Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

<u>9:30 AM</u> 8:00-00000

Chapter

#0.00 All hearings on this calendar will be conducted remotely, using ZoomGov video and audio.

Parties in interest and members of the public may connect to the video and audio feeds, free of charge, using the connection information provided below.

Individuals may participate by ZoomGov video and audio using a personal computer (equipped with camera, microphone and speaker), or a handheld mobile device (such as an iPhone or Android phone). Individuals may opt to participate by audio only using a telephone (standard telephone charges may apply).

Neither a Zoom nor a ZoomGov account is necessary to participate and no pre-registration is required. The audio portion of each hearing will be recorded electronically by the Court and constitutes its official record.

Video/audio web address: https://cacb.zoomgov.com/j/1610487100			
ZoomGov meeting number:	161 048 7100		
Password:	279013		
Telephone conference lines: 7666	1 (669) 254 5252 or 1 (646) 828		

For more information on appearing before Judge Smith by ZoomGov,

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

<u>9:30 AM</u> CONT...

Chapter

please see the "Notice of Video and Telephonic Appearance Procedures for Judge Erithe A. Smith's Cases" on the Court's website at: https://www.cacb.uscourts.gov/judges/honorable-erithe-smith under the "Telephonic Instructions" section.

To assist in creating a proper record and for the efficiency of these proceedings, please:

- Connect 10 minutes before your hearing time so that you have time to check in.
- Change your Zoom name to include your calendar number, first initial and last name, and client name *(ex. 5, R. Smith, ABC Corp.)* if appearing by video. This can be done by clicking on "More" and "Rename" from the Participants list or by clicking on the three dots on your video tile.
- Mute your audio to minimize background noise unless and until it is your turn to speak. Consider turning your video off until it is your turn to appear.
- Say your name every time you speak.
- Disconnect from the meeting by clicking "Leave" when you have completed your appearance(s).

Docket 0

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

<u>9:30 AM</u> CONT...

- NONE LISTED -

Chapter

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

<u>9:30 AM</u>

8:13-17920 Donald Woo Lee

Adv#: 8:14-01220 Lee et al v. Ciling et al

Chapter 7

 #1.00 PRE-TRIAL RE: First Amended Verified Adversary Complaint for: 1. Fraudulent Transfer Pursuant to California Civil Code Section 3439-3439, 12; 2. Fraud; 3. Breach of Contract; 4. Accounting; 5. Constructive Trust; 6. Preliminary and Permanent Injunction; 7. Conversion; 8. Breach of Fiduciary Duty; 9. Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing; and 10. Involuntary Dissolution of Defendant Fallbrook Diagnostics, Inc.

FR: 3-12-15; 4-7-15; 6-18-15; 8-18-15; 12-15-15; 4-14-16; 9-1-16; 6-22-17; 8-31-17; 4-12-18; 10-18-18; 12-13-18; 2-12-19; 3-12-19; 6-20-19; 9-19-19; 10-3-19; 11-7-19; 1-30-20

Docket 59

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

November 7, 2019

The status conference will be continued to January 30, 2020 at 9:30 a.m.; joint status report must be filed by January 16, 2020. (XX)

January 30, 2020

Discovery Cut-off Date: Deadline to Attend Mediation: Pretrial Conference Date: Deadline to File Joint Pretrial Stipulation: June 30, 2020 Aug. 31, 2020 Oct. 8, 2020 at 9:30 a.m. (XX) Sept. 24, 2020

Note: If <u>all</u> parties agree with the foregoing schedule, appearances at today's hearing are <u>waived</u> and Plaintiffs shall serve/lodge a scheduling order consistent with the same.

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

9:30 AM CONT... Donald Woo Lee

Chapter 7

October 8, 2020

[Special Notice: This hearing is being conducted by Zoomgov. See the first page of the calendar for today's hearings for participation details.]

Continue as a STATUS CONFERENCE to February 18, 2021 at 9:30 a.m.; an updated Joint Status report must be filed by February 4, 2021. A new pretrial conference will be scheduled at the February 18, 2021 Status Conference. In the meantime, Defendants Sammy and Anke Ciling must provide Rule 26 disclosures to Plaintiff no later than November 9, 2020 and the parties must attend mediation no later than December 18, 2020. (XX)

Basis for Tentative Ruling:

1. The continued hearing date, February 18, 2021 takes into account the current January 19, 2021 sentencing date of Plaintiff Donald Lee ("Lee").

2. Defendant Sammy Ciling ("Ciling") has requested dismissal of the adversary proceeding due to the anticipated sentencing of Lee. First, the request is not properly before the court as it was not presented as a noticed motion in accordancee with applicable federal and local rules. Second, absent evidence that a plaintiff is unable to participate in litigation while incarcerated, such incarceration alone is not a basis for dismissal of a civil action. Accordingly, the request for dismissal is denied.

3. Defendants Ciling and Mrs. Ciling must comply with Rule 26 disclosure requirements.

4. The attendance at mediation is mandatory. Despite pandemic restrictions, mediations are now routinely conducted by video conference. Therefore, there should be no reason why mediation cannot take place in the timeframe set by the court.

Note: If ALL parties accept the foregoing tentative ruling, appearances at this hearing are not required and Plaintiff shall, within 7 days, lodge an order consistent with the tentative ruling.

