons (TR)

Pro Se

11/30/2020 10:44:28 AM

Fuesday, De	ecember 1, 2020	Hearing Room	225
<u>2:00 PM</u> 6 :19-11097	Edith Rodriguez	Cha	pter 7
#3.00	Hrg. on trustee's final report; applications for compensation	on	
	[Howard Grobstein, chapter 7 trustee] [Fees; \$1319.30; Expenses; \$0]		

Docket 0

Tentative Ruling:

In view of the Pandemic, in-person appearances at hearings are expressly prohibited at the time, and any person who is required to appear at a hearing or who desires to appear at a hearing must appear telephonically through CourtCall. If a tentative ruling states "Appearances not required", this does not mean that appearances are prohibited, but if a person desires to appear, such appearance must be telephonic and cannot be in person. Persons appearing telephonically are highly encouraged to use a landline for higher reception and clarity, and the use of speaker phones is prohibited.

APPEARANCES NOT REQUIRED.

In a case under chapter 7 or 11, the court may allow reasonable compensation under § 330 to the trustee for the trustee's services, payable after the trustee renders such services, not to exceed 25% on the first \$5,000 or less, 10% on any amount in excess of \$5,000 but not in excess of \$50,000, 5% on any amount in excess of \$50,000 but not in excess of \$1,000,000, and reasonable compensation not to exceed 3% of such moneys in excess of \$1,000,000, upon all moneys disbursed or turned over in the case by the trustee to parties in interest, excluding the debtor, but including holders of secured claims. 11 U.S.C. § 326(a).

The Court finds that the Trustee's requested compensation meets the requirements of 11 U.S.C. § 326(a) and represents reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered in the administration of this estate.

Tuesday, December 1, 2020

Hearing Room 225

2:00 PM

CONT... **Edith Rodriguez**

Chapter 7 The compensation is approved as to the Trustee, with fees in the amount of \$1,319.30 and expenses in the amount of \$0.00.

CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE TO LODGE ORDER VIA LOU WITHIN 7 DAYS.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Edith Rodriguez

Represented By David Lozano

Trustee(s):

Howard B Grobstein (TR)

Pro Se

Tuesday, December 1, 2020	Hearing Room	225

<u>2:00 PM</u>

6:19-18367 Affordable Auto Repair, Inc.

Chapter 11

#4.00

Hrg. on Debtor's Objection to Claim No. 6 of California Department of Tax and Fee Administration

Docket 148

Tentative Ruling:

In view of the Pandemic, in-person appearances at hearings are expressly prohibited at the time, and any person who is required to appear at a hearing or who desires to appear at a hearing must appear telephonically through CourtCall. If a tentative ruling states "Appearances not required", this does not mean that appearances are prohibited, but if a person desires to appear, such appearance must be telephonic and cannot be in person. Persons appearing telephonically are highly encouraged to use a landline for higher reception and clarity, and the use of speaker phones is prohibited.

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES REQUIRED.

The California Department of Tax and Fee Administration ("CDTFA") filed a tax claim (Claim 6) on or about July 3, 2020, largely for sales and use taxes, in this case. Following an audit, the CDTFA filed an amended claim in a larger amount than the amount stated on the original claim. Debtor Affordable Auto Repair, Inc. ("Debtor") objects to the CDTFA amended claim on the ground that there is no factual basis for it "because there had no yet been any audit" (i.e., the amended claim was filed before the audit was conducted).

The facts here show that a CDTFA representative executed the amended claim on October 14, 2020 and filed it in this Court on October 14, 2020.

Documentation introduced into evidence by the CDTFA shows that Debtor was

Tuesday, December 1, 2020

Hearing Room 225

<u>2:00 PM</u>

CONT... Affordable Auto Repair, Inc.

notified of the pending audit of the tax periods January 1, 2018 through and including March 31, 2020 by the CDTFA by letter dated September 9, 2020, that the audit was conducted during the month of September 2020 and culminated in the issuance of a Notice of Determination dated September 15, 2020.

To be sure, this is only one of the many tax periods for which the CDTFA is seeking payment of \$106,355.03 in amended Claim 6. Amended Claim 6 also seeks payment for tax periods in 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018 (full year, not just the first quarter) and part of 2019. The CDTFA provides no evidence of when audits for these tax periods were performed.

In this situation, the allocation of the burden of proof becomes of critical importance. Under rules applicable to non-tax claims, the burden of proof is on the claimant if the party objecting to the claim produces evidence equal or greater in probative force to the evidence shown in the proof of claim. However, the rule for tax claims is entirely different. The Supreme Court of the United States determined in *Raleigh v. Illinois Department of Revenue*, 530 U.S. 15, 120 S. Ct. 1951, 147 L. Ed. 2d 13 (2007) that the burden of proof with respect to allowance or disallowance of tax claims is determined on the basis of applicable non-bankruptcy tax law. California allocates the burden of proof here and has failed to carry that burden. Debtor has failed to prove that the proposed assessments of taxes and interest set forth in the Notices of Determination are incorrect or invalid.

Accordingly, the objection to Claim 6 is overruled. Claim 6 is allowed in its full amount.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Affordable Auto Repair, Inc.

Represented By Michael Jones Sara Tidd

Page 7 of 14

Chapter 11

Tuesday, December 1, 2020

Hearing Room 225

<u>2:00 PM</u> CONT...