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	5A
9:30 AM CONT Donald Woo Lee		Cha	pter 7
Party 1	Information		
<u>Debtor(s):</u>			
Donald Woo Lee	Represented By Robert B Rosenstein		
Defendant(s):			
American Edge Medical Co.	Represented By Marc C Forsythe		
Turko United LLC	Pro Se		
Nath Investments Inc.	Represented By Marc C Forsythe		
My Imaging Center Inc.	Represented By Marc C Forsythe		
Medical Imaging Rentals, Inc.	Represented By Marc C Forsythe		
My Imaging Center LLC	Pro Se		
Lake Elsinore Diagnostics Inc.	Pro Se		
Temecula Diagnostic Center Inc.	Pro Se		
Anke Ciling	Pro Se		
Sammy Ciling	Pro Se		
Fallbrook Diagnostics Inc.	Pro Se		
Joint Debtor(s):			
Linda Bae Lee	Represented By Robert B Rosenstein		
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>			

Prime Partners Medical Group, Inc.

Represented By

Page 6 of 41

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	
9:30 AM CONT Donald Woo Lee	Norma Ann Dawson Robert B Rosenstein	Cha	apter 7
Donald Woo Lee	Represented By Norma Ann Dawson Robert B Rosenstein		
Linda Bae Lee	Represented By Norma Ann Dawson Robert B Rosenstein		
<u>Trustee(s):</u>			
Richard A Marshack (TR)	Represented By Kyra E Andrassy David Wood Matthew Grimshaw Nathan F Smith Arturo M Cisneros Norma Ann Dawson Robert S Lawrence Caroline Djang Brett Ramsaur		

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

Chapter 11

<u>9:30 AM</u> **8:17-10706 John Jean Bral** Adv#: 8:17-01095 Steward Financial LLC v. Bral

#2.00 STATUS CONFERENCE Hearing regarding the status of any pending appeal

(Set at hrg. held 4-9-20)

Docket 121

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

October 8, 2020

[Special Notice: This hearing is being conducted by Zoomgov. See the first page of the calendar for today's hearings for participation details.]

Continue Status Conference to February 18, 2021 at 9:30 a.m.; updated Joint Status Report must be filed by February 4, 2021. (XX)

Note: If <u>all</u> parties accept the foregoing tentative ruling, appearances at this hearing are not required and Plaintiff shall notice of the continued hearing date/time.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

John Jean Bral

Represented By Beth Gaschen Alan J Friedman William N Lobel Bobby Samini Dean A Ziehl Gary A Pemberton

Thursday, October 8, 2020 Hearing Room **5**A <u>9:30 AM</u> CONT... John Jean Bral Chapter 11 **Defendant(s):** John Jean Bral Represented By William N Lobel Beth Gaschen Alan J Friedman Gary A Pemberton Movant(s): Steward Financial LLC Represented By Krikor J Meshefejian Gary E Klausner **Plaintiff(s):** Steward Financial LLC Represented By Krikor J Meshefejian Gary E Klausner

Hearing Room 5A

Chapter 7

<u>9:30 AM</u>

8:17-14535 Prime Metals U.S.A., Inc.

Adv#: 8:19-01218 Marshack v. Kim et al

#3.00 PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE RE: Complaint for: 1. Breach of Fiduciary Duty; 2. Accounting; and 3. Defalcation of Trust

FR: 2-6-20

Docket 1 *** VACATED *** REASON: CONTINUED TO 1/21/2021 AT 9:30 A.M., Per Order Entered 6/2/2020 (XX)

Courtroom Deputy:

SPECIAL NOTE: Status Conference Scheduled for 6/4/2020 at 9:30 a.m. - td (3/10/2020)

CONTINUED: Pre-trial Conference Continued to 1/21/2021 at 9:30 a.m., Per Order Entered 6/2/2020 (XX) - td (6/2/2020)

Tentative Ruling:

February 6, 2020

Discovery Cut-off Date:June 1, 2020Deadline to Attend Mediation:June 15, 2020Pretrial Conference Date:July 16, 2020 at 9:30 a.m.(XX)July 6, 2020

Special Note: The joint status report filed 1/28/20 provides very little information regarding the status of the case, why there is no discovery schedule, and why Plaintiff is waiting for non-answering defendants to participate. Per the docket, only one defendant, Minho An, was granted an extension of time to January 7, 2020 to file an answer. An's answer was timely filed.

Note: If <u>all</u> parties agree with the foregoing schedule, appearances at

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

<u>9:30 AM</u>

CONT... Prime Metals U.S.A., Inc. Chapter 7 today's hearing are <u>waived</u> and Plaintiff shall serve/lodge a scheduling order consistent with the same.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Prime Metals U.S.A., Inc.

Defendant(s):

Ik Dong Kim Gill Su Sun Minho An

Plaintiff(s):

Richard A Marshack

Trustee(s):

Richard A Marshack (TR)

Represented By Steven Werth

Pro Se

Pro Se

Represented By Michael H Yi

Represented By Ronald S Hodges Robert P Goe Ryan S Riddles

Represented By D Edward Hays Laila Masud David M Goodrich Robert P Goe

Thursday, O	october 8, 2020	Hearing Room	5A
<u>9:30 AM</u> 8:19-13858 Adv#: 8:20-0	Bruce Elieff 1109 Citi Investment Capital Inc v. Ehrenberg	Chaj	oter 7
#4.00	STATUS CONFERENCE RE: Complaint for: 1. Quiet Tit	le; 2. Declaratory F	Relief

Docket 1 *** VACATED *** REASON: OFF CALENDAR: Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of an Adversary Proceeding that Does Not Involve Claims Under 11 U.S.C. Section 727; No Answer Filed

Courtroom Deputy:

OFF CALENDAR: Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of an Adversary Proceeding that Does Not Involve Claims Under 11 U.S.C. Section 727; No Answer Filed - td (9/29/2020)

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

I	Party Information
<u>Debtor(s):</u>	
Bruce Elieff	Represented By Paul J Couchot Lisa Nelson
<u>Defendant(s):</u>	
Howard M Ehrenberg	Pro Se
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>	
Citi Investment Capital Inc	Represented By David L Prince
<u>Trustee(s):</u>	
Howard M Ehrenberg (TR)	Represented By Alan G Tippie Daniel A Lev Sean A OKeefe

Thursday, October 8, 2020	Hearing Room	5A
<u>9:30 AM</u> 8:20-10554 Ivel Lorraine Melton Adv#: 8:20-01084 Hudec v. Melton et al	Cha	pter 7

#5.00 CON'TD STATUS CONFERENCE RE: Complaint to Determine Dischargeability of Debt

FR: 8-6-20

Docket 1

***** VACATED *** REASON: OFF CALENDAR: Order Granting Secured** Creditor Susan Hudec's Motion to Dismiss Adversary Proceeding Entered 9/11/2020

Courtroom Deputy:

OFF CALENDAR: Order Granting Secured Creditor Susan Hudec's Motion to Dismiss Adversary Proceeding Entered 9/11/2020 - td (9/11/2020)

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

	Party Information	
<u>Debtor(s):</u>		
Ivel Lorraine Melton	Represented By Brian C Andrews	
<u>Defendant(s):</u>		
Ivel Lorraine Melton	Pro Se	
Glenn Mitchell Melton	Pro Se	
Joint Debtor(s):		
Glenn Mitchell Melton	Represented By Brian C Andrews	
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>		
Susan Hudec	Represented By Micah L Bailey	
)/8/2020 1:11:52 PM	Page 13 of 41	

Thursday,	October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	5A
<u>9:30 AM</u> CONT <u>Trustee(</u>	Ivel Lorraine Melton		Chap	oter 7
Kare	en S Naylor (TR)	Pro Se		

Thursday, C	October 8, 2020	Hearing Room	5 A
<u>10:00 AM</u> 8:19-12933	Lisa Nguyen	Char	oter 13
#6.00	CONT'D Hearing RE: Motion for relief from the automatic [REAL PROPERTY]	stay	
	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.		
	VS.		
	DEBTOR		
	FR: 9-10-20		
	Docket 90		

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

September 10, 2020

Grant with 4001(a)(3) waiver.

Note: This matter appears to be uncontested. Accordingly, no court appearance by the Movant is required. Should an opposing party file a late opposition or appear at the hearing, the court will determine whether further hearing is required and Movant will be so notified.

- - -

October 8, 2020

[Special Notice: This hearing is being conducted by Zoomgov. See the first page of the calendar for today's hearings for participation details.]

Movant to advise the court regarding the status of this matter. If additional time is needed to negotiate a resolution, a further continuance may be

Thursday, Octo	ober 8, 2020	Hearing Room 5A
<u>10:00 AM</u> CONT L	.isa Nguyen	Chapter 13
requeste	ed during the clerk's roll call just prior to the hea	ring. Available
hearing	dates are: 10/22, 11/5, 11/12, and 11/19.	-

Party Information		
<u>Debtor(s):</u>		
Lisa Nguyen	Represented By Christine A Kingston	
<u>Movant(s):</u>		
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as trustee,	Represented By Jenelle C Arnold	
<u>Trustee(s):</u>		

Amrane (SA) Cohen (TR)

Pro Se

Thursday, C	october 8, 2020	Hearing Room	5 A
<u>10:00 AM</u> 8:20-10043	DzineSquare, Inc.	Cha	pter 7
#7.00	Hearing RE: Motion for relief from the automatic stay [RE	EAL PROPERTY]	
	PROLOGIS, L.P. AND PROLOGIS TEXAS I LLC		
	VS.		
	DEBTOR		
	Docket 62		
	m Deputy: LISTED - Ruling:		
Octo	ber 8, 2020		
	cial Notice: This hearing is being conducted by Zoom bage of the calendar for today's hearings for participat	-	

Grant motion with all relief requested.

Special note: As the motion does not request waiver of FRBP 4001(a)(3), the order granting the motion will not be effective until 14 days following its entry.

Note: This matter appears to be uncontested. Accordingly, no court appearance by the Movant is required. Should an opposing party file a late opposition or appear at the hearing, the court will determine whether further hearing is required and Movant will be so notified.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

DzineSquare, Inc.

Represented By Christian T Kim Ann Chang

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	5A
<u>10:00 AM</u> CONT DzineSquare, Inc. <u>Movant(s):</u>		Cha	pter 7
Prologis Texas I LLC	Represented By Ryan M Salzman		
Prologis, L.P.	Represented By Ryan M Salzman		
<u>Trustee(s):</u>			
Weneta M Kosmala (TR)	Pro Se		

Page 18 of 41

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	5A
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:18-13499	Laura Marie Kroh	Char	oter 7

#8.00 Hearing RE: Trustee's Final Report and Application for Final Fees and Expenses

[THOMAS H. CASEY, CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE]

Docket 79

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

October 8, 2020

[Special Notice: This hearing is being conducted by Zoomgov. See the first page of the calendar for today's hearings for participation details.]