Chapter 11

Affordable Auto Repair, Inc.

Tuesday, December 1, 2020	Hearing Room	225

<u>2:00 PM</u>

6:19-18367 Affordable Auto Repair, Inc.

Chapter 11

#5.00

Hrg. on Debtor's Objection to Claim No. 10 of California Dept. of Tax and Fee Administration

Docket 149

Tentative Ruling:

In view of the Pandemic, in-person appearances at hearings are expressly prohibited at the time, and any person who is required to appear at a hearing or who desires to appear at a hearing must appear telephonically through CourtCall. If a tentative ruling states "Appearances not required", this does not mean that appearances are prohibited, but if a person desires to appear, such appearance must be telephonic and cannot be in person. Persons appearing telephonically are highly encouraged to use a landline for higher reception and clarity, and the use of speaker phones is prohibited.

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES REQUIRED.

The California Department of Tax and Fee Administration ("CDTFA") filed a tax claim (Claim 10) on or about May 27, 2020 in this case. Following an audit, on October 14, 2020, the CDTFA filed an amended claim in a larger amount than the amount stated on the original claim. The Court assigned Claim No. 10-3 to this amended claim. Debtor Affordable Auto Repair, Inc. ("Debtor") objects to claim 10-3 on the ground that there is no factual basis for it "because there had no yet been any audit" (i.e., the amended claim was filed before the audit was conducted).

The facts here show that Claim 10 was amended again through an amended proof of claim filed in this Court on November 4, 2020 (thus becoming Claim 10-4). The difference between Claim 10-3 and Claim 10-4 is that Claim 10-4 adds a claim in the

Tuesday, December 1, 2020

Hearing Room 225

<u>2:00 PM</u>

CONT... Affordable Auto Repair, Inc.

amount of \$20,255.32 with respect to the tax period July 1, 2020 through September 30, 2020.

Chapter 11

The Court determines that Claim 10-3 – the claim to which Debtor has objected – has been superseded by Claim 10-4. The Court therefore disallows Claim 10-3 in its entirety as a superseded claim. Claim 10-4 – to which Debtor has <u>not</u> objected – remains outstanding in its full amount. All rights are reserved to Debtor to object to Claim 10-4.

Debtor to lodge order.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Affordable Auto Repair, Inc.

Represented By Michael Jones Sara Tidd

Tuesday, De	cember 1, 2020	Hearing Room	225
<u>2:00 PM</u> 6:20-12017	Jorge Antonio Zendejas and Rosa Isela Zendejas	C	hapter 7
#6.00	Hrg. on Motion for Turnover of Estate Property held by de USC § 542(a) and (e)	ebtors pursuant	to 11

Docket 21

Tentative Ruling:

In view of the Pandemic, in-person appearances at hearings are expressly prohibited at the time, and any person who is required to appear at a hearing or who desires to appear at a hearing must appear telephonically through CourtCall. If a tentative ruling states "Appearances not required", this does not mean that appearances are prohibited, but if a person desires to appear, such appearance must be telephonic and cannot be in person. Persons appearing telephonically are highly encouraged to use a landline for higher reception and clarity, and the use of speaker phones is prohibited.

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES REQUIRED.

The Court intends to grant the Motion.

CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE TO LODGE ORDER VIA LOU WITHIN 7 DAYS.

Party Information			
Debtor(s):			
Jorge Antonio Zendejas	Represented By Daniel King		
<u>Joint Debtor(s):</u>			
Rosa Isela Zendejas	Represented By Daniel King		

Tuesday, December 1, 2020		Hearing Room	225
2:00 PMCONTJorge Antonio Zendejas and Ro	sa Isela Zendejas	Cha	pter 7
<u>Movant(s):</u> Larry D Simons (TR)	Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s):</u>			
Larry D Simons (TR)	Pro Se		

Tuesday, December 1, 2020		Hearing Room	225
<u>2:00 PM</u> 6:20-12274	Absolute Care Assisted Living & Memory Care, LLC	Chap	ter 11
#7 00			

#7.00

Hrg. on Motion for an order approving post petition loan with third party lender pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 364(b)

Docket 73

Tentative Ruling:

In view of the Pandemic, in-person appearances at hearings are expressly prohibited at the time, and any person who is required to appear at a hearing or who desires to appear at a hearing must appear telephonically through CourtCall. If a tentative ruling states "Appearances not required", this does not mean that appearances are prohibited, but if a person desires to appear, such appearance must be telephonic and cannot be in person. Persons appearing telephonically are highly encouraged to use a landline for higher reception and clarity, and the use of speaker phones is prohibited.

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES REQUIRED.

Pursuant to <u>Sherman v. Habin (In re Habin)</u>, 486 F.3d 570 (9th Cir. 2007), the Court grants retroctive approval of the postpetition financing transaction proposed here. The Court exercises its equitable powers in this regard, finding that the four criteria set forth in <u>Sherman</u> have been met (or are not applicable).

DEBTOR TO LODGE ORDER VIA LOU WITHIN 7 DAYS.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Absolute Care Assisted Living &

Represented By Robert S Altagen

Tuesday, I	December 1, 2020		Hearing Room	225
<u>2:00 PM</u> CONT	Absolute Care Assisted Living	& Memory Care, LLC	Chap	oter 11
<u>Movant</u> Abs	(<u>s):</u> solute Care Assisted Living &	Represented By Robert S Altagen		