Approve fees and expenses as requested.

Note: This matter appears to be uncontested. Accordingly, no court appearance by Applicant is required. Should an opposition party file a late opposition or appear at the hearing, the court will determine whether further hearing is required and Applicant will be so notified.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Laura Marie Kroh

Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Thomas H Casey (TR)

Represented By Thomas H Casey

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	5A
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:18-13499	Laura Marie Kroh	Cha	oter 7

#9.00 Hearing RE: First and Final Application for Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses [March 5, 2019 through July 9, 2020]

[THE LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS H. CASEY, INC., ATTORNEY FOR CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE]

Docket 78

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

October 8, 2020

[Special Notice: This hearing is being conducted by Zoomgov. See the first page of the calendar for today's hearings for participation details.]

Approve fees and expenses as requested.

Note: This matter appears to be uncontested. Accordingly, no court appearance by Applicant is required. Should an opposition party file a late opposition or appear at the hearing, the court will determine whether further hearing is required and Applicant will be so notified.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Laura Marie Kroh

Pro Se

Trustee(s):

Thomas H Casey (TR)

Represented By Thomas H Casey

Hearing Room

5A

<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:18-13499	Laura Marie Kroh C	hapter 7
#10.00	Hearing RE: First and Final Fee Application for Allowance of Fees and Expenses From September 9, 2019 through May 27, 2020	
	[HAHN FIFE & COMPANY, LLP, ACCOUNTANT FOR CHAPTER 7 TR	USTEE]
	Docket 76	
	om Deputy: E LISTED - e Ruling:	
	ober 8, 2020	
	cial Notice: This hearing is being conducted by Zoomgov. See the page of the calendar for today's hearings for participation details.]	
Appro	ove fees and expenses as requested.	
appe late o	e: This matter appears to be uncontested. Accordingly, no court earance by Applicant is required. Should an opposition party file a opposition or appear at the hearing, the court will determine ther further hearing is required and Applicant will be so notified.	
	Party Information	
<u>Debtor(s)</u>	<u>):</u>	
Laura	a Marie Kroh Pro Se	

Trustee(s):

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Thomas H Casey (TR)

Represented By Thomas H Casey

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	5A	
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:19-11771	Gustavo Bautista Ortiz and A	mparo Hernandez Castro	Chapt	er 11
#11.00	Hearing RE: Reoganized Deb and Order Closing Debtor's C	-	scharge, Final Decr	ee
	Docket	179		
Courtroo	m Deputy:			
- NONE	LISTED -			

Tentative Ruling:

October 8, 2020

[Special Notice: This hearing is being conducted by Zoomgov. See the first page of the calendar for today's hearings for participation details.]

Grant motion.

Note: This matter appears to be uncontested. Accordingly, no court appearance by the Movant is required. Should an opposing party file a late opposition or appear at the hearing, the court will determine whether further hearing is required and Movant will be so notified.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Gustavo Bautista Ortiz

Represented By Giovanni Orantes Luis A Solorzano

Joint Debtor(s):

Amparo Hernandez Castro

Represented By Giovanni Orantes Luis A Solorzano

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room 5	
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:19-12642	Brett J McNamara and Magda C McNamara	Chaj	oter 7

#12.00 Hearing RE: Trustee's Final Report and Application for Final Fees and Expenses

[KAREN SUE NAYLOR, CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE]

Docket 55

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

October 8, 2020

[Special Notice: This hearing is being conducted by Zoomgov. See the first page of the calendar for today's hearings for participation details.]

Approve fees and expenses as requested.

Note: This matter appears to be uncontested. Accordingly, no court appearance by Applicant is required. Should an opposition party file a late opposition or appear at the hearing, the court will determine whether further hearing is required and Applicant will be so notified.

	Party Information		
Debtor(s):			
Brett J McNamara	Represented By Parisa Fishback		
<u>Joint Debtor(s):</u>			
Magda C McNamara	Represented By Parisa Fishback		
<u>Trustee(s):</u>			
Karen S Naylor (TR)	Pro Se		
0/8/2020 1:11:52 PM	Page 23 of 41		

Chapter 7
countant

[HAHN FIFE & COMPANY, ACCOUNTANT FOR CHAPTER 7 TRUSTEE]

Docket 52

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

October 8, 2020

[Special Notice: This hearing is being conducted by Zoomgov. See the first page of the calendar for today's hearings for participation details.]

Approve fees and expenses as requested.

Note: This matter appears to be uncontested. Accordingly, no court appearance by Applicant is required. Should an opposition party file a late opposition or appear at the hearing, the court will determine whether further hearing is required and Applicant will be so notified.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Brett J McNamara

Represented By Parisa Fishback

Joint Debtor(s):

Magda C McNamara

Represented By Parisa Fishback

Trustee(s):

Karen S Naylor (TR)

10/8/2020 1:11:52 PM

Pro Se

Page 24 of 41

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	5A
<u>10:30 AM</u> CONT	Brett J McNamara and Magda C McNamara	Chaj	oter 7

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	5A	
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:20-10043	DzineSquare, Inc.		Chapte	er 7
#14.00	Hearing RE: Renewed Moti of an Order: Acknowledging	•••	and Prologis Texas LLC for E eases	ntry
	Docket	61		
Courtroo	om Deputy:			
- NONE	ELISTED -			
Tentative	e Ruling:			
Octo	ber 8, 2020			
	cial Notice: This hearing is page of the calendar for too	-		

Grant motion.

Note: This matter appears to be uncontested. Accordingly, no court appearance by the Movant is required. Should an opposing party file a late opposition or appear at the hearing, the court will determine whether further hearing is required and Movant will be so notified.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

DzineSquare, Inc.

Represented By Christian T Kim Ann Chang

Trustee(s):

Weneta M Kosmala (TR)

Pro Se

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing	Hearing Room	
<u>10:30 AM</u>				
8:20-10043	DzineSquare, Inc.		Chaj	pter 7
#15.00	5	on of Prologis Texas I LLC for Entry of ense Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 36		:
	Docket	63		
	om Deputy:			
- NONL				

Tentative Ruling:

October 8, 2020

[Special Notice: This hearing is being conducted by Zoomgov. See the first page of the calendar for today's hearings for participation details.]

Grant Motion in the reduced amount of \$92,842.92 as an administrative claim, to be paid *pro rata* with other chapter 7 administrative claims.

Basis for Tentative Ruling:

Based upon the legal authority set forth in the Motion, Movant is entitled to an administrative claim for rent accrued from the petition date (January 6, 2020) through the rejection date (May 5, 2020) -- approximately 120 days or 4 months. Movant asserts that such administrative claim amount is \$137,241.54. while Movant attaches a copy of the Lease and a schedule of base rent by year, it does not provide <u>any</u> analysis of how it calculated the such amount, leaving the court with no choice but to perform its own calculations.

Under the terms of the Lease, rent appears to have been equal to the sum of the "Base Rent" plus the "Operating Expenses." See, Mot., Ex. 1, p. 1 and 17 of the Lease. For 2020, the Base Rent was 17,326.56 and operating expenses were estimated to be 5,884.17 for total monthly rent of 23,210.73 x 4 months = 92,842.92.

Note: This matter appears to be uncontested. Accordingly, no court

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

Chapter 7

<u>10:30 AM</u>

CONT... DzineSquare, Inc.

appearance by the Movant is required if Movant accepts the foregoing tentative ruling. Should an opposing party file a late opposition or appear at the hearing, the court will determine whether further hearing is required and Movant will be so notified.

Party Information		
<u>Debtor(s):</u>		
DzineSquare, Inc.	Represented By Christian T Kim Ann Chang	
<u>Trustee(s):</u>		

Weneta M Kosmala (TR)

Pro Se

Thursday, (October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	5A
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:20-10848	Alfredo Sanchez Torres		Chan	oter 13
0.20-100-0	Antedo Sanchez Torres		Спар	11 15
#16.00	Hearing RE: Debtor's Object (Official Claim #1) Filed in th		V I, LLC Official Cla	im
	Docket	33		
Courtroo	om Deputy:			
- NONE	E LISTED -			
Tentativo	e Ruling:			
Octo	ober 8, 2020			

[Special Notice: This hearing is being conducted by Zoomgov. See the first page of the calendar for today's hearings for participation details.]

Sustain objection.

Note: This matter appears to be uncontested. Accordingly, no court appearance by the Debtoris required. Should an opposing party file a late opposition or appear at the hearing, the court will determine whether further hearing is required and Debtor's counsel will be so notified.

Party Information

Debtor(s):

Alfredo Sanchez Torres

Represented By Christopher J Langley

Trustee(s):

Amrane (SA) Cohen (TR)

Pro Se

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	5A
<u>10:30 AM</u> 8:20-11307	Ryan David Castle and Ana Alicia Castle	Chapt	er 13

- .

#17.00 CON'TD Hearing RE: Debtors' Motion to Disallow Claim Number 24 Filed by United Bank Serviced by Service Finance Co. LLC in the Amount of \$13,596.17

FR: 9-3-20

Docket 23 *** VACATED *** REASON: OFF CALENDAR: Withdrawal of Claim #24 filed 9/1/2020. Debtors' Notice of Withdrawal of Motion to Disallow Claim #24 filed 9/14/2020

Courtroom Deputy:

OFF CALENDAR: Withdrawal of Claim #24 filed 9/1/2020. Debtors' Notice of Withdrawal of Motion to Disallow Claim #24 filed 9/14/2020 - td (9/14/2020)

Tentative Ruling:

SPECIAL IMPORTANT NOTICE! In order to mitigate the spread of the COVID-19 virus, notice is hereby given that ALL hearings before Judge Smith will be by TELEPHONE APPEARANCE ONLY until further notice. The courtroom will be locked. Any party who wishes to appear must register in advance by contacting CourtCall at (866) 582-6878. It is suggested that parties register with CourtCall at least 30 minutes prior to the hearing. Through September 30, 2020, CourtCall is offering discounted registration for attorneys and <u>free</u> registration for parties without an attorney.

September 3, 2020

Continue hearing to October 8, 2020 at 10:30 am to allow Debtors to correct service issue: Claimant, an insured depository institution, was not served in accordance with FRBP 7004(h). (XX)

Basis for Tentative Ruling:

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

<u>10:30 AM</u>

CONT... Ryan David Castle and Ana Alicia Castle

Chapter 13

Notice was timely but improper because: (1) the motion was not served via certified mail addressed to an officer of the bank, and (2) the motion was not served on the chapter 13 trustee.

Rule 3007 provides the manner of service for an objection to claim. It provides two requirements for service if the claimant is an insured depository institution: (1) the objection and notice must be served on a claimant by firstclass mail to the person and address designated on the claimant's most current proof of claim, and (2) "if the objection is to a claim of an insured depository institution, in the manner provided by Rule 7004(h)." See, FRBP 3007(b).

Rule 7004(h) provides that an insured depository institution must be served by certified mail addressed to an officer unless: (1) "the institution has appeared by its attorney, in which case the attorney shall be served by first class mail," (2) "the court orders otherwise after service upon the institution by certified mail of notice of an application to permit service on the institution by first class mail sent to an officer of the institution designated by the institution," or (3) "the institution has waived in writing its entitlement to service by certified mail by designating an officer to receive service."

Here, the claimant, United Bank, is an insured depository institution as also acknowledged by Debtors' service on the claimant FDIC address. However, service, which was by certified mail, was not addressed to any officer of the bank as required by Rule 7004(h) and no exception to Rule 7004(h) has been provided by Debtors. See, Mot., p. 35-36. The motion was also erroneously served on Nancy Curry, not Amrane Cohen, the chapter 13 trustee in this case.

Tentative Ruling for Oct. 8 hearing (if unopposed): Grant

Note: If Debtors accept the tentative ruling, appearance at this hearing is not required.

Party Information		
Debtor(s):		
Ryan David Castle	Represented By	

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	5A
<u>10:30 AM</u> CONT Ryan David Castle	e and Ana Alicia Castle Heather J Canning	Chap	ter 13
<u>Joint Debtor(s):</u>			
Ana Alicia Castle	Represented By Heather J Canning		
<u>Movant(s):</u>			
Ryan David Castle	Represented By Heather J Canning		
Ana Alicia Castle	Represented By Heather J Canning		
<u>Trustee(s):</u>			

Amrane (SA) Cohen (TR)

Pro Se

Thursday, October 8, 2020	Hearing Room	5 A

<u>10:30 AM</u>

8:19-13242 10827 Studebaker LLC, a California limited liabili

Chapter 11

#17.10 Hearing RE: Motion of Chapter 11 Debtor for Order: (1) Authorizing Sale of Real Property (30012 Ivy Glenn Drive, Laguna Niguel, CA 92677) Free and Clear of Liens Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Sections 363(b) and 363(f); (2) Waiving Overbid Procedures; (3) Determining Buyer to be a Good Faith Purchaser; (4) Approving Compensation of Real Estate Broker; (5) Authorizing Distribution of Sale Proceeds; and (6) Waiving 14 Day Stay Imposed by Fed.R.Bankr.P. 6004(h) and Local Bk. Rule 6004-1 (OST Entered 9/28/2020)

Docket 163 *** VACATED *** REASON: OFF CALENDAR: Voluntary Dismissal of Motion, filed 10/2/2020

Courtroom Deputy:

OFF CALENDAR: Voluntary Dismissal of Motion, filed 10/2/2020 - td (10/2/2020)

Tentative Ruling:

- NONE LISTED -

Party Information

Debtor(s):

10827 Studebaker LLC, a California

Represented By Steven Werth Alan G Tippie

Thursday, October 8, 2020	Hearing Room	5A
<u>2:00 PM</u>		

8:20-10436	Chandra Marie Adam	Chapter 7
Adv#: 8:20-0	Adam v. Wells Fargo Bank, N A et al	
#18.00	Hearing RE: Defendant Wells Fargo Bank's Motion to Dismiss Plair Complaint	ntiff's

Docket 3

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

October 8, 2020

Grant the motion to dismiss <u>with</u> prejudice as to <u>this</u> court on the grounds that 1) Plaintiff presently lacks of standing to prosecute the claims alleged in the Complaint, and 2) even if the claims are abandoned to Plaintiff in the future, this court would lack jurisdiction to enter a final judgment regarding the adjudication of non-estate claims, the outcome of which will not affect the administration of the bankruptcy estate in any way. However, the motion to dismiss isdismissed <u>without</u> prejudice to Plaintiff re-filing a complaint in state court or other non-bankruptcy court of competent jurisdiction.

Basis for Tentative Ruling:

In reviewing the Complaint, Motion, Opposition and Reply, the court notes that neither party has addressed the threshhold standing issue concerning Debtor's purported prosecution of <u>bankruptcy</u> <u>estate</u> claims ("Claims") in a chapter 7 case. This issue *must* be addressed before the court can consider the substantive issues raised by the pleadings.

Plaintiff lacks Standing to Prosecute the Claims Alleged in the Complaint in this Court

A debtor "must have standing in order to invoke federal jurisdiction and

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

Chapter 7

<u>2:00 PM</u>

CONT... Chandra Marie Adam

obtain relief from the bankruptcy court." *In re Chiu*, 266 B.R. 743, 748 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2001), *aff'd*, 304 F.3d 905 (9th Cir. 2002). "Standing is a 'threshold question in every federal case, determining the power of the court to entertain the suit." *In re Veal*, 450 B.R. 897, 906 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2011)(*citing Warth v. Seldin*, 422 U.S. 490, 498 (1975)). The party invoking federal jurisdiction has the burden of establishing the elements of standing. *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555, 560-61 (1992).

"Only a trustee may pursue a cause of action belonging to the bankruptcy estate" and the "debtor can pursue such claims only if they are abandoned by the estate." *In re Meehan*, 2014 WL 4801328, at *4 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. Sept. 29, 2014), *aff'd*, 659 F. App'x 437 (9th Cir. 2016). "Under Chapter 7 bankruptcy, once the petition is filed, all pre-petition causes of action become part of the estate. As such, the bankruptcy trustee is the sole individual with standing to maintain the pre-petition claim." *Lennear v. Diamond Pet Food Processors of California, LLC*, 147 F. Supp. 3d 1037, 1044 (E.D. Cal. 2015). "After appointment of a trustee, a debtor no longer has standing to pursue a cause of action that existed at the time the order for relief was entered. Only the trustee has the authority and discretion to prosecute, defend and settle, as appropriate in its judgment, such a cause of action." 3 Collier on Bankruptcy P 323.03 (16th 2020).

Under 11 U.S.C. § 541(a), an estate comprised of "all legal or equitable interests of the debtor in property as of the commencement of the case" become property of the estate. A debtor's prepetition causes of action and claims for relief are included in the estate. *Smith v. Arthur Anderson LLP*, 421 F.3d 989, 1002 (9th Cir. 2005). Under 11 U.S.C. § 554, a debtor may compel a trustee to abandon estate property and estate property that is "not otherwise administered at the time of the closing of the case is abandoned to the debtor[.]"

Here, Plaintiff admits that her claims for relief against Wells Fargo (the "Claims") are estate property because Plaintiff scheduled the Claims in her Schedule A. See, Sch. A [dkt. 9], p. 8. Plaintiff also filed a motion to compel abandonment of the Claims (the "Abandonment Motion")]dkt. 24], but the Abandonment Motion was denied because Plaintiff failed to serve it on all

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

Chapter 7

<u>2:00 PM</u>

CONT... Chandra Marie Adam

creditors as required by FRBP 6007(b). *See*, Order Denying Motion of the Debtor for Abandonment of Estate's Interest in Real Property and Claims [dkt. 30]. And while Plaintiff attempted to correct service of the Abandonment Motion, Plaintiff did so after the order denying the Abandonment Motion was entered. Thus, to date, there has been no effective abandonment of the Claims.

If the Claims are Abandoned to Plaintiff in the Future, such Claims must be Prosecuted in State Court or Other Non-Bankruptcy Court of Competent Jurisdiction

Even if Plaintiff obtains an order abandoning the Claims to her, she will have to prosecute the Claims in state court or a non-bankruptcy court of competent jurisdiction, not this court. As noted by the court in *In re VonGrabe*, 332 B.R. 40, 43 (Bankr.M.D.Fla.2005):

"Upon abandonment, the Court's jurisdiction over the claims the debtor asserts in his adversary proceedings is limited. As set forth in Title 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b), 'district courts shall have original but not exclusive jurisdiction of all civil proceedings arising under title 11, or arising in or related to cases under title 11.' In *Matter of Lemco Gypsum, Inc.*, 910 F.2d 784, 788 (11th Cir.1990), the Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit

adopted the test articulated in *Pacor, Inc., v. Higgins,* 743 F.2d 984,

994 (3rd Cir.1984), for determining whether a civil proceeding is sufficiently "related to" bankruptcy to confer federal jurisdiction. The appropriate test is "whether the outcome of the proceeding could conceivably have an effect on the bankruptcy estate" or alter the debtor's rights, liabilities, options, freedom of action, which in any way impact the administration of the estate. *Lemco Gypsum*, 910 F.2d at 788."

Further, "[w]here an asset has been abandoned by the Trustee, that asset is no longer a part of the bankruptcy estate." *In re Bray*, 288 B.R. 305, 307 (Bankr.S.D.Ga.2001) (citation omitted). "As a result, the property reverts back to its pre-bankruptcy status, **and that asset is properly removed from the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court.**" *Id.* (citing *Dewsnup v. Timm (In re Dewsnup)*, 908 F.2d 588, 591 (10th Cir.1990) aff'd, 502 U.S. 410, 112 S.Ct. 773, 116 L.Ed.2d 903 (1992); accord, *State v. Lange (In re Lange)*, 120 B.R.

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

Chapter 7

<u>2:00 PM</u>

CONT... Chandra Marie Adam

132, 135 (9th Cir. BAP 1990). (emphasis added).

In this case, in the event of abandonment, any recovery on the Claims by Plaintiff will enure to her alone, and not to the bankruptcy estate. Stated otherwise, the litigation of the Claims will have no impact whatsoever on the administration of the bankruptcy estate.

Leave to amend is denied

Leave to amend a complaint or claim is generally within the discretion of the bankruptcy court and is reviewed under the abuse of discretion standard. Mende v. Dun & Bradstreet, Inc., 670 F.2d 129 (9th Cir. 1982). FRCP 15(a) (made applicable to this proceeding by FRBP 7015) provides that a party may amend the party's pleading by leave of court and leave shall be freely given when justice so requires. The Ninth Circuit applies this rule with "extreme liberality." Forsyth v. Humana, Inc., 114 F.3d 1467, 1482 (9th Cir. 1997). In exercising its discretion, a bankruptcy court "must be guided by the underlying purpose of Rule 15 to facilitate decision on the merits, rather than on the pleadings or technicalities." In re Magno, 216 B.R. 34 (9th Cir. BAP 1997). A bankruptcy court considers the following factors in determining whether a motion to amend should be granted: (1) undue delay; (2) bad faith; (3) futility of amendment; and (4) prejudice to the opposing party. Hurn v. Retirement Fund Trust of Plumbing, Etc., 648 F.2d 1252, 1254 (9th Cir. 1981). While recognizing the principles that leave to amend should be freely granted and the preference for decisions on the merits, if the court finds that a complaint has failed to state a claim, dismissal may be without leave to amend. Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1126-30 (9th Cir. 2000). A court may also dismiss a complaint without leave to amend when amendment would be futile. McQuillion v. Schwarzenegger, 369 F.3d 1091, 1099 (9th Cir. 2004).

In this matter, leave to amend the Complaint would be futile because 1) the Claims currently are property of the estate and Plaintiff has no standing to prosecute them, and 2) even if Plaintiff obtains an order abandoning the Claims to her, the prosecution of such personal claims would be beyond the jurisdiction of this court and would have to be pursued in another nonbankruptcy court of competent jurisdiction, which Plaintiff would be free to do

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

<u>2:00 PM</u>

CONT... Chandra Marie Adam

subject, of course, to any defenses of Defendant.

Chapter 7

The Court Declines to Rule on the Substantive Issues Raised by the Motion

Because the court has determined that Debtor lacks standing as a matter of bankruptcy law to pursue the Claims, it would not be appropriate to rule on the substantive issues raised in the Motion, and the court declines to do so.

Here, even under the Ninth Circuit's policy of granting leave to amend with "extreme liberality," granting such leave here would be futile because, as discussed above, Plaintiff lacks standing since the claims alleged against Wells Fargo are estate claims and if Plaintiff obtains standing in the future, Plaintiff will have to litigate her claims in state or district court because the claims will be non-estate claims that will not have an impact on the administration of a no-asset case.

Party Information		
<u>Debtor(s):</u>		
Chandra Marie Adam	Pro Se	
<u>Defendant(s):</u>		
Wells Fargo Bank, N A	Represented By J. Barrett Marum	
Dino Adam	Pro Se	
Trina A Adam	Pro Se	
Luke Daniel Adam	Pro Se	
Anthony Pietrosanto Jr	Pro Se	
UFO Funding LLC	Pro Se	

Note: If BOTH parties accept the tentative ruling, appearances at this hearing will not be required and Defendant shall lodge an order consistent with the same.

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room 5A
<u>2:00 PM</u> CONT Chandra Marie Adam <u>Plaintiff(s):</u>		Chapter 7
Chandra Marie Adam	Pro Se	
<u>Trustee(s):</u>		
Thomas H Casey (TR)	Pro Se	

Thursday, October 8, 2020

Hearing Room 5A

<u>2:00 PM</u>

8:20-10436	Chandra Marie Adam	Chapter 7
Adv#: 8:20-0	1096 Adam v. Wells Fargo Bank, N A et al	
	CONT'D STATUS CONFERENCE RE: Complaint for: 1) Quiet Title; 2 Cancellation of Real Property Instruments; 3) Declaratory Relief; 4) Br Settlement Agreement; 5) Fraud & Deceit; 6) Injunctive Relief	/

FR: 8-20-20

Docket 1

Courtroom Deputy:

- NONE LISTED -

Tentative Ruling:

SPECIAL IMPORTANT NOTICE! In order to mitigate the spread of the COVID-19 virus, notice is hereby given that ALL hearings before Judge Smith will be by TELEPHONE APPEARANCE ONLY until further notice. The courtroom will be locked. Any party who wishes to appear must register in advance by contacting CourtCall at (866) 582-6878. It is suggested that parties register with CourtCall at least 30 minutes prior to the hearing. Through September 30, 2020, CourtCall is offering discounted registration for attorneys and <u>free</u> registration for parties without an attorney.

August 20, 2020

Continue Status Conference to October 8, 2020 at 2:00 p.m., same date/time as hearing on Wells Fargo's pending motion to dismiss; updated Joint Status Report not required for the October 8, 2020 hearing. (XX)

Note: If all parties accept the foregoing tentative ruling, appearances at today's hearing are not required.

, , ,

October 8, 2020

Take the Status Conference off calendar if the Motion to Dismiss is granted.10/8/2020 1:11:52 PMPage 40 of 41

Thursday, October 8, 2020		Hearing Room	5A
2:00 PM CONT Chandra Marie Adam Par	ty Information	Cha	pter 7
<u>Debtor(s):</u>			
Chandra Marie Adam	Pro Se		
Defendant(s):			
Wells Fargo Bank, N A	Represented By J. Barrett Marum Zi Chao Lin		
Dino Adam	Pro Se		
Trina A Adam	Pro Se		
Luke Daniel Adam	Pro Se		
Anthony Pietrosanto Jr	Pro Se		
UFO Funding LLC	Pro Se		
<u>Plaintiff(s):</u>			
Chandra Marie Adam	Pro Se		
<u>Trustee(s):</u>			
Thomas H Casey (TR)	Pro Se		